



# The fast kicker correction system for transparent top-up injection at SPring-8

SPring-8/JApan Synchrotron Radiation Research Institute (JASRI) Light Source Division, Accelerator Group, Magnet team Chikaori Mitsuda

## Outline

- Introduction of SPring-8 bump system and its history
- Motivation to introduce the FCK system
- Fast correction kicker system (FCK)
  - System Development History
  - Performance
- Suppression of residual oscillation by FCK
  - Beam oscillation results
  - Efficiency for light axis stability
- Current status and remaining issues
- Summary



# Outline

- Introduction of SPring-8 bump system and its history
- Motivation to introduce the FCK system
- Fast correction kicker system (FCK)
  - System Development History
  - Performance
- Suppression of residual oscillation by FCK
  - Beam oscillation results
  - Efficiency for light axis stability
- Current status and remaining issues
- Summary



#### **Top-view of beam injection system in SPring-8**



2017/8/28

#### **Injection magnet parameters**

Magnet	Length (m)	Gap (mm)	Coil turn #	Rated Curr. (ope.)	Field (T)	Ope. Kick angle (mrad)
DC septum 5,6	1.09	17	8	2460A/40V (2209A)	1.29	46.35
DC septum 7	0.50	15	4	2460A/40V (2209A)	0.73	12.03
Pulsed septum(*)	1.20	8	1 turn/6.2μH	4.0kA/4.5kV/45µs (2.2kV)	0.59	23.40
Bump1,4(*)	0.32	56	1 turn/5.5μH	6.2kA/26kV/8.4μs (14.7, 14.1kV)	0.202	2.17(BP1) 2.24(BP4)
Bump2,3(*)	0.17	56	1 turn/4.0μH	5.6kA/15kV/8.4µs (10.5,7.5kV)	0.112	0.86(BP2) 0.60(BP3)

\*Pulsed current shapes are half-sine wave function,  $\beta x=5.1m$  @ bump magnets

#### **Overview of 4 bump magnets arrangement**



- □ 4 bump magnets are arranged in normal straight section, the total distance of 24 m.
- Irregularly, to keep the high symmetry structure of the ring optics, non-linear optical magnets of sextupole exists between the bump orbit.
- Ring optics, for example, COD, betatron-tune, dynamic-aperture have an effect to the closing condition of bump orbit.

# Historical improvement before top-up operation

- Top-up operation in SPring-8 started in 2004.
- Before top-up operation, the sources of stored beam oscillation were improved. The major sources were estimated as followings at that time.
- <u>95% of stored beam oscillation amplitude was caused by bump effect.</u>

#### **Dominant source**

#### <u>Horizontal</u>

- The non-similarity of 4 bumps shape around the bump standing and falling point.
- □ The **non-linearity effect** by S-magnets between the bump magnets.
- The leakage fields from septum magnets, charging current of bump PS, eddy current induced at the vacuum chamber.

#### **Vertical**

- □ The bump magnets tilt.
- The beam tilt by coupling effect by the magnet field errors along the storage ring. The horizontal kick couples the vertical kick.

#### **Oscillation reduction after improvement**

T. Ohshima, et al., proc. of EPAC'04, Lucerne, Switzerland, (2004), p. 414



### **Still remaining residual oscillation**



**Residual oscillation is caused** by non-similarity of field shape in each part



The pulse width were adjusted within 2ns. But... The small difference are remaining.

Part of shape	Oscil. Amp.	Error kick
А	0.5mm	0.075 mrad
В	0.25 mm	0.05 mrad
С	0.15 mm	0.025 mrad

### **Still remaining residual oscillation**



## Outline

- Introduction of SPring-8 bump system and its history
   "Designed by www.slon.pics/Freepik"
- Motivation to introduce the FCK system
- Fast correction kicker system (FCK)
  - System Development History
  - Performance
- Suppression of residual oscillation by FCK
  - Beam oscillation results
  - Efficiency for light axis stability
- Current status and remaining issues
- Summary





11

# Impacts to user experiments by residual oscillation

- <u>Time-integrated experiment</u>. Need mask for oscillation dumping time (~10ms).
- <u>XPC and μSAXS experiment</u>. Need X-ray axis stability. Aiming stability is <0.2% ~ stored beam current stability of 0.1%.</li>
- <u>High current single bunch filling</u>. Take care of filling location of high current single bunch as the bunch has no large oscillation amplitude.



#### To-do list to aim at more reduction

- Reducing the residual oscillation by precise bump parameter tuning
  - More precise pulse width adjustment for 4 bumps. Adjusted within 2ns and 1ns in rising and falling part respectively.
  - 4 bumps power supply system. 4 knobs of kick angle x 4 knobs of kick fire timing.
  - Precise tuning of kick angle and kick timing to reduce the error kick and oscillation amplitude. Tuned with 0.01 mrad and 10ns precision.
- We had done all efforts for bump. But, we couldn't achieve enough.
- We decided to introduce the feed-forward Fast Correction (or Counter) Kickers as a final solution.
  - Applying each FCK to each oscillation part
  - Applying precise FCK tuning of kick timing and kick angle

#### FCK's complementary works to BBF

- FCK system was expected the complementary work to the bunch-by-bunch feedback (BBF) system.
- BBF system needs a feed-back time to give kicks (~40 turns).
- It is difficult simultaneously to suppress both the high current bunch oscillation with large amplitude and low current bunch oscillation by BBF system at that time. For example, high current > 5mA and large oscillation >0.3mm.
- Thus, it is most helpful for FCK system to suppress the large oscillation as fast as possible.
- Feed-forward scheme of FCK is good solution to the reduction of known oscillation source.

# Outline

- Introduction of SPring-8 bump system and its history
- Motivation to introduce the FCK system
- Fast correction kicker system (FCK)
  - System Development History
  - Performance
- Suppression of residual oscillation by FCK
  - Beam oscillation results
  - Efficiency for light axis stability
- Current status and remaining issues
- Summary







#### Fast Correction (or Counter) Kicker (FCK) system

- Bump height and fire timing adjustment of 4 bumps are controlled within 0.01mrad and within 10 ns corresponding to kick angle of 0.01 mrad.
- However, error kicks are not reduced in the bump orbit. Further enhancing the similarity of magnetic field shapes of 4 bumps is difficult.

FCK system was introduced as final suppression way



2017/8/28

## Installation history and current FCK's operation

Three kinds of oscillation is caused by bump Basic system development Secondary FCK@C48 had been done from 2008 to 2010. Primary kicker system was installed in 2010. **BBF** upgrade in 2010 to reduce the  $\xi y = 6 \rightarrow 2$ . BR **D** The operation of high current SR single bunch of 5mA was **Counter kick** started in 2012. **Tertiary FCK** Low emittance optics of 3.4  $\rightarrow$ 2.4 nmrad was started in <u>@ C</u>30 **2013**. 2<sup>nd</sup> FCK system started. □ 3<sup>rd</sup> FCK systems operation was started in 2016. Primary FCK @ C30

## **Requirements for kicker**

#### **Requirements from current ring condition**

- In the SPring-8 storage ring, there is no large space to install the FCK system.
   The another machine components are arranged closely.
- □ The remaining space to put FCK is 0.3 m.
- FCK system must be put at maximum β-place to achieve higher kick efficiency. There is space limitation.

#### Necessary key points for kicker

- □ Need a setting flexibility which FCK system can be put anywhere.
- □ Need large magnetic field even by short magnet length.
- □ Need fast rising time of magnetic field to match fast oscillation width.
- To reduce the inductance then realize both the fast rising time and large output, the power supply must be put near the kicker magnet.
- Power supply and magnet must be compact as much as possible.

# Development item in FCK system and the difficulty

- Aiming at its compactness
  - Apply separate function type. DC circuit part and pulsed circuit (driving circuit) part are separated.
  - <u>Use the solid-state switching device</u>.
- Aiming at fast rising time
  - 2 turn air-core magnet is applied. Feeder line was improved.
  - Driving circuit is put near to the kicker and is connected each 1 turn coil.
- Realizing both larger magnetic field and fast rising time
  - Need the higher voltage output. Need to multi-stack the solid-state switching devices. <u>Need the driving techniques of them simultaneously.</u>
- Caring for radiation and noise protection
  - Protect the driving circuit from synchrotron radiation.
  - Protect the circuit from the beam radiation noise and switching noise by itself.

#### **Concept design of FCK system**



□ Kicker: 2 turn air core magnet (total inductance is 0.7µH involving feeder line for each coil. Magnet length is 0.25m.) Each coil is connected to each driving power supply.
 □ Power supply: Driving circuit is put near to the kicker within the distance of 1m.

2017/8/28

#### **Development of pulsed power supply**



Circuit type (FY)	R&D (2007)	Test-I (2008)	Test-II (2009)	Development (2010)
Current(A/coil/V)	67/400	141,397/500	187,260/850	270,555,740/950
Pulse width(us)	1.1	1.2,2.5	0.8,1.2	0.8,1.8, <mark>2.4</mark>
Repetition(Hz)	1	1	10	150
# of MOSFET	2р	4p	4р	6р
MOSFET type	2SK3131	STY60NM60Z	STY30NK90Z	IXFB44N100P
Withstanding vol. (V)	500	600	900	1000

#### **Controller unit outside of accelerator tunnel**

## Test setup in 2010

- **G** Size of power supply:  $200(L)x120(W)x150(H) < 0.005m^3$
- □ Output: 800ns, 270A/coil (950V), 3.2mT, 33µrad@8GeV
- □ Variable pulse width, Bipolar (for oscillation shape and phase)
- □ Variable output current (for oscillation amplitude)

#### Setup in accelerator tunnel



![](_page_21_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_10.jpeg)

#### **Experience through user operation**

- Did not achieve the long-life operation of DPS at the beginning of the user operation.
- The averaged operation time was less than 1 week at the beginning. Minimum operation time was 2 days.
- We <u>noticed strong correlation</u> between DPS failure and the following conditions.
  - DPS failure did not occur under the operation of pulse width of more than 1.6µs. → Operation pulse width dependence
  - DPS failure was readily occurred under the 203 bunches, multi filling mode and the filling including high current single bunch of more than 3 mA. → Beam filling dependence
  - After all of above cares, DPS operation life time was saturated around about 1 month. → Special issue to operate DPS in machine tunnel

# Failure reasons and improvements for long life operation

Dependency	Failure source	Improvement	Operation life tim	е
Operation pulse width	Miss-driving by self switching noise and	Driver circuit improving 2d~1week Noise filter		
	device uniformity	Severe SS-device selection		ining
Beam filling mode	Beam radiation noise	System floating Noise shield enhancing	1 month	Comb
Saturation of operation life time	Synchrotron radiation damage	Radiation shield enhancing	more than 3 years	5

- We learned from above correlations that the care is necessary to operate the DPS continuously.
- After all of cares by step by step, the operation life time of DPS became more than 3 years and failure got not to occur by beam filling with high <u>current single bunch</u> of 8 mA.

## Upgrading of pulsed power supply

For user operation, newly developed driving power supply.

Circuit type (FY)	Improvement (2011)	
Current (A/coil/V)	232,282,383/1950	
Pulse width(us)	0.4,0.5,0.7	
Repetition(Hz)	10	
# of MOSFET	6px2s	
MOSFET type	IXFB30N120P	
Withstanding vol.	1200/2400 (for all)	

![](_page_24_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Figure_4.jpeg)

## Applying long user operation in 2012

![](_page_25_Picture_1.jpeg)

Primary fast kicker L = 0.25m,  $@\beta x = 39m$ 

![](_page_25_Picture_3.jpeg)

For A partFor B or C part31 urad<br/>counter kick<br/>by primary<br/>kicker12 urad<br/>counter kick<br/>by secondary kickerThe kicker's pulse<br/>width are variable.0.4~0.7us

- Both side of kicker, the shield boxes are put.
  - Beam RF noise shield
  - Radiation shield
- □ Standby P.S. also is put in the shield

Secondary fast correction kicker L = 0.22m,  $@\beta x = 32m$ 

400ns, 230A/coil 700ns, 383A/coil (1.95kV applied)

800ns, 270A/coil 1600ns, 540A/coil (1kV applied)

![](_page_25_Picture_12.jpeg)

#### **Necessary of tertiary FCK system**

![](_page_26_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Power supply further upgrading for 3<sup>rd</sup> FCK

6.0 kV DPS for 3 <sup>rd</sup> kicker	Circuit type (FY)	1 <sup>st</sup> kicker (2011)	3 <sup>rd</sup> kicker (2015)	
	Current (A/coil/V)	232,282,383/1950	192,263,384/5000	
Da CHINE	Pulse width(us)	0.4,0.5,0.7	0.15,0.2,0.3	
	Repetition(Hz)	10	10	
	# of MOSFET	6px2s=12	8px5s=40	
	MOSFET type	Si-MOSFET	SiC-MOSFET	
250(w)v200(d)v200(b)mm	MOS HV Res.	1200V	1200V	
=0.021m <sup>3</sup>	Module HV	2.4kV	6.0kV	
150ns pulse width	200ns pulse	width <u>3</u>	00ns pulse width	
TEXIO TECHNOLOGY Co.				
Topical Workshop on Injection				

### **Tertiary kicker installation -kicker**

#### Air-core kicker magnet made by Bakelite

![](_page_28_Figure_2.jpeg)

2017/8/28

Systems (TWIIS2017)

#### **Tertiary kicker installation -chamber**

![](_page_29_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### **Tertiary kicker installation -grounding**

![](_page_30_Picture_1.jpeg)

Ground connection for shield box Al (0.5t) ground plate + Cu (0.5t) ground line

### **Tertiary kicker installation -shielding**

Front-side to beam direction

![](_page_31_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_0.jpeg)

Topical Workshop on Injection and Injection Systems (TWIIS2017) **Beam direction** 

### **Summary of FCK systems**

#### \*W/ ceramic chamber, actual feeder-line

	Primary kicker	Secondary kicker	Tertiary kicker
Location	Unit cell 30 (LSS)	Unit cell 48 (unit cell)	Unit cell 30 (LSS)
β(x)	39.9m	32m	39.9m
Magnet length	0.25m	0.22m	0.25m
Magnet gap		50mm	
Inductance	672 nH @ 400ns	618 nH@800ns	641 nH@150ns
Max. kick angle	24.8µrad@400ns	35.5µrad@800ns	17.8 μrad@150ns
Pulse width	400, 500, 700ns	800,1000, <mark>1600</mark> ns	150, <mark>200</mark> , 300ns
Rated current (*)	200A/coil @ 400ns 350A/coil @ 700ns	316A/coil @800ns 632A/coil @1600ns	121A/coil@150ns 321A/coil@300ns
Withstanding vol./Rated vol.	2.4kV/ <mark>1.95kV</mark>	1.2kV/ <mark>0.9kV</mark>	6.0kV/ <mark>5.0kV</mark>
DPS volume	0.0078m <sup>3</sup>	0.005m <sup>3</sup>	0.021m <sup>3</sup>

# Outline

- Introduction of SPring-8 bump system and its history
   "Designed by www.slon.pics/Freepik"
- Motivation to introduce the FCK system
- Fast correction kicker system (FCK)
  - System Development History
  - Performance
- Suppression of residual oscillation by FCK
  - Beam oscillation results
  - Efficiency for light axis stability
- Current status and remaining issues
- Summary

![](_page_34_Picture_13.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Picture_14.jpeg)

### **Monitor systems and FCK**

- Kicker tuning before user cycles: By using Single-Pass Beam Position Monitor (14 SPBPMs), the oscillation suppression is confirmed. FCK timing and kick angle is adjusted in order to reduce the oscillation amplitude. βx=16.1m @ SPBPM
- In the user operation : Bunch-by-Bunch Feedback (BBF) monitor, X-ray Turn-By-Turn Beam Profile Monitor (TTPM) are used to observe the reduction efficiency in the user operation.

![](_page_35_Figure_3.jpeg)

### Phase advance survey and timing tuning

![](_page_36_Figure_1.jpeg)

Kicker position is fixed. Phase advance is surveyed

- Turn
   The maximum reduction efficiency achieved within 2
   7 turns, because horizontal tune value is 0.15.
- At that turn, the kick timing is precisely adjusted to get good coincidence between kicker peak and oscillation peak timing by 100ns. 2017/8/28

![](_page_36_Figure_4.jpeg)

## Phase advance and timing tuning

Kicker position is fixed. Phase advance is surveyed by shifting FCK timing by turn-by-turn.

![](_page_37_Figure_2.jpeg)

- Injection turn
   Turn
   Image: State of turns

   □
   The maximum reduction efficiency achieved within State of turns, because horizontal tune value is 0.15.
   Image: State of turns
- At that turn, the kick timing is precisely adjusted to get good coincidence between kicker top and oscillation peak timing by 100ns. 2017/8/28

![](_page_37_Figure_5.jpeg)

## **Results of oscillation suppression**

![](_page_38_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### **Suppression of vertical oscillation**

- Skew error of optical magnets exists along the storage ring. By this error, the beam tiles vertically. The horizontal oscillation has an effect to vertical direction.
- Suppression by FCK has an impact on the vertical oscillation. 2017/8/28
  Topical Worksho

\* In this case, 2 FCK system were used.

#### Suppression horizontal oscillation

- ■Primary kicker: 31µrad/500ns
- ■Secondary kicker: 12µrad/1400ns

#### Reduction efficiency

- Primary kicker: 90%
- Secondary kicker: 50%
- Residual oscillation <0.15mm</p>

![](_page_38_Figure_13.jpeg)

### Results of turn-by-turn convolution by BBF monitor

- With BBF pick-up monitor, Bunch-By-Bunch, Turn-By-Turn, 2000 turns oscillation amplitude data are available during user operation.
- □ In the 203 bunch filling mode, each bunch oscillation are convoluted up to 128 turns.

![](_page_39_Figure_3.jpeg)

### **Turn-By-Turn Beam Profile Monitor**

□ We confirmed the suppression of the light axis angular oscillation by the TTPM.

![](_page_40_Figure_2.jpeg)

2017/8/28

### Horizontal light axis angular oscillation

- Gate window timing was fixed to maximum oscillation point. And, turn-by-turn light axis angular oscillation was observed.
- It took 3 turns to reduce the oscillation amplitude from 30 µrad to 4 µrad. The reduction ratio was 87%. Without kick correction, it takes 80 turns to reduce the oscillation down to 4 µrad with BBF ON.
- □ The oscillation damping time was improved by 30%. Kicker helps BBF correction.

![](_page_41_Figure_4.jpeg)

# Comparison between light axis and beam oscillation distribution

- The distribution of light axis angular oscillation was observed by TTPM by shifting TTPM gate window with 200ns steps.
- The oscillation structure and reduction ratio was same as data achieved by SPBPM

![](_page_42_Figure_3.jpeg)

# Outline

- Introduction of SPring-8 bump system and its history
- Motivation to introduce the FCK system
- Fast correction kicker system (FCK)
  - System Development History
  - Performance
- Suppression of residual oscillation by FCK
  - Beam oscillation results
  - Efficiency for light axis stability
- Current status and remaining issues
- Summary

![](_page_43_Picture_13.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Picture_14.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Figure_15.jpeg)

### **Current results including tertiary FCK**

![](_page_44_Figure_1.jpeg)

### **Observed the efficiency loosing in TTPM**

![](_page_45_Figure_1.jpeg)

**Rising part of bump wave** 

![](_page_45_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Figure_4.jpeg)

In user operation, we watched whether the suppression efficiency was kept or not continuously in opening the gate window of TTPM at rising part of bump wave.

In this measurement, we noticed that the efficiency was lost by a factor of 2 in a week. In this period, the bump timing drift of 100ns was monitored.

This phenomenon was also observed in BBF, the result was consistent.
Topical Workshop on Injection and Injection

2017/8/28

## **Observed D/N variation in TTPM**

Light axis oscillation amplitude w/o kicker > 500 (out of range of below figure)
 Initial suppression level at light axis oscillation peak was 100 (dot-line in the figure)

![](_page_46_Figure_2.jpeg)

Suppressed light axis oscillation changed by D/N variation. The variation was strongly correlated with the D/N variation of bump P.S. room temperature. In this period, ±35ns bump timing variation was monitored.

□ The suppressed oscillation level was gradually loosed by increasing of room temperature.

# The mechanism of suppression efficiency loosing

- There are two phenomenon about the loosing suppression efficiency.
  - D/N variation and seasonal drift of current output timing of bump P.S.
     →Simultaneous motion, Oscillation peak position and amplitude change
  - − The changes of the timing differences for 4 bump P.S. from an initial condition. → Individual motion, Oscillation shape and amplitude change

![](_page_47_Figure_4.jpeg)

## The verification for suppression efficiency loosing by using TTPM

The timing difference changing was simulated by shifting the output timing of each bump actually from 5 ns to 20 ns individually or all bumps to same direction of 100 ns, In this test, the FCK was ON. The oscillation distribution was observed by TTPM G.W.

![](_page_48_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### Summary of the suppression efficiency loosing

![](_page_49_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Timing jitter of 7ns and the timing shift less than 10 ns in simultaneous motion , the oscillation suppression efficiency is not loosed in this case.
- The timing difference changing between bumps is corrected by bump timing tuning in the machine tuning period between user operation cycles, because this change is caused very slowly.
- Room temperature was stabilized by ΔT<1.0°C, regular kicker timing adjustment is now being applied to trace the bump seasonal drift.

![](_page_50_Picture_0.jpeg)

Summary

- The FCK system contribute not only to the light axis stability but also to high current single bunch storing.
- The achieved suppression efficiency is more than 80% at the oscillation peak. This value is consistent with all monitor results.
- By feed-forward (FF) scheme, the light axis oscillation angle more than 30 µrad at the initial level is suppressed to 4 µrad within 3 turns. And, the damping time is improved by 30%. Complementary works for BBF is as expectation.
- In FF scheme, the bump output timing changes causes the suppression efficiency loosing by slight shift of a few 10 ns. We are applying combination scheme of feed-back by regular FCK timing and bump timing adjustment between user cycles based on watching results of bump output timing.

# End

#### Acknowledgement

- I would like to thank my colleagues for great support.
- <u>JASRI</u>: K. Fukami, K. Kobayashi, M. Masaki, M. Oishi, Y.
   Shimosaki, M. Shoji, K. Soutome, and M. Takao
- <u>SES</u>: T. Honiden, and T. Matsuzaka
- <u>Sekine Electric Works Co. Ltd</u>: N. Sekine
- A part of this work was supported by Harima Branch, RIKEN.
- Thank you so much for your kind listening!

![](_page_51_Picture_8.jpeg)