Electron cloud at the LHC and LHC injectors

Giovanni Rumolo

with H. Bartosik, E. Belli, P. Dijkstal, G. Iadarola, K. Li, L. Mether, A. Romano, M. Schenk, F. Zimmermann

Acknowledgments: G. Arduini, V. Baglin, B. Bradu, G. Bregliozzi, K. Brodzinski, X. Buffat, R. Cappi, L. Carver, F. Caspers, P. Chiggiato, S. Claudet, P. Costa-Pinto, J. Esteban-Müller, M. Giovannozzi, B. Goddard, N. Hilleret, M. Jimenez, E. Mahner, E. Métral, H. Neupert, S. Rioja-Fuentelsaz, E. Rogez, B. Salvant, E. Shaposhnikova, G. Skripka, M. Taborelli, L. Tavian, C. Yin-Vallgren, C. Zannini

IPAC 2017, Copenhagen, Denmark, 15 May 2017

Outline

- Basics of electron cloud in particle accelerators
  - Electron cloud build up and effects on the beam
  - Scrubbing
- Electron cloud studies in the CERN accelerators
- Closing remarks

Basics of electron cloud



Basics of electron cloud

Generation of electrons inside the vacuum chamber
(primary, or seed, electrons)

- Acceleration of primary electrons in the beam field
- Secondary electron production when hitting the wall





Dangerous if
SEY > 1

Basics of electron cloud

Generation of electrons inside the vacuum chamber (primary, or seed, electrons)

- **Acceleration of primary electrons in the beam field**
- **Secondary electron production when hitting the wall**
- **Avalanche electron multiplication**



Basics of electron cloud

Generation of electrons inside the vacuum chamber (primary, or seed, electrons)



- Acceleration of primary electrons in the beam field
- Secondary electron production when hitting the wall
- Avalanche electron multiplication



After the passage of several bunches, the electron distribution inside the chamber reaches a dynamic steady state (electron cloud)

Basics of electron cloud



After the passage of several bunches, the electron distribution inside the chamber reaches a dynamic steady state (electron cloud)

Basics of electron cloud



After the passage of several bunches, the electron distribution inside the chamber reaches a dynamic steady state (electron cloud)
→ Several effects associated

Effects of the electron cloud

The presence of an e-cloud inside an accelerator ring is revealed by several **typical signatures**

- ✓ Fast pressure rise, outgassing
- ✓ Additional heat load
- ✓ Baseline shift of the pick-up electrode signal
- ✓ Synchronous phase shift due to the energy loss



$$\Delta P \propto \int \eta_e(E) \langle \Phi_e(E) \rangle dE$$

$$\Delta W = \int \langle \Phi_e(E) \rangle E dE$$

Effects of the electron cloud

The presence of an e-cloud inside an accelerator ring is revealed by several **typical signatures**

- ✓ Fast pressure rise, outgassing
- ✓ Additional heat load
- ✓ Baseline shift of the pick-up electrode signal
- ✓ Synchronous phase shift due to the energy loss

Machine observables

- ✓ Tune shift along the bunch train
- ✓ Coherent instability
  - Single bunch effect affecting the last bunches of a train
  - Coupled bunch effect
- ✓ Poor beam lifetime and emittance growth



Effects of the electron cloud

The presence of an e-cloud inside an accelerator ring is revealed by several **typical signatures**

- ✓ Fast pressure rise, outgassing
- ✓ Additional heat load
- ✓ Baseline shift of the pick-up electrode signal
- ✓ Synchronous phase shift due to the energy loss

Machine observables

- ✓ Tune shift along the bunch train
- ✓ Coherent instability
  - Single bunch effect affecting the last bunches of a train
  - Coupled bunch effect
- ✓ Poor beam lifetime and emittance growth

Beam observables

- ✓ Active monitoring: signal on dedicated electron detectors (e.g. strip monitors) and retarding field analysers

CERN's accelerator complex



CERN's accelerator complex



Conditions for e-cloud formation (beam structure, chamber geometry and properties) are potentially met in **PS**, **SPS** and **LHC**

Surface scrubbing

- Fortunately, the SEY of a surface becomes lower under electron bombardment (scrubbing)
- Laboratory measurements show that
  - SEY decreases quickly at the beginning of the process, then slows down
  - Electrons with different energies have different 'scrubbing efficiency'
  - The 'final' value of SEY depends on material, e^- energy, temperature, vacuum composition, more?



R. Cimino *et al.*, **ECLOUD12**, Elba Island

Surface scrubbing

- If the accelerator can be run in **e-cloud regime**, scrubbing is expected to naturally occur
  - Fortunately **beam dynamics knobs** exist to preserve beam stability, although lifetime might be poor in presence of significant e-cloud (which affects scrubbing efficiency)
  - Dedicated **scrubbing runs** can be used to lower the SEY



Surface scrubbing

- **Beam-induced scrubbing** is different from lab scrubbing
  - It becomes even slower while it progresses, due to the decrease of the electron flux as the SEY decreases



Surface scrubbing

- **Beam-induced scrubbing** is different from lab scrubbing
  - It becomes even slower while it progresses, due to the decrease of the electron flux as the SEY decreases
  - It comes from pulsed electron bombardment (MHz) with a broad spectrum of energies
  - It happens in the vacuum chamber of an accelerator
    - It is localized according to e-cloud distribution pattern and may be affected when beam properties or magnetic field change
    - It is affected by other mechanisms (ion or photon bombardment)
    - Its evolution is related to vacuum dynamics in the chamber





Surface scrubbing

- **Beam-induced scrubbing**
  - Has been measured directly at the SPS with StSt rotatable sample exposed to the beam or to SEY measurement device (2004)



Schematic view of the in-situ SEY detector installed in the SPS



Surface scrubbing

- **Beam-induced scrubbing**
  - Is revealed by improving accelerator conditions over time, e.g. decrease of pressure rise, heat load, stable phase shift, improvement of beam quality → not obvious sometimes, as timescales can be long and effects are entangled



Surface scrubbing

- **Beam-induced scrubbing**
  - Is revealed by improving accelerator conditions over time, e.g. decrease of pressure rise, heat load, stable phase shift, improvement of beam quality → not obvious sometimes, as timescales can be long and effects are entangled



Electron cloud in the CERN accelerators

- The e-cloud has been observed/studied at the
  - **Proton Synchrotron (PS)**
  - **Super Proton Synchrotron (SPS)**
  - **Large Hadron Collider (LHC)**

ECLOUD
PyECLOUD



HEADTAIL
PyHEADTAIL



Electron cloud in the CERN accelerators

- The e-cloud has been observed/studied at the
  - **Proton Synchrotron (PS)**
  - **Super Proton Synchrotron (SPS)**
  - **Large Hadron Collider (LHC)**

… some highlights …

LHC beam production in the PS







The e-cloud only appears after the last bunch splitting and during bunch rotation

Electron cloud in the PS

- Most of the direct electron cloud measurements in the PS were made in a straight section equipped with shielded pick ups





25 ns beam, 72 bunches
1.2×10^{11} p/b

SEY = 1.6

Electron cloud in the PS

- Most of the direct electron cloud measurements in the PS were made in a straight section equipped with shielded pick ups
- This electron cloud can be source of instabilities → Studied by storing for about 100 ms a 25 ns beam with b.len.≈10 ns





Electron cloud in the PS

- Most of the direct electron cloud measurements in the PS were made in a straight section equipped with shielded pick ups
- This electron cloud can be source of instabilities → Studied by storing for about 100 ms a 25 ns beam with b.len.≈10 ns

- Short time with e-cloud means no scrubbing in the PS !
- No important limitations coming from e-cloud nor expected with future higher current operation (2.6 x 10^{11} p/b)
  - Transverse feedback system to take care of instability, if necessary

Electron cloud in the SPS

- Strong limitation due to e-cloud with 25 ns beams until ~2011
  - Instabilities at injection to be cured with high chromaticity (V) and transverse feedback system (H)
  - Severe pressure rise around the machine
  - Strong emittance growth along bunch trains







Electron cloud in the SPS

- Strong limitation due to e-cloud with 25 ns beams until ~2011
  - Instabilities at injection to be cured with high chromaticity (V) and transverse feedback system (H)
  - Severe pressure rise around the machine
  - Strong emittance growth along bunch trains

- **Scrubbing runs** since 2002 with long cycles at 26 GeV (each lasting from 2 days to 2 weeks)
- No significant degradation seen for **four trains of 72 bunches of nominal 25 ns beam (1.2e11 p/b)** after 2010



~1 month before 2005 long shutdown
16 days in 2006 – 2009

Electron cloud in the SPS

- **Strip detectors** installed to measure the integrated signal of electron current through holes in the vacuum chamber
  - Four monitors installed to measure e-cloud in different geometries, with different materials or surface treatment (with possible B field)
  - Reconstruction of **horizontal profile**
  - **No time resolved** signal available



Electron cloud in the SPS

- **Strip detectors** installed to measure the integrated signal of electron current through holes in the vacuum chamber
  - Comparing experimental data against simulations for different magnetic fields applied

B = 42 G

Measurement



Simulation



Electron cloud in the SPS

- **Strip detectors** installed to measure the integrated signal of electron current through holes in the vacuum chamber
  - Comparing experimental data against simulations for different magnetic fields applied

B = 83 G

Measurement



Simulation



Electron cloud in the SPS

- **Strip detectors** installed to measure the integrated signal of electron current through holes in the vacuum chamber
  - Comparing experimental data against simulations for different magnetic fields applied

B = 125 G

Measurement



Simulation



Electron cloud in the SPS

- **Strip detectors** installed to measure the integrated signal of electron current through holes in the vacuum chamber
  - Comparing experimental data against simulations for different magnetic fields applied

B = 175G

Measurement



Simulation



Electron cloud in the SPS

- **Strip detectors** installed to measure the integrated signal of electron current through holes in the vacuum chamber
  - Comparing experimental data against simulations for different magnetic fields applied

B = 250 G

Measurement



Simulation



Electron cloud in the SPS

- **Strip detectors** installed to measure the integrated signal of electron current through holes in the vacuum chamber
  - Comparing experimental data against simulations for different magnetic fields applied

B = 833 G

Measurement



Simulation



Electron cloud in the SPS

- **Strip detectors** installed to measure the integrated signal of electron current through holes in the vacuum chamber
  - Comparing experimental data against simulations for different magnetic fields applied

B = 1000 G

Measurement



Simulation



Electron cloud in the SPS: the future

- **SPS** is presently producing the beams for LHC within specifications
- In the future, **intensity and brightness out of the SPS will double** and the path against e-cloud is so defined
  - Continue relying on scrubbing on the long term
  - a-C coat all focusing quadrupole and short straight section drift chambers in synergy with impedance reduction program
  - a-C coat all dipoles with MBB-type chambers over one arc
  - Continue coating during next Long Shutdown, if necessary

MBB Cathode



SSS and QF Cathode

Courtesy of M. Van Gompel and the CERN coating team

Electron cloud in the SPS: the future

⇒ Logistics for **a-C coating** of different types of chambers successfully proven during the last Technical Stop



Courtesy of M. Van Gompel
and the CERN coating team

Electron cloud in the LHC

- **LHC** showed first signs of e-cloud with 150 ns beams (2010), but only in the form of pressure rise in the interaction regions
  - **Solenoids** were applied at some locations and worked effectively to suppress locally the e-cloud



Electron cloud in the LHC

- It was with **50 ns beams** (2011) that clear signs of beam degradation from e-cloud appeared
  - Scrubbing run (10 days) necessary (beginning 2011) to go in physics production with 50 ns beams



Day 1 of scrubbing – 300 bunches



Electron cloud in the LHC

- It was with **50 ns beams** (2011) that clear signs of beam degradation from e-cloud appeared
  - Scrubbing run (10 days) necessary (beginning 2011) to go in physics production with 50 ns beams
  - However, injection of the first 25 beams led to strong e-cloud driven instabilities → High chromaticity needed at injection

First injection of 48 bunches with 25 ns spacing

Measurement



Simulation



Electron cloud in the LHC

- It was with **50 ns beams** (2011) that clear signs of beam degradation from e-cloud appeared
  - Scrubbing run (10 days) necessary (beginning 2011) to go in physics production with 50 ns beams
  - However, injection of the first 25 beams led to strong e-cloud driven instabilities → High chromaticity needed at injection
  - Tests with 25 ns beams in the course of 2011 already provided enough **'conditioning margin'** in the arcs to run stably 50 ns beams for physics throughout 2011 and 2012, without requiring additional dedicated scrubbing runs

Electron cloud in the LHC

- The evolution of the SEY in the beam screen of the arcs in 2011 could be reconstructed using the **measured heat load data** in combination with **PyECLOUD simulations** done with the measured beam profiles



Electron cloud in the LHC

- The scrubbing achieved until end 2012 was undone when LHC was vented during Long Shutdown 1 (LS1)
- 2015 needed **four weeks** of patient scrubbing to enable LHC to start physics production with 25 ns beams



Electron cloud in the LHC

- To fill LHC with 25 ns beams in presence of electron cloud it has been necessary to run with high chromaticity and octupole currents throughout the cycle (Annalisa's poster!)
- More scrubbing has been accumulated while running for physics with 25 ns beams during 2015 and 2016



Electron cloud in the LHC

- To fill LHC with 25 ns beams in presence of electron cloud it has been necessary to run with high chromaticity and octupole currents throughout the cycle (Annalisa's poster!)
- More scrubbing has been accumulated w
  25 ns beams during 2015 and 2016

Open questions:
Why do different sectors behave differently?
Has scrubbing saturated or can we still gain something?



Electron cloud in the LHC: the future

- In the **High Luminosity** (HL) era, LHC will also run with **double intensity and brightness**
- Dependence on bunch intensity seems to be favorable in both dipoles and quadrupoles for low enough SEY values (pending experimental verification)





Electron cloud in the LHC: the future

- In the **High Luminosity** (HL) era, LHC will also run with **double intensity and brightness**
- Dependence on bunch intensity seems to be favorable in both dipoles and quadrupoles for low enough SEY values (pending experimental verification)
- **Back up solution**: Use low electron cloud filling patterns with gaps to suppress the build up of the electron cloud (proved to work!)
  - At the expense of the number of bunches in the machine

Electron cloud in the LHC: the future

Full 25 ns beam (trains of 72 bunches)



25 ns beam with gaps (trains of 56 bunches in 8b+4e)



Closing remarks

- Thanks to intensive measurements and highly empowered simulation tools, we have reached a deep knowledge of the electron cloud in the different CERN accelerators

- For the present beam parameters (1.2 x 10^{11} p/b, 25 ns)
  - PS and SPS can deliver required beams within original specs
  - LHC still suffers from electron cloud, but has been operating thanks to scrubbing with physics. The question is still open, up to which point?
- For future beam parameters (double intensity and brightness)
  - Transverse feedback system against e-cloud instabilities in PS
  - SPS will rely on scrubbing and prepares to full a-C coating of the most e-cloud prone chambers if that will not be enough
  - HL-LHC will depend on the scrubbing evolution, experimental dependence of e-cloud on bunch intensity – with the option to use e-cloud free filling patterns, if needed

Thank you for your attention