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INTRODUCTION
An Electron Beam Ion Source (EBIS) charge bred fission fragments from the Californium Rare Isotope Breeder Upgrade (CARIBU). The ions were then accelerated in the Argonne Tandem Linear Accelerator System (ATLAS). During commissioning two configurations, offset trap fast extraction and trap-over-barrier slow extraction, were used to study the extracted beam characteristics. For fast extraction (Figure 6) the barrier was quickly lowered with a high voltage switch, while during slow extraction (Figure 2) the trap was raised over the height of the barrier with an arbitrary function generator driving a high voltage amplifier.

TRAP-OVER-BARRIER EXTRACTION
The trap potential was raised over the height of the barrier potential, Figure 2. This scheme is important as a way to moderate the high instantaneous rates by elongating extracted EBIS pulses.

OFFSET TRAP FAST EXTRACTION
The trap was configured offset from the middle of the superconducting solenoid in a region with significant variations in the magnetic field. The linear current density of the electron beam, $\lambda_e$, caused a potential drop, $\Delta U$, along the axis of the drift tubes

$$\Delta U = \frac{\lambda_e}{4\pi\epsilon_o} \left[ 1 + 2\ln \frac{r_D}{r_C} \right]$$

The potential on axis, based on the applied drift tube potentials and the electron beam characteristics, varied within a constant drift tube potential when the e-beam radius, $r_e$, changed, and created an electron beam induced trap, Figure 6.

Two pulses were extracted each cycle: one when the barrier lowered, and one when the e-beam tuned off. The intensities of ions in both pulses were measured using the beta decay rates of radioactive $^{142}$Cs. The beta decay rates were insensitive to the charge state distribution which varied with breeding time. Atoms ionized sufficiently in DT8 became trapped there until the electron beam was extinguished. The likelihood of DT8 trapping increased with breeding time, Figure 7.

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS
- Trap-over-barrier extraction was only possible with uniform axial potentials, otherwise ions would be transferred to an e-beam trap.
- Light mass contaminants were sufficiently ionized without being trapped and were extracted continuously, Figure 4.
- Trapped residual contaminants, at thermal energies in the trap, were expectedly lower energy compared to injected ions, and were extracted later during the emptying cycle, Figure 5.
- Trapped radioactive ions showed signs of energy compression during the slow extraction process (Figures 4-5)
  - asymmetric timing and energy curves
  - energy spread was significantly lower than e-beam potential depth
- Elongating the extracted pulse is important to avoid pile-up and rate related issues for the experimental users.
- E-beam traps can be relatively deep, especially where the magnetic field falls off quickly, Figure 6.
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