

Non-Conventional Microwave Coupling of RF Power in ECRIS Plasmas

Giuseppe Torrì¹, David Mascali¹, Gino Sorbello^{1,2}, Giuseppe Castro¹, Luigi Celona¹, Alessio Galatà³, Ornella Leonardi¹, Eugenia Naselli^{1,4} and Santo Gammino¹



¹Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Laboratori Nazionali del Sud, via S. Sofia 62 - 95123 Catania (Italy)

²Department of Electrical, Electronics and Computer Engineering (DIEEI), University of Catania, viale A. Doria, 67 - 95125 Catania (Italy)

³INFN - Laboratori Nazionali di Legnaro, viale dell'Università 2, 35020 Legnaro, Padova, Italy

⁴Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Catania, Via Santa Sofia, 64 I-95123 Catania (Italy)

Solenoids for Axial confinement
Hexapole for radial confinement
Extraction system
Gas injection system
Injected Microwaves few kW at tens GHz

ECR Plasmas
 $n_e \sim 10^{12} \text{ cm}^{-3}$
 $T_e \sim \text{tens keV}$
 $T_{\text{ion}} \sim \text{ms}$

ECR Surface
 $B_{\text{ECR}} = \omega_{\text{RF}} m_e / e$

"B_{min}" Magnetic Field structure

$\omega_p^2 < \omega^2 \rightarrow n_e < \frac{\epsilon_0 m_e \omega^2}{e^2} = n_{\text{cutoff}}$

ECRIS STD MODEL
 INTRINSIC Density limitation
 $n_e \propto \omega_{\text{RF}}^2$

Beam characteristics
 $\langle q \rangle \propto n_e$
 $I \propto n_e$

- Increasing efficiency of ECR heating mandatory to increase the accelerator performance
- Investigation of alternative heating mechanism
- Explaining the experimentally observed technique known as "frequency tuning effect"

Abstract

X-ray imaging and numerical simulations demonstrate that the **RF power deposition** in ECRIS plasmas is **not concentrated in the near-axis region**, as it would be desirable in order to maximize the ion beam brilliance. There are different arguments to explain this occurrence as due to the symmetry of the plasma chamber. In this **aperture coupled cylindrical cavity resonator**, in fact, any eigenmode solution of Maxwell equations prefers **off-axis concentration of the electromagnetic field**. The aperture-coupling of the rectangular waveguides with the cylindrical chambers (as it is normally for ECRIS) also suffers of an intrinsic, **geometrical impedance mismatch**. Both these issues suggest that a major optimization of RF coupling efficiency to ECRIS plasmas is still possible, provided that the overall geometry is changed.

A reshaping of both the plasma chamber and related RF launching system in a plasma microwave absorption oriented scenario is considered as a possible solution, as well as the design of optimized launchers (taking inspiration from tools adopted in the thermonuclear-fusion) enabling single-pass power deposition, i.e. not being affected by cavity walls effects.

During the last 30 years **ECRIS development allowed to increase the accelerators' performance**

Higher energy for cyclotron beams
 $\frac{E}{A} \propto \frac{q^2}{m}$

Geller's scaling laws
 $I \propto f_{\text{RF}}^2$
 $q \propto \log B^{3/2}$

...near the technology limits...

Overcoming a "brute force" empirical towards a "Microwave Absorption Optimization-oriented" design in order to:
Developing the next generation ECRIS

$L_B, L_T, L_n \approx \lambda$

$\lambda_{\text{RF}} \text{ Launching [mm]}$	$\lambda_{\text{RF}} \text{ Diagnostics [mm]}$	$L_c [\text{mm}]$
10-120	7-15	250

In the ECRIS, ray-tracing approximation is not applicable, and the full-wave calculations have to be applied to simulate the wave behaviour in the plasma

Reshaping of both the plasma chamber and related RF launching system

RF launching reshaping

Aperture coupled cylindrical cavity

Rectangular waveguide → Cylindrical plasma chamber

geometrical impedance mismatch

Reshaping of both the plasma chamber and related RF launching system

Plasma-shape plasma chamber

Rectangular waveguide adapter-to-elliptical waveguide → Elliptical Taper to elliptical waveguide port

Cavity Geometry reshaping

Magnetic field structure obtained by the superposition of the field produced by two solenoids and an hexapole (minimum-B field)

Plasma-shape plasma chamber following Magnetic field structure

CST MW Studio Numerical results

Electric field $|E|$ @ 10.3 GHz (logscale)

Aperture coupled cylindrical cavity: Off-axis RF concentration

Plasma-shape plasma chamber: Near-axis RF concentration

Numerical results show that the proposed plasma chamber and launching system can achieve a reflection coefficient $|S_{11}| \leq -10 \text{ dB}$ for a very large number of frequencies with respect to the classical plasma chamber

Reflection coefficient S_{11} (dB) vs frequency (GHz)

Poor RF coupling (classical)

Large number of Coupled modes (proposed)

Alternative microwave plasma heating in a modal-conversion scenario, by multiple-launching at different frequencies, controllable angles and polarization

Microwave launcher design for the Flexible Plasma Trap (FPT) to trigger Bernstein waves in Overdense plasma

- Magnetic field up to 0.5 T
- RF Frequency 3-14 GHz
- RF injection // and perp to B_0

Phased waveguide array of two elements: using a relative phase shift for scanning the radiated beam

ARRAY of two waveguides for ECRIS

Measured diagram vs Simulated diagram

lobe direction = -15° to 15°

X-ray imaging experiment Comparison to self-consistent simulations

12.84 + 12.92 GHz

X-ray imaging and numerical simulations often demonstrate that the RF power deposition in ECRIS plasmas is not concentrated in the near-axis region

Energy density in 2-12 keV range on xy plane z=0 @12,84 GHz

Energy density in 2-12 keV range on xy plane z=0 @12,92 GHz

12.84 GHz warm-electrons SIMULATION

12.92 GHz warm-electrons SIMULATION

X-ray imaging and agree numerical simulations demonstrate that the RF power deposition depends of frequency

12.84 GHz Ar fluor. lines

12.92 GHz Ar fluor. lines

ATOMKI DEBRECEN ION SOURCE SETUP