



# Computing in High Energy Physics

John Apostolakis  
SoFTware for Physics Group,  
EP Dep, CERN

V1.0  
2016.02.10

**[John.Apostolakis@cern.ch](mailto:John.Apostolakis@cern.ch)**

# Outline - Part 2



- Uses of Computers


- Data Acquisition – record
- Reconstruction: Online, and off-line
- Simulation
- Data analysis

- Size of challenge

- the GRID solution and its other applications


# An LHC detector - CMS

main assembly on surface,  
then lowering into cavern in  
5 big parts by ~2500 t crane



# Data Acquisition (DAQ)

- Convert analog electronic signals into digital data
- Trigger – decision to record
  - Find interesting coll.
  - Assess – do they meet selection criteria




# **Reconstruction**




**A lightning introduction**

# The Reconstruction challenge

Starting from  
this event



Looking for  
this “signature”



→ **Selectivity: 1 in  $10^{13}$**


(Like looking for a needle in 20 million haystacks)

# Online and offline reconstruction

- Are collisions first-tagged really interesting enough to keep (given capacity constraints)?
  - Online reconstruction – seek to reconstruct ‘as much as you can’ quickly to enable decision
- Critical part of experiment – collisions which are not recorded are lost
- Later there is more time to reconstruct the contents of a collision – but this is also complex

# What is reconstruction


- Tracker hits form a puzzle
  - Which tracks created them?
- Each energy deposition is a clue
  - There are **thousands of measurements in each snap-shot**
- The experiment's reconstruction must obtain a **solution!**
  - In well measured magnetic field
  - Matches the traces to tracks






# How it works – a simple example

- Start with the locations of the traces on first two planes




# Reconstruction in practice

- Start with the locations of the traces on first two planes
- Try different combinations
  - Project to subsequent planes
  - Calculate differences between measured positions and 'predictions'



# Reconstruction: result

- Start with the locations of the traces on first two planes
- Try different combinations
  - Project to subsequent planes
  - Calculate differences between measured positions and 'predictions'
- Finally the candidate tracks are identified
  - else look 'quickly' for the straight(er) ones – high energy tracks



# Simulation and Detectors





What is simulation ?

Why it exists ?


How is it done ?

# Today's detectors

- Many different parts
  - Different capabilities
    - Measuring Location (trackers)
    - Measuring energy (calorimeters)
- Due to complexity
  - Different materials,
  - Most studies must use computers to create samples of tracker hits & energy deposition



# Today's detector Technologies: ATLAS






# What is simulation ?

- We build models
  - Detector's Geometry
    - Shape, Location, Material
  - Physics interactions
    - All known processes
      - Electromagnetic
      - Nuclear (strong)
      - Weak (decay)

$$\sigma_{\text{total}} = \sum \sigma_{\text{per-interaction}}$$




# Geant4 geometry: what it does

## Describes a Detector

- Hierarchy of volumes
- Many volumes repeat
  - Volume & sub-tree
- Up to millions of volumes for LHC era
- Import detectors from CAD systems

## Navigates in Detector

- Locates a point
- Computes a step
  - Linear intersection






# Physics processes

- Physics processes are modelled
- For example Electromagnetic processes include:
  - Gammas:
    - Gamma-conversion, Compton scattering, Photo-electric effect
  - Leptons( $e, \mu, \tau$ ), charged hadrons, ions
    - Energy loss (Ionisation, Bremstrahlung) or PAI model energy loss, Multiple scattering, Transition radiation, Synchrotron radiation,
  - Photons:
    - Cerenkov, Rayleigh, Reflection, Refraction, Absorption, Scintillation
- High energy muons and lepton-hadron interactions



# A simple particle shower



- In lead many secondary particles are produced
  - Most are contained
  - A few escape into CO2
- Energy deposition is measured in gas
  - Charged tracks ionise gas
  - Fewer new tracks produced

**GEANT 3**


# Example detailed geometry



# Atlas : Physics Signatures and Event Rates


- Beam crossing rate 40 MHz
- $\sigma_{\text{inelastic}} = 80 \text{ mb}$ 
  - In each beam crossing (rising each year, in 2012 ~ 25 interactions)
- Different physics 'targets'
  - Higgs Boson(s) (Discovery 2012)
  - Supersymmetric partner particles
  - Unexpected
  - Matter-antimatter differences (B mesons)
- Many examples of each channel are simulated

ATLAS Barrel Inner Detector  
 $H \rightarrow b\bar{b}$



# Why simulate ?

- To design detectors
  - Decise details
- To prepare the reconstruction
  - Before the detector is built and operates
- To understand events in the analysis



# Data Analysis






# Data Analysis


- Uses the results of Reconstruction
  - the products are reconstructed tracks, Energy deposits (calorimeters)
  - Hierarchy of data from original (RAW), to summary (AOD)
- An experiment's physics teams use the (large) pool of data
  - No longer in one central location, but in multiple locations (cost, space of building, computers, disks, network) ... using the GRID
- Hypatia: a small part of analysis for a school setting
  - Introduction /[Portal](#)
    - ✦ <http://hypatia.iasa.gr/en/index.html>
  - <http://indico.cern.ch/conferenceDisplay.py?confId=257353#2013-07-08>

# HEP Processing stages and datasets






# Data Hierarchy




# Event Data





- Complex data models
  - ~500 structure types
- References to describe relationships between event objects
  - unidirectional
- Need to support transparent navigation
- Need ultimate resolution on selected events
  - need to run specialised algorithms
  - work interactively
- Not affordable if uncontrolled

# HEP Metadata - Event Collections



# Detector Conditions Data


- Reflects changes in state of the detector with time
- Event Data cannot be reconstructed or analyzed without it
- Versioning
- Tagging
- Ability to extract slices of data required to run with job
- Long life-time





# LHC Computing Grid project (LCG)

- More than 170 computing centres
- 12 large centres for primary data management: CERN (Tier-0) and eleven Tier-1s
- 38 federations of smaller







# WLCG Collaboration

- **The Collaboration**

- 4 LHC experiments
- ~170 computing centres
- 12 large centres  
(Tier-0, Tier-1)
- 38 federations of smaller  
“Tier-2” centres
- ~35 countries



- **Memorandum of Understanding**

- Agreed in October 2005

- **Resources**

- Focuses on the needs of the four LHC experiments
- Commits resources
  - § each October for the coming year
  - § 5-year forward look
- Agrees on standards and procedures

- **Relies on EGEE and OSG (and other regional efforts)**



Open Science Grid

EGEE


Enabling Grids for  
E-science in Europe







LCG depends on two major science grid infrastructures ....

- EGEE - Enabling Grids for E-Science
- OSG - US Open Science Grid



*A map of the worldwide LCG infrastructure operated by EGEE and OSG.*


- **Many applications in different domains**
  - High Energy Physics (**Pilot domain**)
    - ✦ Experiments at CERN (LHC), DESY, Fermilab
  - Biomedical (**Pilot domain**)
    - ✦ Bioinformatics
    - ✦ Medical imaging
  - Earth Sciences
    - ✦ Geo-surveying
    - ✦ Solid Earth Physics
    - ✦ Hydrology, Climate
  - Computational Chemistry
  - Fusion
  - Astronomy
    - ✦ Cosmic Microwave Background
    - ✦ Gamma ray astronomy
  - Geology
  - Industrial Applications










# 2010 Tier-0 Data Taking



**Stored ~ 15 PB in 2010 with peaks at 220 TB/day during Pb+Pb**



**Tier-0 Bandwidth**  
**Average in: 2 GB/s with peaks at 11.5 GB/s**  
**Average out: 6 GB/s with peaks at 25 GB/s**





# GRID vs Cloud

- **“Cloud computing” is gaining importance**
  - Web based solutions (http/https and RES)
  - Virtualization, upload machine images to remote sites
- **GRID has mainly a scientific user base**
  - Complex applications running across multiple sites, but works like a cluster batch system for the end user
  - Mainly suitable for parallel computing and massive data processing
- **Expect convergence in the future**
  - “Internal Cloud” at CERN
  - CernVM – virtual machine running e.g. at Amazon

# Distributed Analysis - the real challenge

- Analysis will be performed with a mix of “official” experiment software and private user code
  - How can we make sure that the user code can execute and provide a correct result wherever it “lands”?
- Input datasets not necessarily known a-priori
- Possibly very sparse data access pattern when only a very few events match the query
- Large number of people submitting jobs concurrently and in an uncoordinated fashion resulting into a chaotic workload
- Wide range of user expertise
- Need for interactivity - requirements on system response time rather than throughput
- Ability to “suspend” an interactive session and resume it later, in a different location
- Need a continuous dialogue between developers and users

# **Backup**

A thick, horizontal yellow brushstroke with a textured, painterly appearance, extending across the width of the slide below the 'Backup' title.

**More on simulation**




# Applications beyond HEP



- Biomedical Bioinformatics
  - Medical imaging
- Earth Sciences
  - Geo-surveying
  - Solid Earth Physics
  - Hydrology, Climate
- Astronomy
  - Cosmic Microwave Background
  - Gamma ray astronomy
- Computational Chemistry
- Fusion
- Geology
- Industrial Applications

# Propagating in a field

Charged particles follow paths that approximate their curved trajectories in an electromagnetic field.





- It is possible to tailor
  - the accuracy of the splitting of the curve into linear segments,
  - the accuracy in intersecting each volume boundaries.
- These can be set now to different values for a single volume or for a hierarchy.

# Shower profile

1 GeV  
electron  
in H<sub>2</sub>O

G4,  
Data  
G3


□ Good  
agreement  
seen with  
the data





# Antiproton annihilation - CHIPS Model

Antiproton annihilation on  $^{238}\text{U}$  nucleus




# Simulation 'packages'




- Provides the means to simulate
  - the **physical processes** and
  - **detector response** of an experiment.
- As was realised by many in the past,
  - **most of the parts** needed can be **common** between experiments (eg physics, geometry blocks) .
- So it makes eminent sense to create and use a **general purpose package**
  - That includes the common parts,
  - And enables an experiment to describe those parts with are specific to it.

# X-Ray Surveys of Asteroids and Moons





Courtesy SOHO EIT

Induced X-ray line emission:  
indicator of target composition  
(~100  $\mu$ m surface layer)




# CERN Centre Capacity Requirements for all expts.


(made J)




processing	K SI2000	3,700	8,200	19,100	25,000	34,000
disk					5.0	6.7
tape media					36	48
tape T/O					20	20



# A Multi-Tier Computing Model



Manager View



User View