Analysis prototyping, preservation, and recasting with Rivet

Andy Buckley, University of Glasgow
Corfu HEP & Cosmo Tools Workshop, 10 Sept 2017
Introduction

- **Experiment/theory interaction growing**
  - more direct collaboration on methods and modelling, from SM QCD & Top to Higgs and BSM

▶ Rivet analysis toolkit is a common dialect for exchanging analysis details and ideas
▶ Implementing a Rivet analysis to complement the data analysis is increasingly expected of ATLAS (and other expt) analyses. Everyone benefits.
▶ This talk: description/discussion + demo/exercises

Philosophy and recent/relevant developments, plus a few technicalities

Time limited so I'll skip a lot, but the full set of slides is a useful reference

Andy Buckley
Introduction

▶ Experiment/theory interaction growing
  ⇒ more direct collaboration on methods and modelling, from SM QCD & Top to Higgs and BSM

▶ Rivet analysis toolkit is a common dialect for exchanging analysis details and ideas
Experiment/theory interaction growing

⇒ more direct collaboration on methods and modelling, from SM QCD & Top to Higgs and BSM

Rivet analysis toolkit is a common dialect for exchanging analysis details and ideas

Implementing a Rivet analysis to complement the data analysis is increasingly expected of ATLAS (and other expt) analyses. Everyone benefits.
Introduction

▶ Experiment/theory interaction growing
  ⇒ more direct collaboration on methods and modelling, from SM QCD & Top to Higgs and BSM

▶ Rivet analysis toolkit is a common dialect for exchanging analysis details and ideas

▶ Implementing a Rivet analysis to complement the data analysis is increasingly expected of ATLAS (and other expt) analyses. Everyone benefits.

▶ This talk: description/discussion + demo/exercises
  Philosophy and recent/relevant developments, plus a few technicalities
  Time limited so I’ll skip a lot, but the full set of slides is a useful reference
Rivet is an analysis system for MC events, and *lots* of analyses

- Easy and powerful way to get physics numbers & plots from any MC gen
Rivet is an analysis system for MC events, and *lots* of analyses

- Easy and powerful way to get physics numbers & plots from any MC gen
  - Only requirement: use HepMC event record
Rivet is an analysis system for MC events, and lots of analyses

- Easy and powerful way to get physics numbers & plots from any MC gen
  - Only requirement: use HepMC event record
  - Intentionally unaware of who made the event ⇒ don’t “look inside” the event graph
Rivet is an analysis system for MC events, and *lots* of analyses

- Easy and powerful way to get physics numbers & plots from any MC gen
  - Only requirement: use **HepMC** event record
  - Intentionally unaware of who made the event $\Rightarrow$ don’t “look inside” the event graph
  - “If you can’t write a Rivet analysis for it, it’s probably unphysical”!
Rivet is an analysis system for MC events, and *lots* of analyses

- Easy and powerful way to get physics numbers & plots from any MC gen
  - Only requirement: use **HepMC** event record
  - Intentionally unaware of who made the event ⇒ don’t “look inside” the event graph
  - “If you can’t write a Rivet analysis for it, it’s probably unphysical”!

- LHC standard for preserving data analyses
  - Key input to MC validation and tuning, and rising relevance to BSM recasting
  - Add your analyses, too!
Rivet is an analysis system for MC events, and *lots* of analyses

- Easy and powerful way to get physics numbers & plots from any MC gen
  - Only requirement: use **HepMC** event record
  - Intentionally unaware of who made the event ⇒ don’t “look inside” the event graph
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- LHC standard for preserving data analyses
  - Key input to MC validation and tuning, and rising relevance to BSM recasting
  - **Add your analyses, too!**

- Technical details:
  - C++ library with Python interface & scripts
  - Analyses are “plugins”: no need to rebuild
  - Clean interface for ease & expressiveness; efficiency tricks under the hood
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Rivet setup

Local install

Easy to install using our *bootstrap script*:

```
wget http://rivet.hepforge.org/hg/bootstrap/raw-file/2.5.4/rivet-bootstrap
bash rivet-bootstrap
```

Latest version is 2.5.4. Requires C++11

Run from LCG

Can also pick up latest from Genser/LCG build area:

```
ssh lxplus7.cern.ch
  . /cvmfs/sft.cern.ch/lcg/releases/LCG_87/gcc/6.2.0/x86_64-centos7/setup.sh
  . /cvmfs/sft.cern.ch/lcg/releases/LCG_87/MCGenerators/rivet/2.5.4/...
  x86_64-centos7-gcc62-opt/rivetenv.sh
```
First Rivet runs
Running Rivet

- **rivet** command line tool to query available analyses
- Can be used as a library (e.g. in big experiment software frameworks)
- Can also be used from the command line to read HepMC ASCII files/pipes: very convenient
- Helper scripts like `rivet-mkanalysis`, `rivet-buildplugin`
- Histogram comparisons, plot web albums, etc. very easy

Docs online at [http://rivet.hepforge.org](http://rivet.hepforge.org) – PDF manual, HTML list of existing analyses, and Doxygen.
Viewing available analyses

Rivet knows all sorts of details about its analyses:

- List available analyses:
  `rivet --list-analyses`
- List ATLAS analyses:
  `rivet --list-analyses ATLAS`
- Show some pure-MC analyses’ full details:
  `rivet --show-analysis MC`

The PDF and HTML documentation is also built from this info, so is always synchronised.

The analysis metadata is provided via the analysis API and usually read from a `.info` file which accompanies the analysis.
Running a simple analysis
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```

I’m going to use the Sacrifice frontend to run Pythia 8 for demonstration – use the same or run any other generator that you like with HepMC output going to the FIFO:

```
run-pythia -n 2000 -c Top:all=on -o fifo.hepmc &
```

Now attach Rivet to the other end of the pipe:

```
rivet -a MC_GENERIC -a MC_JETS fifo.hepmc
```

Hopefully that worked. You can use multiple analyses at once, change the output file, etc.: see

```
rivet --help
```

By default unfinalised histos are written every 1000 events: can monitor progress through the run. Killing with `ctrl-c` is safe: finalizing is run
Example output

```
$ run-pythia -e 7000 -c HardQCD:all=on -c ParticleDecays:limitTau0=on
   -n 10000 -o fifo.hepmc &
$ rivet -a CMS_2013_I1265659 fifo.hepmc
$ rivet-mkhtml -a Rivet.yoda:'Py8 $\star$'
```

```
# BEGIN YODA_HISTO1D /CMS_2013_I1265659/d01-x01-y02
Path=/CMS_2013_I1265659/d01-x01-y02
ScaledBy=0.0018488029661016948
Title=
Type=Histo1D
XLabel=
YLabel=
# Mean: 1.886500e+00
# Area: 1.745270e-01
# xlow xhigh sumw sumw2 sumwx sumwx2 numEntries
Total  Total  1.745270e-01  3.226660e-05  3.292452e-01  7.563865e-01  944
Underflow Underflow 0.000000e+00  0.000000e+00  0.000000e+00  0.000000e+00  0
Overflow Overflow  0.000000e+00  0.000000e+00  0.000000e+00  0.000000e+00  0
1.001800e-04  1.746272e-01  4.622007e-03  8.545181e-07  3.464255e-03  3.868572e-05  25
1.746276e-01  3.491546e-01  6.101050e-03  1.127964e-06  1.634274e-03  4.481578e-04  33
3.491549e-01  5.236819e-01  6.840571e-03  1.264687e-06  2.938932e-03  1.279250e-03  37
5.236823e-01  6.982093e-01  7.395212e-03  1.367229e-06  4.569311e-03  2.838956e-03  40
6.982097e-01  8.727367e-01  6.285930e-03  1.162145e-06  4.880735e-03  3.805391e-03  34
8.727370e-01  1.047264e+00  6.470810e-03  1.196325e-06  6.237378e-03  6.024974e-03  35
1.047265e+00  1.221791e+00  7.395212e-03  1.367229e-06  8.247895e-03  9.216318e-03  40
...
...

# END YODA_HISTO1D
```
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ROOT didn’t meet our requirements :-(
  bin width issues, bin gaps unhandled, object ownership nightmare, thread-unsafety

**Rivet uses custom “YODA” stats library** – [http://yoda.hepforge.org](http://yoda.hepforge.org)

- YODA data format is plain text and **stores all second-order statistical moments**: can do full stat merging, compute all means and variances
- Plus general metadata annotation system – styling, notes, whatever – and evolution of data types optimised for MC

CLI tools: **yodals, yodadiff, yodamerge, yodascale, yoda2root**, etc.

Plotting a `.yoda` file is easy: **rivet-mkhtml Rivet.yoda**

Advanced: **rivet-mkhtml Rivet.yoda:’Pythia\,8 $t\bar{t}\$’**

or, if you want complete control:

**rivet-cmphistos Rivet.yoda:’My title’:LineColor=red & & make-plots * .dat**

Then view with a web browser/file browser/evince/…

NB. A **--help** option is available for all Rivet scripts.
CMS, $t\bar{t} \rightarrow bblvjj$, $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV.

MC/Data
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But the C++ interface is pretty friendly: most analyses are short, simple, and readable

An example is usually the best instruction: take a look at http://rivet.hepforge.org/hg/rivet/file/tip/src/Analyses/MC_GENERIC.cc

Code is “mostly normal”:

- Typical init/exec/fin loop structure
- Histograms ~normal; titles, etc. → external .plot file
- Particle, Jet and FourMomentum classes with some nice things like `abseta()` and `abspid()`, constituents, decay-chain searching, and compatibility with FastJet objects
- Use of *projections* for auto-cached computations
Projections

Projections are just observable calculators: given an Event object, they project out physical observables.

Automatic caching of results leads to slightly odd calling code:

Declaration with a string name in the init method:

```cpp
void init() {
    ...
    const SomeProjection sp(foo, bar);
    declare(sp, "MySP");
    ...
}
```

Application in the analyze method via the same name:

```cpp
void analyze(const Event& evt) {
    ...
    const SomeProjectionBase& mysp =
        apply<SomeProjectionBase>(evt, "MySP");
    mysp.foo()
    ...
}
```

Then query it about the things it has computed, via the object/ref API
Particle finders & final-state projections

Rivet is mildly obsessive about calculating from final state objects

So a very important set of projections is those used to extract final state particles, which inherit from `FinalState`

- The `FinalState` projection finds all final state particles in a given $\eta$ range, with a given $p_T$ cutoff.
- Subclasses `ChargedFinalState` and `NeutralFinalState` have the predictable effect!
- `IdentifiedFinalState` can be used to find particular particle species. Nowadays arguably done more nicely via a `Cut`
- `VetoedFinalState` finds particles other than specified. Ditto
- `VisibleFinalState` excludes invisible particles like neutrinos, LSP

NB. Most FSPs can take another FSP as a constructor argument and augment it
Using an FSP to get final state particles

```cpp
void init() {
    
    const ChargedFinalState cfs(Cuts::pT > 500*MeV && Cuts::abseta < 2.5);
    declare(cfs, "ChFS");

    ...
}

void analyze(const Event& evt) {
    
    const FinalState& cfs =
        apply<FinalState>(evt, "ChFS");
    MSG_INFO("Total charged mult. = " << cfs.size());
    for (const Particle& p : cfs.particles()) {
        MSG_DEBUG("Particle eta = " << p.eta());
    }

    ...
}
```

More complex projections like DressedLeptons, FastJets, WFinder, TauFinder … implement expt-like strategies for dressing, tagging, mass-windowing, etc.
Selection cuts

Passing ordered lists of doubles to configure “automatic” cut rules is inflexible, illegible, and error-prone. So…

Combinable cut objects:

- \texttt{FinalState(Cuts::pT > 0.5\textstar\text{GeV} \&\& \text{Cuts::abseta < 2.5})}
- \texttt{fs.particles(Cuts::absrap < 3 \mid\mid (Cuts::absrap > 3.2 \&\& Cuts::absrap < 5), \text{cmpMomByEta})}

Can also use cuts on PID and charge:

- \texttt{fs.particlesByPt(Cuts::abspid == \text{PID::ELECTRON}), \text{OR}}
- \texttt{FinalState(Cuts::charge \neq 0)}

Use of \textit{functions/functors} for ParticleFinder filtering is also possible: very general, especially with \textit{C++ lambdas}
One more important projection set is those which find *jets*. There’s a `JetAlg` abstract interface, but almost always use FastJet, via `FastJets`.

Define the input particles (via a `FinalState`), and the jet alg & params:

```cpp
const FinalState fs(-3.2, 3.2);
declare(fs, "FS");
FastJets fj(fs, FastJets::ANTIKT, 0.6,
           JetAlg::ALL_MUONS, JetAlg::ALL_INVISIBLES);
declare(fj, "Jets");
```

Get the jets and loop over them in decreasing $p_T$ order:

```cpp
const Jets jets =
    apply<JetAlg>(evt, "Jets").jetsByPt(20*GeV);
for (const Jet& j : jets) {
    for (const Particle& p : j.particles()) {
        const double dr = deltaR(j, p); // auto-conversion!
    }
}
```

Remember to `#include "Rivet/Projections/FastJets.hh"

NB. Lots of handy functions in `Rivet/Math/MathUtils.hh`!
Jet tagging

Jet flavour tagging can use a very inclusive tagging definition based on hadron parentage, without requiring kinematic closeness to the jet:

▶ j.hasBottom()

Still an option, but now also automatically ghost-tag jets using $b$ and $c$ hadrons:

▶ if (!myjet.bTags().empty()) ...

And you can use Cuts to refine the truth tag:

▶ myjet.bTags(Cuts::abseta < 2.5 && Cuts::pT > 5*GeV)
Jet substructure

Looking inside jets is common practice these days!

Rivet doesn’t duplicate existing tools: best just to use FastJet directly

```cpp
const PseudoJets psjets = fj.pseudoJets();
const ClusterSequence* cseq = fj.clusterSeq();

Selector sel_3hardest = SelectorNHardest(3);
Filter filter(0.3, sel_3hardest);
for (const PseudoJet& pjet : psjets) {
  PseudoJet fjet = filter(pjet);
  ...
}
```

Note: if using FastJet3 tools, you’ll need to add `lifastjettools` to the `rivet-buildplugin` command line. And a `-L/path/to/` arg as well, until the next release. Just compilation, no magic

Rivet’s `Jet` and `Particle` classes auto-convert to `PseudoJet`:

\[
\Rightarrow d23 = cs.exclusive_subdmerge(jetproj.jetsByPt[0], 2)
\]
Let’s start with a simple “particle analysis”, just plotting some simple particle properties like $\eta$, $p_T$, $\phi$, etc. Then we’ll try jets or $W/Z$.

To get an analysis template, which you can fill in with an FS projection and a particle loop, run e.g. `rivet-mkanalysis MY_TEST_ANALYSIS` – this will make the required files.

Once you’ve filled it in, you can either compile directly with `g++`, using the `rivet-config` script as a compile flag helper, or run `rivet-buildplugin MY_TEST_ANALYSIS.cc`

To run, first `export RIVET_ANALYSIS_PATH=$PWD`, then run `rivet` as before... or add the `--pwd` option to the `rivet` command line.
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Detector effects in Rivet

In addition to last slides, *flexibility* of det-sim is important:

- “Global” fast-sims hence difficult for coverage of multiple experiments, multiple runs, multiple reco calibrations, etc.
- Analysis-specific efficiencies and smearings are more precise and allow use of multiple jet sizes, tagger & ID working points, isolations, ... ⇒ many variations in real analyses

⇒ Rivet det-sim as effs+smearing, localised per-analysis
   Rivet internally caches results, so global effect sim still efficient

- Functions for generic ATLAS & CMS performance in Runs 1 & 2
- Inline or analysis-specific functions easy to write & *chain*
- Eff/smearing functions can be used directly, e.g. for object filtering
- Working on embeddability for multithreaded fitters/samplers.
Using Rivet’s fast-sim tools

Smearing is provided as “wrapper projections” on normal particle, jet, and MET finders. Maximal flexibility and minimal impact on unfolded analysis tools. Smearing configuration via efficiency/modifier functions.

To use, first #include "Rivet/Projections/Smearing.hh"

Examples:

```cpp
IdentifiedFinalState es1(Cuts::abseta < 5, {{PID::ELECTRON, PID::POSITRON}});
SmearedParticles es2(es, ELECTRON_EFF_ATLAS_RUN2, ELECTRON_SMEAR_ATLAS_RUN2);
declare(recoes, "Electrons");

FastJets js1(FastJets::ANTIKT, 0.6, JetAlg::DECAY_MUONS);
SmearedJets js2(fj, JET_SMEAR_PERFECT, JET_EFF_BTAG_ATLAS_RUN2); // or lambda
declare(recoj, "Jets");
```

...  

```cpp
Particles elecs = apply<ParticleFinder>(event, "Electrons").particles(10*GeV);
Jets jets = apply<JetAlg>(event, "Jets").jetsByPt(30*GeV);
```

Note set of standard global functions. Private fns also ok. Inline via C++11 lambda fns

Small tweak planned, to unify eff/mod fns and give user control of operator ordering
Selection tools for search analyses

Search analyses typically do a lot more “object filtering” than measurements. Rivet provides a lot of tools to make this complex logic expressive:

- Filtering functions: `filter_select(const Particles/Jets&, FN), filter.discard(...)` + `ifilter.*` in-place variants

- Lots of `functors` for common “stateful” filtering criteria:
  - `PtGtr(10*GeV), EtaLess(5), AbsEtaGtr(2.5), DeltaRGtr(mom, 0.4)`
  - Lots of these in `Rivet/Tools/ParticleBaseUtils.hh`,
  - `Rivet/Tools/ParticleUtils.hh`, and `Rivet/Tools/JetUtils.hh`

- `any()`, `all()`, `none()`, etc. – accepting functions/functors

- Cut-flow monitor via `#include "Rivet/Tools/Cutflow.hh"`
Selection tools: examples

```cpp
const Jets jets = apply<JetAlg>(event, "Jets")
    .jetsByPt(Cuts::pT > 20*GeV && Cuts::abseta < 2.8);
const Particles elecs = apply<ParticleFinder>(event, "Elecs").particlesByPt();
const Particles mus = apply<ParticleFinder>(event, "Muons").particlesByPt();
MSG_DEBUG("Number of raw jets, electrons, muons = ",
    jets.size() << ", " << elecs.size() << ", " << mus.size());
```
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    if (j.particles(Cuts::abscharge > 0 && Cuts::pT > 0.4*GeV).size() < 3 &&
        any(mus, deltaRLess(j, 0.4))) return true;
    return false;
});

// Discard electrons close to remaining jets
const Particles isoelecs = filter_discard(elecs, [&](const Particle& e) {
    return any(isojets, deltaRLess(e, 0.4));
});
```

...
Selection tools: examples

```
const Jets jets = apply<JetAlg>(event, "Jets")
  .jetsByPt(Cuts::pT > 20*GeV && Cuts::abseta < 2.8);
const Particles elecs = apply<ParticleFinder>(event, "Elecs").particlesByPt();
const Particles mus = apply<ParticleFinder>(event, "Muons").particlesByPt();
MSG_DEBUG("Number of raw jets, electrons, muons = 
  " << jets.size() << ", " << elecs.size() << ", " << mus.size());

// Discard jets very close to electrons, or low-ntrk jets close to muons
const Jets isojets = filter_discard(jets, [&](const Jet& j) {
  if (any(elecs, deltaRLess(j, 0.2))) return true;
  if (j.particles(Cuts::abscharge > 0 && Cuts::pT > 0.4*GeV).size() < 3 &&
      any(mus, deltaRLess(j, 0.4))) return true;
  return false;
});

// Discard electrons close to remaining jets
const Particles isoelecs = filter_discard(elecs, [&](const Particle& e) {
  return any(isojets, deltaRLess(e, 0.4));
});

... 
```

zcat susy-gg-g1000-chi800-g2qqchi-20k.hepmc.gz | rivet -a 
CMS_2017_I1594909 -H out.yoda
That’s all, folks
Summary

- Rivet is a user-friendly MC analysis system for prototyping and preserving data analyses
- Allows theorists to use your analyses for model development & testing, and BSM recasting: impact beyond “get a paper out”
- Also a very useful cross-check: quite a few analysis bugs have been found via Rivet!
- Strongly encouraged/required by ATLAS & CMS physics groups. Integrated with experiment software
- Now supports detector simulation for BSM search preservation
- Multi-weights, NLO counter-events, and multi-threading all in the pipeline
- Feedback, questions and getting involved in development all very welcome!
Backup
Running a data analysis

For example, the ATLAS 7 TeV high-\( p_T \) jet shapes analysis:

\texttt{rivet --show-analysis ATLAS.2012.I1119557}

Note: tab completion for \texttt{rivet} options and analysis names.
Running a data analysis

For example, the ATLAS 7 TeV high-$p_T$ jet shapes analysis:

```
rivet --show-analysis ATLAS_2012_I1119557
```

Note: tab completion for `rivet` options and analysis names.

Now to run it:

```
run-pythia -n 20000 -e 7000 -c HardQCD:all=on -c PhaseSpace:pTHatMin=280 -o fifo.hepmc &
```

```
rivet -a ATLAS_2012_I1119557 fifo.hepmc
```

See the Py8 manual: [http://home.thep.lu.se/~torbjorn/pythia82html/Welcome.html](http://home.thep.lu.se/~torbjorn/pythia82html/Welcome.html)
Running a data analysis

For example, the ATLAS 7 TeV high-$p_T$ jet shapes analysis:

```
rivet --show-analysis ATLAS_2012_I1119557
```

Note: tab completion for `rivet` options and analysis names.

Now to run it:

```
run-pythia -n 20000 -e 7000 -c HardQCD:all=on -c PhaseSpace:pTHatMin=280 -o fifo.hepmc &
```

```
rivet -a ATLAS_2012_I1119557 fifo.hepmc
```

See the Py8 manual: [http://home.thep.lu.se/~torbjorn/pythia82html/Welcome.html](http://home.thep.lu.se/~torbjorn/pythia82html/Welcome.html)

And plot, much as before:

```
rivet-mkhtml Rivet.yoda:Pythia8
```

By default *unfinalised* histos are written every 1000 events: can monitor progress through the run. Killing with `ctrl-c` is safe: finalizing is run
If your code outputs LHEF events rather than HepMC, you can’t use Rivet directly. Anyway, you’re taking a risk that it won’t work since Rivet is final-state focused... but you can also get hold of the raw event if you want and just use the histogramming and event loop.

At Les Houches 2011 I made a mini filter program which will convert LHEF files or streams to HepMC ones:
http://rivet.hepforge.org/hg/contrib/file/tip/lhef2hepmc/

Use it like this:
./lhef2hepmc fifo.lhef fifo.hepmc
or
./lhef2hepmc fifo.lhef - | rivet

Maybe some help will be needed with building this program – it’s not an official part of Rivet so you have to download and build it by hand. Let us know if you need a hand.
Running Rivet in Athena

Rivet is interfaced to the ATLAS Athena framework: see https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/viewauth/AtlasProtected/RivetForAtlas for all sorts of guidance

Basic setup:
```
setupATLAS
lsetup asetup
asetup 20.7.9.9
rivet --version
```

another way to get command-line Rivet

For running in vanilla athena:
```
get_files -jo jobOptions.rivet.py and edit
athena jobOptions.rivet.py
```

Or built-in to running ATLAS generators:
```
Generate_tf.py ... --rivetAnas=MC_GENERIC,MC_JETS ...
```
More about Rivet/YODA histogramming & merging

- **YODA allows “simple” automatic run merging.** With some heuristics to distinguish homogeneous and heterogeneous run types.
- **Not complete:** merging (normalised) histograms and profiles is one thing, but what about general objects, particularly ratios like $H_A/H_B$ (or more complex)
- **YODA paves the way to a complete treatment:**
  - User-accessible histograms will only be temporary copies for the current event group (to allow weight vectors & counter-events)
  - Synchronised to a less transient copy every time the event number changes in the event loop
  - Periodically, or on `finalize()`, this second copy gets used to make final histograms: normalised, scaled, added, etc.
  - “Final” histograms can be written and updated through the run: `finalize()` runs many times
  - And runs can be re-loaded and combined using the pre-finalize copies ⇒ completely general run combination.
- Also tie-in with heavy ion / process-ratio analysis workflow
Major idea: **projections**. They are just observable calculators: given an `Event` object, they *project* out physical observables.

They also automatically cache themselves, to avoid recomputation. This leads to slightly unfamiliar calling code.

They are *declared* with a name in the `init` method:

```cpp
void init() {
    ...
    const SomeProjection sp(foo, bar);
    declare(sp, "MySP");
    ...
}
```
Projections – applying

Projections were declared with a name... they are then *applied* to the current event, also by name:

```cpp
void analyze(const Event& evt) {
    ...  
    const SomeProjectionBase& mysp =
        apply<SomeProjectionBase>(evt, "MySP");
    mysp.foo()
    ...
}
```

We prefer to get a handle to the applied projection as a const reference to avoid unnecessary copying.

It can then be queried about the things it has computed. Projections have different abilities and interfaces: check the Doxygen on the Rivet website, e.g. [http://projects.hepforge.org/rivet/code/dev/hierarchy.html](http://projects.hepforge.org/rivet/code/dev/hierarchy.html)
Physics vectors

Rivet uses its own physics vectors rather than CLHEP or ROOT. They are a little nicer to use (we think!), but basically familiar. As usual, check Doxygen: http://projects.hepforge.org/rivet/code/dev/

Particle and Jet both have a momentum() method which returns a FourMomentum.

Some FourMomentum methods: eta(), pT(), phi(), rapidity(), E(), px() etc., mass(). Hopefully intuitive!
YODA has Histo1D and Profile1D histograms (and more), which behave as you would expect. See

http://yoda.hepforge.org/doxy/hierarchy.html
Histogramming

YODA has Histo1D and Profile1D histograms (and more), which behave as you would expect. See
http://yoda.hepforge.org/doxy/hierarchy.html

Histos are booked via helper methods on the Analysis base class, which deal with path issues and some other abstractions*: e.g.
bookHisto1D("thisname", 50, 0, 100)
Histo binnings can also be booked via a vector of bin edges or autobooked from a reference histogram.
YODA has Histo1D and Profile1D histograms (and more), which behave as you would expect. See
http://yoda.hepforge.org/doxy/hierarchy.html

Histos are booked via helper methods on the Analysis base class, which deal with path issues and some other abstractions*: e.g.
bookHisto1D("thisname", 50, 0, 100)
Histo binnings can also be booked via a vector of bin edges or autobooked from a reference histogram.

The histograms have the usual fill(value, weight) method for use in the analyze method. There are scale(), normalize() and integrate() methods for use in finalize().
YODA has Histo1D and Profile1D histograms (and more), which behave as you would expect. See
http://yoda.hepforge.org/doxy/hierarchy.html

Histos are booked via helper methods on the Analysis base class, which deal with path issues and some other abstractions*: e.g.
bookHisto1D("thisname", 50, 0, 100)
Histo binnings can also be booked via a vector of bin edges or autobooked from a reference histogram.

The histograms have the usual fill(value, weight) method for use in the analyze method. There are scale(), normalize() and integrate() methods for use in finalize().

The fill weight is important! For kinematic enhancements, systematics, counter-events, etc. Use evt.weight() Until automatic multiweight support…

* The abstractions are key to handling systematics weight vectors, correlated counter-events, completely general run merging, etc.
The final framework feature to introduce is histogram autobooking. This is a means for getting your Rivet histograms binned with the same bin edges as used in the experimental data that you’ll be comparing to.

To use autobooking, just call the booking helper function with only the histogram name (check that this matches the name in the reference \texttt{.yoda} file), e.g.

\begin{verbatim}
  _hist1 = bookHist01D("d01-x01-y01")
\end{verbatim}

The “d”, “x” and “y” terms are the indices of the HepData dataset, $x$-axis, and $y$-axis for this histogram in this paper.

A neater form of the helper function is available and should be used for histogram names in this format:

\begin{verbatim}
  _hist1 = bookHist01D(1, 1, 1)
\end{verbatim}

That’s it! If you need to get the binnings without booking a persistent histogram use \texttt{refData(name)} or \texttt{refData(d, x, y)}.

NB. Extra bool argument for using ref data $x$ vals for \texttt{Scatter2Ds}
BSM analysis coverage

Currently $\sim 427$ analyses total & $\sim 230$ LHC alone

- Until recently only 27 dedicated BSM searches – and BSM-sensitive SM measurements
- SM focus on unfolded observables, not sufficient for most BSM studies
- Rivet 2.5.0 introduced detector smearing machinery. *For BSM only!*

- $\Rightarrow$ have coded up 9 more BSM routines in last few months:
  - **ATLAS**: ICHEP 2016 3-lepton & same-sign 2-lepton, 1-lepton + jets, 1-lepton + many jets, jets + MET; 2015 jets + MET and monojet
  - **CMS**: ICHEP 2016 jets + MET; 8 TeV $\alpha_T + b$-jets
    - *Partially* validated – not many cutflows available!
  - Also added tools to help with object filtering, cutflows, etc.
  - Important as real-world examples of how to write BSM routines

Rivet is in good shape for preserving new physics searches!
Smearing vs. fast sim vs. MC truth

CMSSM eff/smearing effects from Rivet, in turn using some DELPHES and paper/note calibration functions:

Central jet $p_T$

$b$-jet $p_T$

Note major lepton shifts from blue truth to green smeared: difference w.r.t red DELPHES very small
Smearing vs. fast sim vs. MC truth

CMSSM eff/smearing effects from Rivet, in turn using some DELPHES and paper/note calibration functions:

Electron multiplicity

Leading electron $p_T$

Note major lepton shifts from blue truth to green smeared: difference w.r.t red DELPHES very small
Smearing vs. fast sim vs. MC truth

CMSSM eff/smearing effects from Rivet, in turn using some DELPHES and paper/note calibration functions:

Muon multiplicity

Leading muon $p_T$

Note major lepton shifts from blue truth to green smeared: difference w.r.t red DELPHES very small