Inclusive photon+di-jet production in p+A and e+A collisions at small x

Raju Venugopalan Brookhaven National Laboratory





Hard Probes 2018, Aix-les-Bains, October 1-5, 2018



EFT in the Regge limit of $Q^2 >> \Lambda_{QCD}^2$ to study QCD matter at high parton densities

Photons are clean probes of dynamical many-body gluon correlations

Uncover universal structures from p+A and e+A collisions

Dilute-dense CGC framework for p+p/p+A collisions



Inclusive photon+di-jet amplitude at NLO

$$\mathcal{M}^{\mu}(\boldsymbol{p},\boldsymbol{q},\boldsymbol{k}_{\gamma}) = -q_{f}eg^{2} \int_{\boldsymbol{k}_{\perp}\boldsymbol{k}_{1\perp}} \int_{\boldsymbol{x}_{\perp}\boldsymbol{y}_{\perp}} \frac{\rho_{p}^{a}(\boldsymbol{k}_{1\perp})}{\boldsymbol{k}_{1\perp}^{2}} e^{i\boldsymbol{k}_{\perp}\cdot\boldsymbol{x}_{\perp}+i(\boldsymbol{P}_{\perp}-\boldsymbol{k}_{\perp}-\boldsymbol{k}_{1\perp})\cdot\boldsymbol{y}_{\perp}} \\ \times \bar{u}(\boldsymbol{q}) \big\{ T_{g}^{\mu}(\boldsymbol{k}_{1\perp})U(\boldsymbol{x}_{\perp})^{ba}t^{b} + T_{q\bar{q}}^{\mu}(\boldsymbol{k}_{\perp},\boldsymbol{k}_{1\perp})\tilde{U}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\perp})t^{a}\tilde{U}^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{y}_{\perp}) \big\} v(\boldsymbol{p})$$

 $\begin{array}{ll} \text{Lightlike Wilson} \\ \text{lines} \end{array} \quad \tilde{U}(\pmb{x}_{\perp}) = \mathcal{P}_{+} \exp \biggl[-\mathrm{i}g^{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}z^{+} \frac{1}{\nabla_{\perp}^{2}} \rho_{A}(z^{+}, \pmb{x}_{\perp}) \cdot t \biggr] \\ \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ll} \text{Dirac structures} \quad T_{g}^{\mu}(\pmb{k}_{1\perp}) \ \pmb{\&} \quad T_{q\bar{q}}^{\mu}(\pmb{k}_{1\perp}) \\ \mathbf{e}_{\mu}(p) = \mathcal{P}_{\mu} \exp \left[-\mathrm{i}g^{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}z^{+} \frac{1}{\nabla_{\perp}^{2}} \rho_{A}(z^{+}, \pmb{x}_{\perp}) \cdot t \biggr] \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array}$

Inclusive photon cross-section



Results for inclusive photon production in p+p



Dilute-dense framework includes **both** small x –resummation and saturation effects. However latter in p+p at most ~ 10% Benic,Fukushima, Garcia-Montero, Venugopalan, arXiv:1807.03806



Expect p+A to be more sensitive to saturation effects

K factor of 2.4 - need to go to "NNLO" in this framework

Easier to first look at DIS...

Inclusive photon+dijet production in e+A DIS



Right moving nucleus with momentum P_N^+ is Lorentz contracted in x^- direction

Glue fields satisfy Yang-Mills eqns.

 $[D_{\mu}, F^{\mu\nu}](x) = g\delta^{\nu+}\delta(x^{-})\rho_A(x_{\perp})$

 $A^{-,a} = 0$, $F_{ij}^{a} = 0$ with $A^{+,a}$, $A^{i,a}$ static (independent of x^{+})



Suppressed at small x

Inclusive photon+dijet production in DIS at LO

 $e(\tilde{l}) + A(P) \longrightarrow e(\tilde{l}') + Q(k) + \bar{Q}(p) + \gamma(k_{\gamma}) + X$

Roy, RV; JHEP 1805 (2018) 013

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}Q^2} = \frac{2\pi y^2}{64\pi^3 Q^2} \frac{\mathrm{d}^3\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^3 2E_k} \frac{\mathrm{d}^3\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^3 2E_p} \frac{\mathrm{d}^3\mathbf{k}_{\gamma}}{(2\pi)^3 2E_{k_{\gamma}}} \frac{1}{2q^-} \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathrm{spins},\lambda} \left\langle |\tilde{\mathcal{M}}|^2 \right\rangle_{Y_A} \right) (2\pi)\delta(P^- - q^-)$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\text{spins},\lambda} \langle |\tilde{\mathcal{M}}|^2 \rangle_{Y_A} = L_{\mu\nu} X^{\mu\nu}$$

 $L_{\mu\nu}$ is well known-the lepton tensor X^{µν} - the hadron tensor for inclusive photon +dijet production is what we compute



DIS inclusive cross-section at LO

Roy, RV; JHEP 1805 (2018) 013

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}Q^{2}\mathrm{d}^{2}\mathbf{k}_{\gamma\perp}\mathrm{d}\eta_{k_{\gamma}}} &= \frac{\alpha^{2}q_{f}^{4}y^{2}N_{c}}{512\pi^{5}Q^{2}}\frac{1}{2q^{-}}\int_{0}^{+\infty}\frac{\mathrm{d}k^{-}}{k^{-}}\int_{0}^{+\infty}\frac{\mathrm{d}p^{-}}{p^{-}}\int_{\mathbf{k}_{\perp},\mathbf{p}_{\perp}}L^{\mu\nu}\widetilde{X}_{\mu\nu}(2\pi)\delta(P^{-}-q^{-})\\ L^{\mu\nu} &= \frac{2e^{2}}{Q^{4}}\Big[(\widetilde{l^{\mu}l^{\prime\nu}}+\widetilde{l^{\nu}l^{\prime\mu}})-\frac{Q^{2}}{2}g^{\mu\nu}\Big]\\ \widetilde{X}_{\mu\nu} &= \int_{\mathbf{x}_{\perp},\mathbf{y}_{\perp},\mathbf{x}'_{\perp},\mathbf{y}'_{\perp},\mathbf{l}_{\perp},\mathbf{l}'_{\perp}}e^{-i(\mathbf{P}_{\perp}-\mathbf{l}_{\perp})\cdot\mathbf{x}_{\perp}-i\mathbf{l}_{\perp}\cdot\mathbf{y}_{\perp}+i(\mathbf{P}_{\perp}-\mathbf{l}'_{\perp})\cdot\mathbf{x}'_{\perp}+i\mathbf{l}'_{\perp}\cdot\mathbf{y}'_{\perp}} \tau_{\mu\nu}^{q\bar{q},q\bar{q}}(\mathbf{l}_{\perp},\mathbf{l}'_{\perp}|\mathbf{P}_{\perp}) \underbrace{\Xi(\mathbf{x}_{\perp},\mathbf{y}_{\perp};\mathbf{x}'_{\perp},\mathbf{y}'_{\perp})}{\Xi(\mathbf{x}_{\perp},\mathbf{y}_{\perp};\mathbf{x}'_{\perp},\mathbf{y}'_{\perp})} \underbrace{\Xi(\mathbf{x}_{\perp},\mathbf{y}_{\perp};\mathbf{x}'_{\perp},\mathbf{y}'_{\perp})}{\Xi(\mathbf{x}_{\perp},\mathbf{y}_{\perp};\mathbf{x}'_{\perp},\mathbf{y}'_{\perp})} \underbrace{\Xi(\mathbf{x}_{\perp},\mathbf{y}_{\perp};\mathbf{x}'_{\perp},\mathbf{y}'_{\perp})}{\Xi(\mathbf{x}_{\perp},\mathbf{y}_{\perp};\mathbf{x}'_{\perp},\mathbf{y}'_{\perp})}} \underbrace{\Xi(\mathbf{x}_{\perp},\mathbf{y}_{\perp};\mathbf{x}'_{\perp},\mathbf{y}'_{\perp})}{\Xi(\mathbf{x}_{\perp},\mathbf{y}_{\perp};\mathbf{x}'_{\perp},\mathbf{y}'_{\perp})}} \underbrace{\Xi(\mathbf{x}_{\perp},\mathbf{y}_{\perp};\mathbf{x}'_{\perp},\mathbf{y}'_{\perp})}{\Xi(\mathbf{x}_{\perp},\mathbf{y}_{\perp};\mathbf{x}'_{\perp},\mathbf{y}'_{\perp})}} \underbrace{\Xi(\mathbf{x}_{\perp},\mathbf{y}_{\perp};\mathbf{x}'_{\perp},\mathbf{y}'_{\perp})}{\Xi(\mathbf{x}_{\perp},\mathbf{y}_{\perp};\mathbf{x}'_{\perp},\mathbf{y}'_{\perp})}}$$

All the nonperturbative info about strongly correlated gluons is in

$$\Xi(\mathbf{x}_{\perp}, \mathbf{y}_{\perp}; \mathbf{x}'_{\perp}, \mathbf{y}'_{\perp}) = 1 - D(\mathbf{x}_{\perp}, \mathbf{y}_{\perp}) - D(\mathbf{y}'_{\perp}, \mathbf{x}'_{\perp}) + Q(\mathbf{x}_{\perp}, \mathbf{y}_{\perp}; \mathbf{y}'_{\perp}, \mathbf{x}'_{\perp})$$

Dipoles: $D(x_{\perp}, y_{\perp}) = \frac{1}{N_c} \langle \operatorname{Tr} \left(\tilde{U}(x_{\perp}) \tilde{U}^{\dagger}(y_{\perp}) \right) \rangle_{Y_A}$
Quadrupoles: $Q(x_{\perp}, y_{\perp}) = \frac{1}{N_c} \langle \operatorname{Tr} \left(\tilde{U}(y'_{\perp}) \tilde{U}^{\dagger}(x'_{\perp}) \tilde{U}(x_{\perp}) \tilde{U}^{\dagger}(y_{\perp}) \right) \rangle_{Y_A}$

Interesting limits

When $k_v \rightarrow 0$, the amplitude satisfies the Low-Burnett-Kroll theorem:



Recover results in soft photon limit for di-jet production - sensitive to the gluon Weizsäcker-Williams distribution for large pair momenta

Dominguez, Marquet, Xiao, Yuan, PRD83 (2011)105005

As for p+A, recover in DIS kt-factorization & collinear factorization small x limits (sensitivity to leading twist gluon distribution)

Aurenche et al., Z. Phys. C24, 309 (1984)



Structure of higher order computations: Shockwave propagators

Convenient to work in the wrong light cone gauge $A^-=0$ for this problem (Gauge links in pdf definitions are unity in the right LC gauge $A^+=0$)

Dressed quark and gluon propagators: remarkably simple forms in A⁻=0 gauge

 $S(p,p') = (2\pi)^4 \delta^{(4)}(p-p') S_0(p) + S_0(p) \mathcal{T}(p,p') S_0(p')$ $G^{\mu\nu;ab}(p,p') = (2\pi)^4 \delta^{(4)}(p-p') G_0^{\mu\nu;ab} + G_0^{\mu\rho;ac} \mathcal{T}_{\rho\sigma;cd} G_0^{\sigma\nu;db}(p')$

McLerran, RV; hep-ph/9402335 Ayala,Jalilian-Marian,McLerran, RV; hep-ph/9508302 Balitsky, Belitsky, hep-ph/0110158 Structure of vertices identical to quark-quark-reggion and gluon-gluon-reggeon in Lipatov's Reggeon EFT Bondarenko,Lipatov,Pozdynyakov, Prygarin, arXiv:1708.05183 McLerran, RV; hep-ph/9402335 $j \longrightarrow p'$ $j \longrightarrow p'$ $\mu; a \longrightarrow p'$ $\mu; a \longrightarrow p'$ $\mu; a \longrightarrow p'$ $\tau_{\mu\nu;ab}(p, p') = -2\pi\delta(p^- - p'^-) \times (2p^-)g_{\mu\nu} \ sign(p^-) \int d^2\mathbf{z}_{\perp}e^{i(\mathbf{p}_{\perp} - \mathbf{p}'_{\perp})\cdot\mathbf{z}_{\perp}} U_{ab}^{sign(p^-)}(\mathbf{z}_{\perp})$

Hentschinski, arXiv:1802.06755

DIS inclusive photo+dijet production at NLO+NLLx



Roy, RV: in preparation

Formally NNLO: but collect NLO pieces in the photon+dijet impact factor + leading log pieces $\alpha_{\rm S} \log(\Lambda^{-}/\Lambda_{0}^{-})$

Formally NNLO: but collect LO pieces in the photon+dijet impact factor + NLL leading log pieces $\alpha_S^2 \log(\Lambda^{-}/\Lambda_0^{-})$

$$\langle \mathrm{d}\sigma_{NLO+NLLx} \rangle = \int [\mathcal{D}\rho_A] \left\{ W^{NLLx}[\rho_A] \,\mathrm{d}\hat{\sigma}_{LO}[\rho_A] + W^{LLx}[\rho_A] \,\mathrm{d}\hat{\sigma}_{NLO}[\rho_A] \right\}$$

$$= \int [\mathcal{D}\rho_A] \left(W^{NLLx}[\rho_A] \left\{ \mathrm{d}\hat{\sigma}_{LO}[\rho_A] + \mathrm{d}\hat{\sigma}_{NLO}[\rho_A] \right\} + O(\alpha_S^3 \ln(\Lambda_1^-/\Lambda_0^-)) \right)$$

$$\ln(\Lambda_1^-/\Lambda_0^-)(\mathcal{H}_{LO} + \mathcal{H}_{NLO})$$
NIO photon+dijet impact factor

Leading order JIMWLK Hamiltonian computed 20 years ago: 1997-2001 NLO JIMWLK Hamiltonian: 2013-2016

Balitsky, Chirilli, arXiv:1309.7644, Grabovsky, arXiv:1307.5414 Caron-Huot, arXiv:1309.6521, Kovner,Lublinsky,Mulian, arXiv:1310.0378, Lublinsky, Mulian, arXiv:1610.03453

The NLO inclusive photon impact factor

Several computations exist for inclusive DIS – subtleties

in choice of scheme, etc.

Balitsky,Chirilli, arXiv:1009.4729 Beuf, arXiv:1606.00777, 1708.06557 Hanninen, Lappi, Paatelainen, 1711.08207 Dijet: Boussarie, Grabovsky, Szymanowski, Wallon,1606.00419



Coda: A nontrivial derivation of JIMWLK evolution

coda : something that serves to round out, conclude, or summarize and usually has its own interest.

Roy, RV, in preparation

$$\text{Recall} \quad X^{\text{LO}}_{\mu\nu} = \mathcal{C}^{\text{LO}}_{\mu\nu} \otimes \Xi(\boldsymbol{x}_{\perp}, \boldsymbol{y}_{\perp}; \boldsymbol{y}'_{\perp}, \boldsymbol{x}'_{\perp} | \Lambda^{-}_{0}) \qquad \Xi(\boldsymbol{x}_{\perp}, \boldsymbol{y}_{\perp}; \boldsymbol{y}'_{\perp}, \boldsymbol{x}'_{\perp} | \Lambda^{-}_{0}) = 1 - D_{xy} - D_{y'x'} + Q_{y'x';xy}$$

In the "soft gluon limit" that generates logs in x, our NLO hadron tensor gives

$$\begin{split} X_{\mu\nu;LLx}^{\mathrm{NLO}} &= C_{\mu\nu}^{\mathrm{LO}} \otimes \ln(\Lambda_{1}^{-}/\Lambda_{0}^{-}) \left[\frac{\alpha_{S}N_{c}}{2\pi^{2}} \Big\{ \mathcal{K}_{B}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\perp},\boldsymbol{y}_{\perp};\boldsymbol{z}_{\perp}) D_{xy} + \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{x}_{\perp} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{y}_{\perp}' \\ \boldsymbol{y}_{\perp} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{x}_{\perp}' \end{pmatrix} \Big\} - \frac{\alpha_{S}N_{c}}{(2\pi)^{2}} \mathcal{K}_{1}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\perp},\boldsymbol{y}_{\perp},\boldsymbol{y}_{\perp}',\boldsymbol{x}_{\perp}';\boldsymbol{z}_{\perp}) Q_{xy;y'x'} \\ &- \frac{\alpha_{S}N_{c}}{2\pi^{2}} \Big\{ \mathcal{K}_{B}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\perp},\boldsymbol{y}_{\perp};\boldsymbol{z}_{\perp}) D_{xz}D_{zy} + \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{x}_{\perp} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{y}_{\perp}' \\ \boldsymbol{y}_{\perp} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{x}_{\perp}' \end{pmatrix} \Big\} + \frac{\alpha_{S}N_{c}}{(2\pi)^{2}} \left(\Big\{ \mathcal{A}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\perp},\boldsymbol{y}_{\perp}',\boldsymbol{y}_{\perp},\boldsymbol{x}_{\perp}';\boldsymbol{z}_{\perp}) D_{xx'}D_{y'y} + \boldsymbol{x}_{\perp} \leftrightarrow \boldsymbol{y}_{\perp}' \Big\} \\ &+ \Big\{ \mathcal{K}_{2}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\perp},\boldsymbol{y}_{\perp},\boldsymbol{x}_{\perp}';\boldsymbol{z}_{\perp}) D_{xz}Q_{zy;y'x'} + \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{x}_{\perp} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{y}_{\perp}' \\ \boldsymbol{y}_{\perp} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{x}_{\perp}' \end{pmatrix} \Big\} + \Big\{ \mathcal{K}_{2}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\perp}',\boldsymbol{x}_{\perp},\boldsymbol{y}_{\perp}';\boldsymbol{z}_{\perp}) D_{zx'}Q_{y'z;xy'} + \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{x}_{\perp} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{y}_{\perp}' \\ \boldsymbol{y}_{\perp} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{x}_{\perp}' \end{pmatrix} \Big\} \Big\} \end{split}$$

Nontrivial combinations of dipole and quadrupole operators

Dominguez, Mueller, Munier, Xiao, PLB705(2011)106

Remarkably, this can be reexpressed as $X_{\mu\nu;LLx}^{\text{NLO}} = C_{\mu\nu}^{\text{LO}} \otimes \ln\left(\frac{\Lambda_1^-}{\Lambda_0^-}\right) H_{\text{JIMWLK}}^{\text{LO}} \Xi(\boldsymbol{x}_{\perp}, \boldsymbol{y}_{\perp}; \boldsymbol{y}_{\perp}', \boldsymbol{x}_{\perp}' | \Lambda_0^-)$

This immediately leads to the JIMWLK RG equation for the rapidity evolution of many-body gluon correlators

$$W_{\Lambda_1^-}[\rho_A] = \left(1 + \ln\left(\frac{\Lambda_1^-}{\Lambda_0^-}\right) H_{\text{JIMWLK}}^{\text{LO}}\right) W_{\Lambda_0^-}[\rho_A]$$

Summary and Outlook

- Discussed inclusive photon production in p+p/A collisions. Good agreement with data in p+p but sensitivity to saturation effects small in min. bias. Compute p+A. Need NNLO to improve accuracy
- First computation of inclusive photon+dijet in e+A collisions. Rich structure in terms of 2-point and 4-point Wilson line correlators. Wellknown result for Weizsäcker-Williams gluon distribution recovered in the soft photon limit. In leading twist, the cross-section is directly proportional to the nuclear gluon distribution
- The structure of dressed quark and gluon propagators in the "wrong" light cone gauge A⁻=0 is remarkably simple and *facilitates higher order computations in momentum space* (using standard techniques in pQCD)
- Outlined first computation of the NLO inclusive photon+dijet impact factor. Nontrivial derivation of JIMWLK functional RG equation

Backup slides

Inclusive photon production in p+A



Preliminary (theory) results courtesy of Oscar Garcia-Montero

The NLO inclusive photon impact factor

Inclusive photon cross-section: NLO-real * NLO*real + LO * NLO virtual

Wilson line factor	Real emission	Virtual: Vertex	Virtual: Self-energy
$\frac{N_c^2}{2} \Big(1 - D_{xz} D_{zy} - D_{y'z} D_{zx'} + $	$T_R^{(1)*}T_R^{(1)}$		
$D_{y^\prime y} D_{xx^\prime} \Big) - rac{1}{2} \Xi(x_\perp,y_\perp;y_\perp^\prime,x_\perp^\prime)$			
$C_F N_c \Xi(oldsymbol{x}_\perp,oldsymbol{y}_\perp;oldsymbol{y}_\perp',oldsymbol{x}_\perp')$	$T_R^{(2)*}T_R^{(2)} + T_R^{(3)*}T_R^{(3)}$	$T_{LO}^* T_V^{(3)} + c.c$	$T_{LO}^*T_S^{(3)} + c.c$
$\frac{N_c^2}{2}[(1 - D_{xy})(1 - D_{y'x'})] -$	$T_R^{(2)*}T_R^{(3)} + c.c$	$T_{LO}^* T_V^{(4)} + c.c$	
$rac{1}{2}\Xi(x_{\perp},y_{\perp};y_{\perp}',x_{\perp}')$			
$\frac{N_c^2}{2} \left(1 + (Q_{zy;y'x'} - D_{zy}) D_{xz} - \right)$	$T_R^{(2)*}T_R^{(1)}$	$T_{LO}^* T_V^{(1)}$	$T_{LO}^* T_S^{(1)}$
$D_{y'x'}\Big) - rac{1}{2} \Xi(x_\perp,y_\perp;y'_\perp,x'_\perp)$			
$\frac{N_c^2}{2} \left(1 + (Q_{xy;y'z} - D_{y'z})D_{zx'} - \right) \right)$	$T_R^{(1)*}T_R^{(2)}$	$T_V^{(1)*} T_{LO}$	$T_{S}^{(1)*}T_{LO}$
$D_{xy} \Big) - rac{1}{2} \Xi(x_\perp,y_\perp;y'_\perp,x'_\perp)$			
$\frac{N_c^2}{2} \Big(1 + (Q_{y'x';xz} - D_{xz})D_{zy} - $	$T_R^{(3)}{}^*T_R^{(1)}$	$T_{LO}^* T_V^{(2)}$	$T_{LO}^* T_S^{(2)}$
$D_{y'x'}\Big) - rac{1}{2} \Xi(x_\perp,y_\perp;y'_\perp,x'_\perp)$			
$\frac{N_c^2}{2} \left(1 + (Q_{xy;zx'} - D_{zx'})D_{y'z} - \right)$	$T_R^{(1)*}T_R^{(3)}$	$T_V^{(2)*} T_{LO}$	$T_{S}^{(2)*}T_{LO}$
$D_{xy} ight) - rac{1}{2} \Xi(x_ot, y_ot; y_ot, x_ot)$			

For each Wilson line structure, collinear divergences cancel between real and Interference contributions

Rapidity and UV divergent pieces: these can be absorbed, in a subtraction scheme, into the NLLx JIMWLK expressions.