# Quarkonium production in Pb-Pb and Xe-Xe collisions with ALICE at the LHC

Markus K. Köhler Heidelberg University

on behalf of the ALICE Collaboration

October 3<sup>rd</sup>, 2018







International Conference on Hard and Electromagnetic Probes of High-Energy Nuclear Collisions 30 September 2018 to 5 October 2018 • Aix-Les-Bains • France

## Quarkonium production as a probe in heavy-ion collisions



• Connects perturbative  $(m_{Q\bar{Q}} \gg \Lambda_{QCD})$  with non-perturbative  $(Q\bar{Q} \rightarrow hadron)$  scales • Quarkonia are hard probes which are sensitive to the hot and dense medium



### Quarkonium measurements in ALICE





#### **Dielectron channel**

- Min. bias trigger
- ► |y<sub>ee</sub>| < 0.9
- ►  $\Delta m/m \sim 1$  %

#### **Dimuon channel**

- Dimuon trigger
- ►  $2.5 < y_{\mu\mu} < 4$
- $\Delta m/m \sim 2 \%$

#### Run2 luminosities used ( $\mathscr{L}_{2\mu}|\mathscr{L}_{MB}$ )

Coll. system	$\sqrt{s_{(NN)}}$ (TeV)	
	5.02	5.44
рр	$106 19 \text{ nb}^{-1}$	-
Pb-Pb	225 14 $\mu b^{-1}$	-
Xe-Xe	-	$0.34 0.26~\mu{ m b}^{-1}$

• Possibility to measure all quarkonia down to zero  $p_{T}$ 

#### Cross section measurements in pp at mid-rapidity High-precision reference Model comparison



- Superseding the previous pp reference from an interpolation procedure with the pp data at 5 TeV taken in 2017  $\rightarrow$  decrease of uncertainty by a factor  $\gtrsim 2$
- ▶ NRQCD(+CGC) together with FONLL (b→  $J/\psi$ ) describes the  $p_T$  spectrum



New!

#### ${\sf J}/\psi$ nuclear modification factor vs rapidity







- Weaker suppression at mid- compared to forward rapidity
- Expected in (re)generation scenario from larger charm-quark density

#### $J/\psi$ nuclear modification factor vs centrality Mid-rapidity Forward rap



Models including (re)generation describe centrality dependence of the data

▶ Need higher precision on  $\sigma_{c\bar{c}}^{PbPb}$  for a better discrimination between models

New!

ALTCE



- Comparable suppression for mid- and forward rapidity at high  $p_{T}$
- ► R<sub>AA</sub> increases towards lower p<sub>T</sub>, stronger for mid- than forward rapidity → (Re)generated J/ψ concentrated at low p<sub>T</sub>
- ► Trend for different centralities described by transport model



- Trend described by transport and statistical hadronisation model
- Transport model describes the shape, but slightly undershoots the data
- ▶ Hadronisation model describes low  $p_{\rm T}$ , but undershoots for  $p_{\rm T} \gtrsim 4 \ {\rm GeV}/c$

#### ${\rm J}/\psi$ elliptic flow





- (Re)generated J/ $\psi$  inherit elliptic flow from deconfined (thermalised) charm quarks
- Positive v<sub>2</sub> measured at mid- and forward rapidity
- $\blacktriangleright$  Transport models describe data at low  $p_{\rm T},$  undershoot for  $p_{\rm T}\gtrsim 5~{\rm GeV}/c$

#### ${\sf J}/\psi$ elliptic and triangular flow at forward rapidity







- $\blacktriangleright$   $v_3$  sensitive to initial nucleon distributions in the overlap region
- First (!) observation of positive  $J/\psi v_3$  in Pb–Pb collisions (3.7  $\sigma$ )
- Ordering  $v_n(J/\psi) < v_n(D^0) < v_n(h^{\pm})$  with  $n = \{2,3\}$  for low  $p_T$
- $v_2(J/\psi) \approx v_2(D^0) \approx v_2(h^{\pm})$  for  $p_T \gtrsim 6 \text{ GeV}/c$
- $\blacktriangleright$  Event shape engineering shows a correlation between bulk and J/ $\psi$  v<sub>2</sub>

#### $J/\psi$ nuclear modification factor in Xe-Xe collisions New! [ALICE Coll., arXiv:1805.04383] [ALICE Coll., PLB 766 (2017) 212] $B_{AA}$ ₹ €14 ALICE inclusive $J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ , 2.5 < y < 4 ALICE Inclusive $J/\psi \rightarrow u^+u^-$ Pb-Pb \s...= 5.02 TeV 2.5 < v < 4Ph-Ph (.l/w: --direct --regenerated) 0.8 0.6 0.5 0.4 Data SHM (Andronic et al.) Xe-Xe Vs.iii = 5.44 TeV (arXiv:1805.04383) 0.2 Pb-Pb Vs... = 5.02 TeV (PLB 766(2017)212) 100 200 300 400 200 250 50 100 150 < N<sub>part</sub>

- Study nuclear modification in a smaller collision system
  - $\rightarrow$  Same fireball volume with different shape at fixed  $\mathit{N}_{\rm part}$
- Data suffers from large statistical uncertainty
- Transport and statistical hadronisation model consistent with data

ALTCE

#### $\Upsilon$ nuclear modification factor at forward rapidity



[ALICE Coll., arXiv:1805.04387 [nucl-ex]]



- Strong suppression seen towards central collisions
- ► At current collision energies no sensitivity to (re)generation component in beauty sector

#### $\Upsilon$ nuclear modification factor vs rapidity



[ALICE Coll., arXiv:1805.04387 [nucl-ex]] [CMS Coll., arXiv:1805.09215 [hep-ex]]



▶ No rapidity dependence of  $\Upsilon R_{AA}$  observed for |y| < 4▶  $R_{AA}^{\Upsilon(2S)}/R_{AA}^{\Upsilon(1S)} = 0.28 \pm 0.12(\text{stat}) \pm 0.06(\text{syst})$  in 2.5 < y < 4

#### Summary and conclusions



- Results on quarkonium production shown as function of centrality, rapidity and  $p_{T}$
- (Re)generation dominant production mechanism for  $J/\psi$  $\rightarrow$  Need higher precision on data and models for a better discrimination  $\rightarrow$  PbPb run 2018
- ▶ Non-zero  $v_2$  of J/ $\psi$  suggests thermalisation of charm quarks within the medium
- Positive  $v_3$  of  $J/\psi$  with a significance of 3.7  $\sigma$  observed  $\rightarrow$  Input from theory would be very much appreciated
- ▶ J/ $\psi$  R<sub>AA</sub> in Xe-Xe collisions similar to Pb-Pb and described by models
- ▶ Strong suppression of  $\Upsilon$  in Pb-Pb collisions, no rapidity dependence for |y| < 4
- $\blacktriangleright$  Studies on J/ $\psi$  polarisation in Pb-Pb collisions at 5 TeV ongoing

 $\rightarrow$  Coherent J/ $\psi$  photo-production in Pb-Pb collisions, Laure Massacrier, Tue. 9:40

 $\rightarrow$  Quarkonium in p–Pb collisions, Antoine Lardeux, Tue. 15:40

#### backup



#### A Large Ion Collider Experiment





#### **Signal extraction**



 $J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ 





 $\Upsilon \to \mu^+ \mu^-$ 

[ALICE Coll., arXiv:1805.04387 [nucl-ex]]



#### Comparison of the new results at mid-rapidity





#### $J/\psi v_3$ vs pT for integrated centrality





## ${\rm J}/\psi$ polarisation in Pb-Pb collisions



- ► Test/constrain models beside cross sections through polarisation of quarkonia (J<sup>PC</sup> = 1<sup>--</sup>)
- Angular distribution  $W(\cos \theta, \varphi)$  $\rightarrow$  Polarisation parameters  $\lambda_{\theta}, \lambda_{\varphi}, \lambda_{\theta\varphi}$
- Ongoing work for Pb-Pb collisions in forward direction





#### Inclusive J/ $\psi$ cross section at $\sqrt{s} = 5$ TeV vs $p_T$ Butenschoen & Kniehl, PRL 106 (2011) 022003] [Ma, Wang & Chao, PRL 106 (2011) 042002] $d^2 \sigma / dp_T dy (\mu b / (GeV/c))$ [Ma & Venugopalan, PRL 113 (2014) 192301] ALICE preliminary [Cacciari et al., JHEP10 (2012) 137] pp $\sqrt{s} = 5.02 \text{ TeV}$ Inclusive $J/\psi$ , |y| < 0.9f<sup>2</sup>σ/dp\_dy (μb / GeV/c) $L_{\rm int} = 19.3 \text{ nb}^{-1} \pm 2.1 \%$ NRQCD. Ma et al (prompt J/w) 10 + FONLL, Cacciari et al (J/w from b) NBQCD + CGC. Ma et al (prompt J/w) + FONLL, Cacciari et al (J/y from b) 10-2 NROCD CS + CO. Butenechoen et al NROCD CS + CO. Butenschoen et al. (prompt $J/\psi$ ) $10^{-2}$ I/w from b FONLL. Cacciari et + FONLL, Cacciari et al (J/w from b) $p_{-}^{8}(\text{GeV}/c)^{10}$ *p*\_(GeV/*c*) AT.T-PREL-306449

- Compare cross sections to NLO NRQCD calculations (only prompt-J/ $\psi$ )
- ▶ Add non-prompt component from FONLL  $(B \rightarrow J/\psi)$
- Good agreement over the measured p<sub>T</sub> range

#### Heavy-quark potential in the medium



[Burnier, Kaczmarek & Rothkopf, JHEP 1512 (2015) 101, JHEP 1610 (2016) 032]



- ► Connects perturbative  $(m_{Q\bar{Q}} \gg \Lambda_{QCD})$  with non-perturbative  $(Q\bar{Q} \rightarrow hadron)$  scales
- Quarkonia are hard probes which are sensitive to the hot and dense medium
- Active field of research in experiment, phenomenology and theory