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1. Some Measurements of Present SLS Injection
2. Antiseptum for SLS-2
3. Summary
Metallised ceramic not rigidly connected to ferrite magnet core. Air gaps top and bottom in core was provision for forced air cooling (finally not required) and do no affect homogeneity.
For SLS construction, considerable effort was used to understand and reduce waveform matching errors of the fields in the four kickers. The matching errors are $\pm 0.1\%$, dominated by the unevenness of titanium metallisation in the ceramic chambers.

Example shown here is transverse field plot through the magnet.
Roll Kickers to Null Vertical Movement

Half sine bump pulse = 5.38us
SR rotation period = 960.7ns
The negative pulse tail is necessary part of pulser turn-off
At all times, the field in the kicker magnets is matched to <+/−0.1%

Conclusions:

A pulse lasting ~ 6 revolution periods tends to cancel horizontal bump closure errors

IF a tiny proportion of the horizontal bump appears in the vertical direction, this also tends to cancel out
Roll Kickers to Null Vertical Movement

Step 1 – Change vertical tune to get measurably large signals on pickup

Conclusion:

Moving the vertical tune to about 8.9 maximises the vertical movement – rough agreement with predicted (red curve) and with previous slide.
Roll Kickers to Null Vertical Movement

Step 2 – Stepper motor to roll kicker ferrite cores (not chambers)

Trial and error rolling, typically +/- 1mrad range

Sweeping vertical tune to confirm behaviour

Confirmed, with SLS operating tune of 8.737, the tiny effect of kicker roll is not measurable with the present pickup system.
Roll Kickers to Null Vertical Movement

Summary:

The vertical movement at the location of the tune pickup can be nulled out by small roll corrections of the kickers.

By scaling beam size monitor to the location of the tune pickup also gives the beam size:

- Horizontal beam size (betax=9.03): 221.9um
- Horizontal beam movement (betax=9.03): 57.5um
- Vertical beam size (betay=16.13): 11.5um
- Vertical beam movement (betay=16.13): 10.3um

By scaling all these to the sensitive location of the U19 Undulator (emittance coupling 0.6%)

- Horizontal beam size (betax=1.93): 102.6um
- Horizontal beam movement (betax=1.93): 6.3um
- Vertical beam size (betay=0.95): 5.7um
- Vertical beam movement (betay=0.95): 3.0um

These measurements have hardly begun and these results are provisional, likewise work on horizontal movement suppression (using fast DACs and corrector coils) has not even been started.

X ray intensity fluctuations from injection are not noticeable for many SLS beamline users; as best we know, no user finds it necessary to use the gating signal to mask the data acquisition during the transient.

Conclusion:

Unwanted beam movement is not a strong reason to abandon dipole kickers for injection.
1. Some Measurements of Present SLS Injection
2. Antiseptum for SLS-2
3. Summary
A conventional injection scheme is based on a septum to deflect the injected bunch with a fast pulsed bump using kickers to bring the stored beam close to the septum wall.

With the novel “antiseptum” improvement, the bump kickers are fitted with a metal eddy current conductor which screens the injected bunch from deflection without changing the stored beam bump behaviour. This metal screen then forms the final septum, but inverted in function of the conventional approach, hence the name "anti-septum". The approach does not remove the need for the main septum magnet, but for modest cost it permits the injected bunch to be brought closer to the stored beam.
There are reasonable technical solutions to precision placement of the antiseptum conductor, but drawings are not yet available.
Simulation Model in CST

Antiseptum conductors in main field of the kicker magnet, but not electrically connected to anything.
Measurement of Homogeneity with Differential Probe

Arbitrary coil dimensions, in this case coil center-to-center is 2mm

The summed signal is balanced to zero in a homogeneous field. In this case, full scale signal (let’s take Coil A as reference) is +/-400mV or 800mVpp. The summed signal above is <500uVpp. So resolution is 3.0 * 500uV/800mV = 0.19% integrated over ~2mm coil separation.

Coil A

Coil B

Coil A + B summed in resistive 50Ohm

Scale factor 3.0 (slightly dependent on instance of null point)
At moment of current peak at 3us:
2kA on main magnet
main field on axis ~65mT

After current has stopped at 9us:
eddy currents still flowing in metal,
leakage field on axis <300uT

After the main excitation current pulse has disappeared, long term residual fields remain because of the eddy current flowing inside the conductors. However, the residual fields are also homogeneous, are at low level compared to main field and are proportional to the excitation current so they tend to sum to zero through the three or four bump kickers.

With round conductors, the field homogeneity during the pulse is unusable...
Circular Conductors, Field Homogeneity during the Pulse

Symmetric Conductors

Asymmetric Conductors

The plots show field along a horizontal transverse line from beam axis at $x=0$ mm to $x=5$ mm, that it to within 1 mm of the anti-septum conductor at $x=6$ mm. The last millimeter is unstable because of meshing limitations.

For circular conductors, inhomogenous field is not usable!

The measurement system (shown later) gives homogeneity as the difference in fields separated by 2 mm. For the plot of the asymmetric conductor case above, from $x=3$ to $x=5$ mm, CST gives ~19% inhomogeneity, the measurement system gives ~20%.
At moment of current peak at 3us:
2kA on main magnet
main field on axis ~65mT

After current has stopped at 9us:
eddy currents still flowing in metal,
leakage field on axis <300uT

But for rectangular conductors the story changes.

The long vertical edges tend to maintain homogeneity…
The plots show field along a horizontal transverse line from beam axis at x=0 mm to x=5 mm, that it to within 1 mm of the anti-septum conductor at x=6 mm. The last millimeter is unstable because of meshing limitations.

Symmetric configuration with dual conductors is preferred; but the symmetric configuration may not be practical because the outer conductor is subjected to high intensity X-radiation from the up-stream storage ring dipole.

Asymmetric configuration with single conductor gives poorer homogeneity but may still be usable. This inhomogeneity can be improved by small geometry changes in the shape of the conductors and by asymmetric shimming of the magnet poles. Work is in progress to find the optimum configuration.

The measurement system in the following slide gives homogeneity as the difference in fields separated by 2 mm. For the plot of the asymmetric conductor case above, CST gives ~1.4%, <0.2% is measured. This discrepancy needs clarification!
CST Simulation of Pulsed Field, Eddy Current Fields

Asymmetric Conductors

Enlarged scale time plot showing tail from eddy currents. Through multiple dipole kickers, these tails will sum towards zero.
One example of optimising conductor shape for horizontal field homogeneity.

Difficult trade-off of mesh size and simulation run time.

As before, field plot from axis (x=0) to 1mm away from conductor at 6mm.
1. Some Measurements of Present SLS Injection
2. Antiseptum for SLS-2
3. Summary
Proposed SLS-2 Injection Using Antiseptum

Kicker 1  
2.3 mrad  
120W x 44H x 500L  
37 mT  
1.3 kA  
1.5 kV  
6us half sine  
passive antiseptum

Septum  
157 mrad  
25W x 6H x 1570L  
942 mT  
4.5 kA  
1.9 kV  
70us full sine  
3mm septum in vacuum

Kicker 2  
6.3 mrad  
120W x 44H x 500L  
101 mT  
3.5 kA  
4.0 kV  
6us half sine  
1mm “antiseptum”

Kicker 3  
4.0 mrad  
120W x 44H x 500L  
64 mT  
2.2 kA  
2.5 kV  
6us half sine  
passive antiseptum
Proposed SLS-2 Injection Using Antiseptum

“Active” antiseptum in kicker K2

“Passive” antiseptum conductors in kicker K1 and K3 – these preserve the inductance and resistance so the pulse shape is identical
Antiseptum Scheme for SLS-2

- flat vertical eddy current conductor minimises field inhomogeneity and shields the injected beam

- injected beam can be brought to ~3mm from stored beam

- small separation of injected-to-stored beam has consequence that a small bump (6mm) can be used without restricting the betatron tune

- field inhomogeneity (here defined as field difference with 3mm separation) in the middle kicker K2 will be <1%. Magnet shimming, together with a fast DAC predictive corrector winding is expected to bring this down to +/-0.1%, to correspond to the present SLS waveform matching.

- expected technical difficulties are:
  - long high field septum
  - compact mechanical design of vacuum system
  - uniformity for the kicker ceramic coating

Summary
Tune restriction from injection scheme – more or less none!