Displaced vertices from pseudo-Dirac dark matter

Alessandro Davoli

Based on arXiv:1706.08985 (AD, A. De Simone, T. Jacques and V. Sanz)

ASTRO-TS 2017



(日本)

Search strategies



Alessandro Davoli Displaced vertices from pseudo-Dirac dark matter

< E

Search strategies



Alessandro Davoli Displaced vertices from pseudo-Dirac dark matter

Field content Decay length Relic abundance

Pseudo-Dirac model

Pseudo-Dirac dark matter simplified model: SM $+\ 2$ Majorana fermions coupled to it through a Z' boson.

Despite its simplicity, interesting features:

- i) not only standard signatures (monojet), but also "unusual" ones (displaced vertices);
- ii) link between collider signatures and cosmological observations;
- iii) broken crossing symmetry between $DM N \leftrightarrow DM N$ and $DM DM \leftrightarrow N N$ interactions;
- iv) DM-nuclei scattering cross section helicity/velocity suppressed; DM annihilation cross section unsuppressed.

Field content Decay length Relic abundance

Model

Starting point: pDDM Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm pDDM} = \mathcal{L}_0 + \mathcal{L}_{\rm int} \,, \label{eq:ldd}$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}_{0} = \bar{\Psi}(i\partial - M_{D})\Psi - \frac{m_{L}}{2}\left(\overline{\Psi^{c}}P_{L}\Psi + \text{h.c.}\right) - \frac{m_{R}}{2}\left(\overline{\Psi^{c}}P_{R}\Psi + \text{h.c.}\right),$$
$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{int}} = \bar{\Psi}\gamma^{\mu}(c_{L}P_{L} + c_{R}P_{R})\Psi Z_{\mu}' + \sum_{f}\bar{f}\gamma^{\mu}(c_{L}^{(f)}P_{L} + c_{R}^{(f)}P_{R})f Z_{\mu}',$$

f: SM fermion. "Pseudo-Dirac limit": $m_{L,R} \ll M_D$.



Mass eigenstates (at 0th order in $|m_L - m_R|/M_D$):

$$\chi_1 = rac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\Psi - \Psi^c
ight) \quad
ightarrow \quad m_1 = M_D - rac{m_L + m_R}{2}$$
 $\chi_2 = rac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\Psi + \Psi^c
ight) \quad
ightarrow \quad m_2 = M_D + rac{m_L + m_R}{2}$

 χ_1 is the DM candidate; χ_2 is unstable and can decay into χ_1 . In the pseudo-Dirac limit, $\Delta m \equiv m_2 - m_1 \ll m_{1,2}$.

 \mathcal{L}_{int} in terms of $\chi_{1,2}$:

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L}_{\rm int}^{(\chi_1\chi_2)} &= i \, \frac{c_R + c_L}{2} \, \bar{\chi}_1 \gamma^{\mu} \chi_2 \, Z'_{\mu} \\ \mathcal{L}_{\rm int}^{(\chi_i\chi_i)} &= \frac{c_R - c_L}{4} \, \bar{\chi}_i \gamma^{\mu} \gamma^5 \chi_i \, Z'_{\mu} \\ \mathcal{L}_{\rm int}^{(\bar{f}f)} &= \sum_f \bar{f} \gamma^{\mu} \left[\frac{c_L^{(f)} + c_R^{(f)}}{2} - \frac{c_L^{(f)} - c_R^{(f)}}{2} \, \gamma^5 \right] f \, Z'_{\mu} \,, \end{split}$$

(ロ) (同) (E) (E) (E) (C)



Mass eigenstates (at 0th order in $|m_L - m_R|/M_D$):

$$\chi_1 = rac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\Psi - \Psi^c
ight) \quad
ightarrow \quad m_1 = M_D - rac{m_L + m_R}{2}$$
 $\chi_2 = rac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\Psi + \Psi^c
ight) \quad
ightarrow \quad m_2 = M_D + rac{m_L + m_R}{2}$

 χ_1 is the DM candidate; χ_2 is unstable and can decay into χ_1 . In the pseudo-Dirac limit, $\Delta m \equiv m_2 - m_1 \ll m_{1,2}$.





Mass eigenstates (at 0th order in $|m_L - m_R|/M_D$):

$$\chi_1 = rac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\Psi - \Psi^c
ight) \quad
ightarrow \quad m_1 = M_D - rac{m_L + m_R}{2}$$
 $\chi_2 = rac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\Psi + \Psi^c
ight) \quad
ightarrow \quad m_2 = M_D + rac{m_L + m_R}{2}$

 χ_1 is the DM candidate; χ_2 is unstable and can decay into χ_1 . In the pseudo-Dirac limit, $\Delta m \equiv m_2 - m_1 \ll m_{1,2}$.



ELE NOR

Field content Decay length Relic abundance

Decay length

 $\chi_2 \rightarrow \chi_1 f \bar{f}$ decay length (at rest):

$$L_0 \simeq 2.9 \,\mathrm{m} \left[\sum_{f} N_c^{(f)} (c_L + c_R)^2 \left(c_L^{(f)^2} + c_R^{(f)^2} \right) \right]^{-1} \left(\frac{M_{Z'}}{1 \,\mathrm{TeV}} \right)^4 \left(\frac{1 \,\mathrm{GeV}}{\Delta m} \right)^5$$

If $M_{Z'} \sim \mathcal{O}(\text{TeV})$ and $\Delta m \sim \mathcal{O}(\text{GeV}) \Rightarrow L_0 \sim \mathcal{O}(m) \Rightarrow$ possible signal at LHC as displaced vertex!

Actual decay length at LHC: enhanced by the boost factor $\beta\gamma\sim \mathcal{O}(1-100).$

٠

Field content Decay length Relic abundance

Relic abundance

 χ_1 and χ_2 quasi-degenerate in mass \Rightarrow coannihilations are important. Effective thermal cross section:

$$\begin{split} \langle \sigma v \rangle_{\rm eff} &= \frac{1}{1 + \alpha^2} \left(\langle \sigma v \rangle_{11} + 2\alpha \langle \sigma v \rangle_{12} + \alpha^2 \langle \sigma v \rangle_{22} \right) \,, \\ \text{where } \alpha &\equiv \left(1 + \Delta m/m_1 \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} e^{-x\Delta m/m_1} , \, \langle \sigma v \rangle_{ij} \equiv \langle \sigma v \rangle_{\chi_i \chi_j \to f\bar{f}} \text{ and } \\ x &\equiv m_1/T . \end{split}$$

By combining effective cross-section and decay length:

$$\frac{\Omega h^2}{0.1194}\simeq 1.26 \left(\frac{L_0}{1\,\mathrm{m}}\right) \left(\frac{100\,\mathrm{GeV}}{m_1}\right)^2 \left(\frac{\Delta m}{1\,\mathrm{GeV}}\right)^5$$

Link between relic abundance and decay length: one of the main predictions of the model.

(ロ) (同) (E) (E) (E) (C)

Field content Decay length Relic abundance

Relic abundance

 χ_1 and χ_2 quasi-degenerate in mass \Rightarrow coannihilations are important. Effective thermal cross section: Velocity suppressed

$$\langle \sigma v \rangle_{\text{eff}} = \frac{1}{1 + \alpha^2} \left(\langle \sigma v \rangle_{11} + 2\alpha \langle \sigma v \rangle_{12} + \alpha^2 \langle \sigma v \rangle_{22} \right),$$
where $\alpha \equiv \left(1 + \Delta m/m_1\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} e^{-x\Delta m/m_1}$, $\langle \sigma v \rangle_{ij} \equiv \langle \sigma v \rangle_{\chi_i \chi_j \to f\bar{f}}$ and $x \equiv m_1/T$.

By combining effective cross-section and decay length:

$$\frac{\Omega h^2}{0.1194} \simeq 1.26 \left(\frac{L_0}{1 \text{ m}}\right) \left(\frac{100 \text{ GeV}}{m_1}\right)^2 \left(\frac{\Delta m}{1 \text{ GeV}}\right)^5$$

Link between relic abundance and decay length: one of the main predictions of the model.

(ロ) (同) (E) (E) (E) (C)

Couplings determination Direct detection constraints Displaced vertices Complementarity DV-monojet

Couplings determination

Seven free parameters in the model:

$$\{m_1, \Delta m, M_{Z'}, c_L, c_R, c_L^{(f)}, c_R^{(f)}\}.$$

Assumptions and requirements:

- i) without loss of generality, $c_R^{(f)} = -c_L^{(f)}$;
- ii) ATLAS dijets constraints on SM-dark sector couplings;
- iii) $\Gamma_{Z'}/M_{Z'} \leq 0.2 \Rightarrow$ Breit-Wigner approximation is accurate;
- iv) observed DM relic abundance;
- v) fix the value of $k \equiv c_R/c_L$.

 \Rightarrow determination of c_L and c_R for given $\{m_1, \Delta m, M_{Z'}\}$.

Couplings determination Direct detection constraints Displaced vertices Complementarity DV-monojet

Direct detection constraints



Couplings determination Direct detection constraints **Displaced vertices** Complementarity DV-monojet

Displaced vertices

Strongest DV signals at LHC: $pp \rightarrow \chi_2 \chi_2 j \rightarrow \chi_1 \chi_1 j j j j j$.



Couplings determination Direct detection constraints **Displaced vertices** Complementarity DV-monojet

Displaced vertices

Strongest DV signals at LHC: $pp \rightarrow \chi_2 \chi_2 j \rightarrow \chi_1 \chi_1 j j j j j$.



Couplings determination Direct detection constraints **Displaced vertices** Complementarity DV-monojet

Probability that χ_2 decays in the detector ($M_{Z'} = 1.5 \text{ TeV}$):



Couplings determination Direct detection constraints Displaced vertices Complementarity DV-monojet

Complementarity DV-monojet

Cuts: $p_T > 200 \text{ GeV}$, MET > 300 GeV.

DV: approximately zero background \Rightarrow 95% C.L. exclusion if N > 3.



< ∃ →

EL OQO

Conclusions

pDDM model: simple extension of the SM, but interesting features. Not only standard signatures (monojet), but also "unusual" ones (DV). Interplay between cosmological observations and collider signatures. With larger luminosity \Rightarrow exclusion/signal over a wide mass range. pDDM model may be used as a benchmark for these new searches.

(日) (同) (王) (王) (王) (○)

Alessandro Davoli Displaced vertices from pseudo-Dirac dark matter

(日) (四) (종) (종) (종) (종) (日)

Backup slides

The freezeout temperature is given by $T_F = m_1/x_F$:

$$x_F = 25 + \log \left[rac{d_F}{\sqrt{g_* x_F}} \; m_1 \langle \sigma v
angle_{
m eff} \; 6.4 imes 10^6 \; {
m GeV}
ight] \; ,$$

with $d_F = 2$ number of degrees of freedom of χ_1 .

The DM cosmological abundance is:

$$\Omega h^2 = \frac{8.7 \times 10^{-11} \, \mathrm{GeV^{-2}}}{\sqrt{g_*} \int_{x_F}^{\infty} dx \, \frac{\langle \sigma v \rangle_{\mathrm{eff}}}{x^2}} \, ,$$

with $g_* \simeq 100$ effective number of relativistic species at T_F .

 χ_2 proper decay length in the lab frame: $L_0^{\text{lab}} = \beta \gamma L_0$. Probability that χ_2 travels a distance L before decaying:

$$P(L) = rac{1}{L_0^{ ext{lab}}} e^{-L/L_0^{ ext{lab}}}$$

If p_T is the transverse momentum of $\chi_2 \Rightarrow$ transverse decay length $L_{T,0}^{\text{lab}} = \frac{p_T}{m_2} L_0.$

Probability that χ_2 travels a distance greater than L in the transverse direction:

$$P(L_T^{\text{lab}} > L) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \exp \left\{ -\frac{L}{L_{T,0}^{\text{lab}}(p_T = p_{T,i})} \right\} ,$$

N number of simulated events.

 χ_2 decay width:

$$\begin{split} \Gamma_{\chi_{2} \to \chi_{1} \tilde{f}f} &= \sum_{f} \frac{N_{c}^{(f)}}{480\pi^{3}} \left(c_{L} + c_{R} \right)^{2} \frac{\Delta m^{5}}{M_{Z'}^{4}} \Biggl\{ \left(1 - \frac{3}{2} \frac{\Delta m}{m_{1}} \right) \left(c_{L}^{(f)^{2}} + c_{R}^{(f)^{2}} \right) \\ &- \frac{m_{f}^{2}}{2m_{1}^{2}} \Biggl[\left(36c_{L}^{(f)^{2}} + 33c_{L}^{(f)}c_{R}^{(f)} + 36c_{R}^{(f)^{2}} \right) + \frac{16m_{1}^{2}}{M_{Z'}^{2}} \left(2c_{L}^{(f)^{2}} + c_{L}^{(f)}c_{R}^{(f)} + 2c_{R}^{(f)^{2}} \right) \\ &+ \frac{10m_{1}^{2}}{\Delta m^{2}} \left(1 - \frac{3}{2} \frac{\Delta m}{m_{1}} \right) \left(c_{L}^{(f)} + c_{R}^{(f)} \right)^{2} - \frac{65}{2} \frac{\Delta m}{m_{1}} \left(2c_{L}^{(f)^{2}} + c_{L}^{(f)}c_{R}^{(f)} + 2c_{R}^{(f)^{2}} \right) \\ &- \frac{24m_{1}\Delta m}{M_{Z'}^{2}} \left(2c_{L}^{(f)^{2}} + c_{L}^{(f)}c_{R}^{(f)} + 2c_{R}^{(f)^{2}} \right) \Biggr] \Biggr\} + \mathcal{O} \left[\left(\frac{\Delta m}{m_{1}} \right)^{7} \right] + \mathcal{O} \left[\left(\frac{m_{f}}{m_{1}} \right)^{4} \right] \,. \end{split}$$

$$Z'$$
 decay width:

$$\Gamma_{Z' \to \chi_1 \chi_2} = \frac{(c_L + c_R)^2}{48\pi} M_{Z'} K \left[1 + \frac{(m_1 + m_2)^2}{2M_{Z'}^2} \right] \left(1 - \frac{m_2 - m_1}{M_{Z'}} \right) \left(1 + \frac{m_2 - m_1}{M_{Z'}} \right)$$

$$\begin{split} \Gamma_{Z' \to \chi_i \chi_i} &= \frac{(c_R - c_L)^2}{96\pi} \, M_{Z'} \left(1 - \frac{4m_i^2}{M_{Z'}^2} \right)^2 \\ \Gamma_{Z' \to \bar{f}f} &= \sum_f N_c^{(f)} \, \frac{M_{Z'}}{24\pi} \sqrt{1 - \frac{4m_f^2}{M_{Z'}^2}} \left[\left(c_L^{(f)^2} + c_R^{(f)^2} \right) - \frac{m_f^2}{M_{Z'}^2} \left(c_L^{(f)^2} - 6c_L^{(f)} c_R^{(f)} + c_R^{(f)^2} \right) \right] \,, \end{split}$$

where:

$${\cal K} \equiv \sqrt{1-2\,rac{m_1^2+m_2^2}{M_{Z'}^2} + \left(rac{m_2^2-m_1^2}{M_{Z'}^2}
ight)^2}$$

(日) (四) (종) (종) (종) (종) (日)

Thermal cross sections:

$$\begin{split} \langle \sigma v \rangle_{12} &= \sum_{f} \frac{N_{c}^{(f)}}{32\pi} \frac{(c_{L} + c_{R})^{2}}{\left(1 - \frac{(m_{1} + m_{2})^{2}}{M_{Z'}^{2}}\right)^{2}} \frac{(m_{1} + m_{2})^{2}}{M_{Z'}^{4}} \sqrt{1 - \frac{4m_{f}^{2}}{(m_{1} + m_{2})^{2}}} \\ &\left[\left(c_{L}^{(f)^{2}} + c_{R}^{(f)^{2}}\right) - \frac{m_{f}^{2}}{(m_{1} + m_{2})^{2}} \left(c_{L}^{(f)^{2}} - 6c_{L}^{(f)}c_{R}^{(f)} + c_{R}^{(f)^{2}}\right) \right] + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) \\ \langle \sigma v \rangle_{ii} &= \sum_{f} \frac{N_{c}^{(f)}}{8\pi} \frac{(c_{L} - c_{R})^{2}}{\left(1 - \frac{2m_{i}^{2}}{M_{Z'}^{2}} \frac{2x_{i} + 3}{x_{i}}\right)^{2}} \frac{m_{i}^{2}}{M_{Z'}^{4}} \sqrt{1 - \frac{2m_{f}^{2}}{m_{1}^{2}} \frac{x_{i}}{2x_{i} + 3}} \\ &\left[\frac{c_{L}^{(f)^{2}} + c_{R}^{(f)^{2}}}{x_{i}} - \frac{m_{f}^{2}}{2m_{1}^{2}} \left(c_{L}^{(f)} - c_{R}^{(f)}\right)^{2} \frac{x_{i}}{2x_{i} + 3} \right] + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) \end{split}$$

DM-*f* scattering cross section:

$$\langle \sigma v \rangle_{\chi_1 f \to \chi_1 f} = \sum_f \frac{N_c^{(f)}}{16\pi} (c_L - c_R)^2 (c_L^{(f)} - c_R^{(f)})^2 \frac{\mu_{\chi_1 f}^2}{M_{Z'}^4} v$$

From arXiV:1603.04156, for axial-vector coupling and $c_L^{(f)} = -c_R^{(f)}$:

$$\sigma_{\rm SD} \simeq 2.4 \times 10^{-42} \,\mathrm{cm}^2 \cdot (c_R - c_L)^2 \left(c_L^{(f)}\right)^2 \left(\frac{1 \,\mathrm{TeV}}{M_{Z'}}\right)^4 \left(\frac{\mu_{n\chi}}{1 \,\mathrm{GeV}}\right)^2$$



Alessandro Davoli