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## synopsis

- evidence for matter-antimatter asymmetry
- quantifying the asymmetry
- mechanism ?
- summary

antimatter ?

$$
\begin{aligned}
& { }^{2}{ }^{2} \text {, } \\
& B \rightarrow+\frac{N}{K}
\end{aligned}
$$



## antiprotons ?


clusters : $R \sim 10^{7} \mathrm{lyrs}$


## widely separated matter/antimatter regions not feasible

Planck 2015

uniformity in the cosmic microwave background precludes large separations between matter and antimatter regions at recombination (Cohen et. al I997)

## when was

the asymmetry generated ?

## cosmic history



## cosmic history



## when was the asymmetry generated ?



## relevant energy scales



## quantifying the asymmetry

## quantifying early universe asymmetry

$$
\left.\eta \equiv \frac{n_{B}-n_{\bar{B}}}{n_{\gamma}} \quad \sim \frac{n_{B}-n_{\bar{B}}}{n_{B}+n_{\bar{B}}}\right|_{T \gtrsim \mathrm{GeV}} \equiv A(\text { early })
$$

baryon to photon ratio (observable at late times)
asymmetry when nucleons are relativistic
$s=$ entropy density better to use $A=\frac{n_{B}-n_{\bar{B}}}{s}$

## baryon/photon ratio

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \eta \equiv \frac{n_{B}-n_{\bar{B}}}{n_{\gamma}} \approx \frac{n_{B}}{n_{\gamma}} \\
& \text { negligible } \\
& \text { anti baryons }
\end{aligned}
$$



$$
T \sim 10^{-1} \mathrm{eV}
$$

$$
\eta=(6.047 \pm 0.074) \times 10^{-10}
$$



## very early universe asymmetry

$\eta=(6.047 \pm 0.074) \times 10^{-10} \quad \Longrightarrow$

$$
\left.A(\text { early }) \equiv \frac{n_{B}-n_{\bar{B}}}{n_{B}+n_{\bar{B}}}\right|_{T \gtrsim \mathrm{GeV}} ^{\sim 10^{-10}}
$$

One extra baryon for every Ten Billion baryon-antibaryon pairs


## how was this symmetry generated? $\quad \eta \sim 10^{-9}$

## generating the asymmetry ?

## option I: start with an asymmetric universe *

option 2: dynamically generate the asymmetry

## Sakharov conditions

dynamically generate the asymmetry

## Sakharov conditions (1967)

(1) $B$
(2) $\not \subset \& \subset P^{\prime}$
(3) thermal equilibrium
baryon
number
violation

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\begin{array}{l}
\text { charge } \\
\text { conjugation: }
\end{array} & C & B \rightarrow B \\
\text { parity: } & P & \mathbf{x} \rightarrow-\mathbf{x}
\end{array}
$$

## CP violation : a fundamental question


credit: from G. Sciolla (MIT physics annual 2006)

how different are the laws of physics in a CP mirror world ?
violation discovered in (1964 - ongoing)

## fundamental questions

- amount of CP violation consistent with the SM ?
- enough CP violation to address the matter antimatter asymmetry in the early universe?
- new physics ?



## how much/where is the CP violation? hints for beyond Standard Model physics?

## KTEV Kons satuo

talk by Juliana Whitmore
talks by Andy Hocker \& Jason Bono


talks by Dmitri Denisov \& Julie Hogan
鄀Fermilab E683
talk by Don Lincoln

## does the SM have the necessary ingredients ?

(1) $B^{\prime} \quad$ : non-perturbative, quantum effects (sphalerons)
$(2) \varnothing^{\prime} \quad:$ weak interaction (eg. charged pion decays)
CP : weak interactions (e.g. neutral Kaon decays, B-physics etc.)
(3) thermat : expanding universe, phase transition etc. equilibrium

* CP violation in strong interaction is small (see for example neutron EDM measurements)


## an example:

## Standard Model Electroweak Baryogengesis

EW phase transition: $T \sim 10^{2} \mathrm{GeV}, \quad t \sim 20 \mathrm{ps}$
W, $Z$ bosons get their mass
asymmetry generation
(1) $B$
(2) $\subset \& C P$
(3) thermal equilibrium

## an example: SM Electroweak Baryogengesis

## sufficient ?

(1) $B$
(2) $\varnothing \& C P$
(3) thermal equilibrium
phase transition:

second order

$\mathrm{LHC} \rightarrow m_{H} \approx 126 \mathrm{GeV}$

# SM Electroweak Baryogengesis does not generate enough asymmetry 

(1) $B$
(2) $\not \subset \& C P$
(3) thermal equilibrium
consistent with SM

phase transition: firstorder<br>second order

NOT ENOUGH asymmetry generated! (exponentially small)

## asymmetry from beyond the SM ?

- standard lore: Standard Model not sufficient *
- beyond the Standard Model **
- experiments:
- quark sector - past/ongoing searches - eg. BaBar, Belle, D0, KTeV, LHCb
- neutrinos, leptons - eg. GERDA, HyperKamiokande, mu2e, DUNE upcoming)
- theory:
- heavy particle decays (eg. Weinberg 1978 - )
- neutrinos, leptogenesis (eg. Fukugita \& Yanagida 1986 - )
- extra scalar field (susy) condensate - (Affleck Dine mechanism 1985 — )


# can the inflaton (a scalar field) generate the <br> matter-antimatter asymmetry ? 

Hertzberg \& Karouby (20|3)

## asymmetry generation after inflation


asymmetry between particles and antiparticles generated by the dynamics asymmetry generated at the end of inflation, and "freezes" in

# transfer from inflaton to matter is model dependent 


sample numbers:

$$
A_{\phi} \sim 10^{-4}, T \sim 10^{7} \mathrm{GeV}, m_{\phi} \sim 10^{14} \mathrm{GeV}
$$

## not a unique prediction

## cross check



- amount of isocurvature fluctuations ? $\quad \alpha_{I I} \sim 2.6 \times 10^{-4}$
- predictions for particle physics experiments?
- connections to dark matter ?


## mechanism for

## matter/antimatter asymmetry remains an unsolved problem

search continues with theoretical and experimental + obs. efforts from High Energy Physics \& Astrophysics/Cosmology

matter/antimatter asymmetry
\& Marj

how are the laws of physics different in a (CP) mirror world ?

matter antimatter asymmetry - and our origins


insatiable curiosity and unwavering encouragement
extra slides

## AMS-02 positron fraction



## CP violation Standard Model?

$$
V_{C K M} \equiv \quad\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
V_{u d} & V_{u s} & V_{u b} \\
V_{c d} & V_{c s} & V_{c b} \\
V_{t d} & V_{t s} & V_{t b}
\end{array}\right)
$$

CP violation from B physics (A. Lazaro 2007)


Figure 3: Unitary triangle and main decays to measure the sides and the angles.

## CP violation in the SM

$$
V_{C K M} \equiv \quad\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
V_{u d} & V_{u s} & V_{u b} \\
V_{c d} & V_{c s} & V_{c b} \\
V_{t d} & V_{t s} & V_{t b}
\end{array}\right)
$$



