LHC Beam Dumping System Reliability Run Summary

MPP 21.04.2017

Nicolas Magnin Thanks to all of my TE/ABT colleagues for their inputs

OUTLINE

- Summary of Winter Shutdown 7 TeV Tests
- Main LBDS activities during EYETS
- Main objectives of LBDS Reliability Run
- Preparation for LBDS Reliability Run
- Reliability Run Overview
- TSU & CIBDS retrigger pulses validation
- Summary of problems encountered
- Operation with MKBH reduced voltage

Winter Shutdown 7 TeV Tests

Initial conditions:

- MKD.LB1 cleaned following sparking tests in previous TS
- MKB generators untouched, but decoupling of retrigger lines on MKB generators done:
 - Isolation of MKBH retrigger boxes w.r.t. generator top cover (not ground actually)
 - Installation of nanocrystalline tores on retrigger lines between MKBHs.

Outcome (B1: 78h 7 TeV, 240h 6.750-6.875 TeV; B2: 350h at 7 TeV):

- 4x self trigger of MKD.LB1 (1x 6.9 TeV, 3x 7 TeV)
- 1x self trigger of MKBH.AB1 (6.875 TeV)
- 2x self trigger of MKBH.DB1 (during ramping up 6.473 TeV and 6.822 TeV)
- No problem on MKD B2 !
- 1x self trigger of MKBH.DB2 (7 TeV)

Conclusions:

- MKBH coupling removed after rejection of common mode signal on re-trigger lines
- Confirmation of MKBH generator weaknesses identified during 2016 run
- Good feeling for potential performance of LBDS for operation at 7 TeV
- Weakest generators identified and removed from operation beginning 2017

Main LBDS activities during EYETS

- Renovation of HV generators, following cleaning and sparking test campaign during this EYETS:
 - Full generators exchanged (1x MKD, 1x MKBH), only GTO stack exchanged (5x MKD, 9x MKBs)
 - Peltier module replacement (1x MKD)
 - Insertion of a separation between GTO stack and PTU crates on all MKBH generators
- MKB self-trigger coupling problem study and solving:
 - Problem reproduced in lab.
 - Decoupling of the retrigger boxes from top part of generator on all MKB generators
 - Addition of nanocrystalline tores on all retrigger-lines, to reduce common mode coupling.
- Upgrade of TSU cards:
 - Following card failure in 2016: Power supply weaknesses identified and corrected
 - New asynchronous dump scenarios identified: (138th MPP 2016 E.Carlier)
 - In case of bad contact on the cable between TSU and RTD, a pulse is sent on the retrigger lines (Hardware upgrad)
 - In case of glitches on the BRF signal, synchronous triggers are issued asynchronously (Firmware upgrad).
- Upgrade of CIBDS cards:
 - New hardware, new firmware, added test mode capability (135th MPP 2016 S.Gabourin)
- Increased CIBDS Re-Trigger Delay up to 320us.
- Preventive exchange of all LBDS PLC power supplies (154) / LBDS PLC ASi-Bus renovation
- Some software updates (PLC / IPOC)

All these changes need revalidation under operational conditions before operation with beam.

Main objectives of LBDS Reliability Run

- Validate the good state of LBDS HV generators:
 - We need a lot of dumps to validate the stability of kicker waveforms over time
 - We need cycles up to 7 TeV with long flat-tops to validate the HV sustainability, with some margin for operation.
- Validate the upgraded TSU & CIBDS cards:
 - We need a lot of arm / dump to validate the correct behaviour of TSU and CIBDS cards during arm/dump actions
 - We need long time with BIS armed to validate the stability of BIS loops.
 - We need a lot of dumps to check the presence and correct position of TSU & CIBDS pulses on the retrigger lines.
- Validate the upgraded PLC code and hardware:
 - We need a lot of arm / dump to validate the correct behaviour of PLCs.
 - We need many ramps to check the tracking of beam energy.

Not a lot of time available:

 \Rightarrow We can satisfied all these requests during a reliability run with Local BIS Loops

• Local BIS loops: New BIS hardware and software deployed in Point6

실 bis-gui-pro-lhc							
Send Feedback LOCAL_LOOP Accelerator		🛃 CIE	BM.UA63.LLL6.B1 frame				
		BIC	Overview History Buffer				
Local Loop		Sw	itch to detailed view				
						SAFE BEAM	FL
CIBM.UA63.LLL6.B1	CIBM.UA67.LLR6.B1 CIBM.UA67.LLR6.B2			INPUT		DISABLED	-
			Software Permit	TRUE			╀
CIBG.UA63.LLL6.B1	CIBG.UA67.LLR6.B2	1	not used	FALSE	-	YES	-
		2	LBDS-b1 Local Loop (TSU)	TRUE	->	NO	-
CIBDS.UA63.LLL6.B1	CIBDS.UA67.LLR6.B2	3	LBDS-b1 Local Loop (PLC)	FALSE		NO	-
		4	not used	FALSE		YES	-
		5	not used	FALSE		YES	-
		6	CIBDS B1 Local Loop	FALSE	->	NO	-
		7	not used	FALSE		YES	-
20:30:19 – Set timeout policies succeed.			A				

• BETS-Simulator used to generate the main bends current cycles for BETS



• New XPOC server for the validation of every dump action

KPOC Viewer - PRO						
sions						
RBA: nmagnin						
IS LATCH - BEAM1 - 20.04.2017 - 21:06:54.182'000'000		SIS LATCH - BEAM2 - 20.04	4.2017 - 21:06:54.295'000'000			
Reset BEAM1 IS PER	AITTED	Reset		ED		
3EAM 1 BEAM 2						
BEAM 1 - PROTON (SHUTDOWN) E: 4	50.00 GeV I: 0.00E0 p+	#b: 0	20.04.201	17 - 21:06:24.138'841'375		
Module results Module journal						
Module: MKB			Analysis: OK	Check: OK		
Source	Source: MKBH.UA67.XPOC./	AB1	Analysis: OK	Analysis: OK Check: OK		
MKD MKBH.UA67.XPOC.BB1 MKBH.UA67.XPOC.CB1	CHECKS					
MKBH.UA67.XPOC.DB1	Property	Value Min.Value	Ref.Value Max.Value Diff.	Units Check		
MKB MKBV.UA67.XPOC.BB1	max1Current	1.536E0 1.505E0 1	1.535E0 1.566E0 5.535E-4	kA OK		
MKBV.UA67.XPOC.CB1 MKBV.UA67.XPOC.DB1	zero1Time balfPeriod	5.335E1 5.285E1 5 3.865E1 3.815E1	5.335E1 5.385E1 1.881E-3 3.865E1 3.915E1 3.051E-3	us OK		
TSU MKBV.UA67.XPOC.EB1	max2Ratio	8.046E1 7.844E1 E	3.044E1 8.244E1 1.456E-2	% OK		
MKBV.UA67.XPOC.FB1	min1Ratio endRatio	-9.354E1 -9.556E1 - 5.358E1 5.019E1 5	9.356E1 -9.156E1 1.366E-2 5.419E1 5.819E1 -6.080E-1	% OK % OK		
MKDGEN	period	7.637E1 7.585E1 7	7.635E1 7.685E1 1.107E-2	us OK		
ecee	Waveform & Points					
	1.5	\land				
BETS						
	1		\land			
	= 0.5	/				
	2 H /					
	0.5					
	-0.3					
	-1					
	-1.5					
	0	50 100 Tir	u 150 2 me wrt Dump (us)	250		
			ne me samp [us]			

New XPOC-HWC configuration:

- Only ABT modules
- No BE/BI data
- "Beam Dumped" timing event not sent = XPOC-HWC server starts sessions on LBDS trigger

• New Sequencer tasks needed to arm / start cycle / dump with local BIS



Sequence "LOOP LBDS RELIABILITY RUN":

- 160x Dump / Arm at 450GeV every 60s
 = 2h 40min
- 1x Arm / 21h flat-top at 7 TeV / Dump = 21h 20min

24h cycle played in loop...

- LBDS Reliability Run with Local BIS loops do not come for free!
 - Many people involved from various groups
 - Complicated configuration of all these BIS, Sequencer, XPOC, etc applications
 - A lot of time requested for the follow up of this 24h/7d reliability run.
 - Very good support from other groups, even during evenings and week-ends !

Many thanks to our friends from BE/CO, BE/OP, TE/MPE... and TE/ABT for their help and collaboration !

LBDS Reliability Run Overview – Log

- 03.03.2017: Start Reliability run in LOCAL at 7TeV
- 10.03.2017: TSU back in LHC Trigger and AGK delays adjusted In Local BIS Loops
- 20.03.2017: LBDS switched to REMOTE first arming tests with Local IS loops
- 21.03.2017: Two E-Scan for reference, regeneration of all LBDS settings after EYETS
 - 23.03.2017: Compensation DCPS for MKD.GB1 failed, DCPS exchanged twice.
- 24.03.2017: Switch to REMOTE, start Reliability Run with Local IS loops
 - 28.03.2017: Two self triggers of MKBH.DB1 at 7 TeV, stack exchanged
 - 31.03.2017: Sparking activity on MKBH.DB1 after stack exchanged, generator exchanged
- 31.03.2017: B1: E-Scan and new settings after MKBH.DB1 replacement, LOCAL reliability run at 7TeV until 04.06.2017 for B1
- 31.03.2017: New CIBDS in LHC In Local BIS Loops.
- 01.04.2017: Update of sequencer and BIS software to integrate the CIBDS
 - 05.04.2017: Dump from the BIS, no logging configured for the local BIS loops, not understood.
- 06.04.2017: B1 E-Scan for reference.
- 06.04.2017: B1 Switch back to REMOTE- Reliability Run at 7TeV
 - 07.04.2017: Self trigger of LBDS.B2, source not clear, could come from MKD.FB2
 - 10.04.2017: Switch ratio error on MKD.DB2 after dump at 7TeV
 - 11.04.2017: Replacement of stack on MKD.DB2, GTO in CC.
- 11.04.2017: UPS tests for LBDS, no problem found
- 12.04.2017: Stop of Reliability Run at 7TeV, continue at 6.5TeV
 - Many problems with PLC and BETS tracking, identified as PLC communication problems
- 21.04.2017: Continue Reliability Run over the week-end ?

LBDS Reliability Run Overview – Logging



LBDS Reliability Run Overview - Statistics

Statistics from 1st March 2017:

433	393
119	119
4572	4044
121	118
	433 119 4572 121

Note: Number of triggers counts LOCAL + REMOTE (BIS Armed)

Main Problems found during Reliability Run

HV generators:

- 2x self trigger of MKBH.DB1, 2 stacks replaced , then generator exchanged
- Switch ratio error on MKD.DB2 after dump at 7 TeV, stack replaced
- Erratic on MKD B2, source not clearly understood, could come from MKD.FB2
 - no sparking visible on MKDGEN IPOC before erratic
 - Noise on retrigger line that triggered PTM, source is MKD sparking ?..

Electronic & Controls:

- Compensation DCPS for MKD.GB1 failed, DCPS exchanged twice.

TSU & CIBDS:

- One event of trigger from the BIS not understood (No logging configured for BIS).

PLC software

- Many problems of communication, between PLCs, yielding to tracking errors. Difficult to identify, still under investigation...

XPOC errors:

- TSU data missing = Old CTRV driver bug?
- Missing some analysis sessions

Sequencer problems:

- Error waiting for XPOC result...

TSU & CIBDS retrigger pulses validation

- IPOC analysis of retrigger line pulses is implemented.
 - Signal on both ends of each retrigger line is analysed (4 waveforms per beam).
 - Position and Amplitude of TSU and CIBDS pulses stored in PM and Logging DB
- Deployed late in the Reliability Run, so all recorded waveforms are analysed off-line to check the presence of both TSU and CIBDS pulses.
- XPOC module implementation is still ongoing, should be released soon...



TSU & CIBDS retrigger pulses validation

New IPOC ReTrigger lines analysis implemented, data pushed to Logging DB. Time difference between TSU & CIBDS pulses seem constant, as expected...



TSU & CIBDS retrigger pulses validation

MKB.UA63.IPOC.RTRIG.AB2 - TSU Pulse Time (uS)

MKB.UA63.IPOC.RTRIG.AB2 - BIS Pulse Time (uS)

Operation with MKBH reduced voltage

Regarding running at lower horizontal dilution (90% ?): Looking at performances during Reliability Run, we decided:

- To start with 100% dilution
- To apply normal procedure in case of self trigger
- Change to reduced horizontal dilution could be done during a TS, if needed
- Max 2 days required to compute the new settings and reconfigure the LBDS

Summary

Reliability Run indeed very useful for ...

- Validation of HV generators, weakest ones removed from operation
- Validation of upgrades in Trigger Synchronisation and Distribution System (TSDS)
- Validation of upgrades in Controls software and hardware

Improvement of Re-Trigger line performances and diagnosis

- Removed MKBH coupling through re-trigger lines
- New IPOC to analyse re-trigger line pulses, all waveforms analysed off-line are OK

Good overall performance of LBDS during Reliability Run

Starting with 100% dilution, will discuss reduction if needed

We should plan a Reliability Run with Local BIS loops after every YETS !

Spares slides / Removed...

LBDS Reliability Run Overview – B1

LBDS Reliability Run Overview – B2

