Prospects of dilepton measurements at the NICA-MPD

NICA Days 2017 in Warsaw
November 6-10, 2017

Itzhak Tserruya

Outline

- Motivation
- What did we learn from almost 25 years of dilepton measurements at SPS and RHIC
- Prospects and challenges at NICA energies
- Summary

Introduction

Motivation

- Dileptons (e^+e^-, $\mu^+\mu^-$) are sensitive probes of the two fundamental properties of the QGP:
  - *Deconfinement*
  - *Chiral Symmetry Restoration*

- *Thermal radiation* emitted in the form of real photons or virtual photons (dileptons) provides a direct fingerprint of the matter formed (QGP and HG) and a measurement of its temperature.

QGP: $q\bar{q} \longrightarrow$ ©* $\longrightarrow l^+l^-$

HG: □⁺□⁻ $\longrightarrow$ 〉 $\longrightarrow$ ©* $\longrightarrow l^+l^-$

Explicit Chiral Symmetry Breaking

- QCD, the theory of the strong interaction, is encoded in a one line Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L} = -\tfrac{1}{4} F^{\alpha}_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}_{\alpha} - \sum_n \bar{\psi}_n \gamma^\mu [\partial_\mu - i g A^{\alpha}_{\mu} t_\alpha] \psi_n - \sum_n m_n \bar{\psi}_n \psi_n$$

Free g field

q interaction with g field

Free q of mass m_n at rest

- The mass term $m_n \psi_n \psi_n$ ***explicitly*** breaks the chiral symmetry of the QCD Lagrangian

m_u and m_d are so small that our world should be very close to the chiral limit

- **In the Chiral limit:** $m_u = m_d = 0$

the interactions quark-gluon conserve the quark chirality and as a consequence

all states have a chiral partner with opposite parity and equal mass

Spontaneous Chiral Symmetry Breaking

In reality:

- ρ ($J^P = 1^-$) m=770 MeV
  chiral partner a_1 (1^+) m=1250 MeV → **$\Delta \approx 500$ MeV**

- For the nucleons the splitting is even larger:
  N ($1/2^+$) m=940 MeV
  chiral partner N^* ($1/2^-$) m=1535 MeV → **$\Delta \approx 600$ MeV**

- The differences are too large to be explained by the small current quark masses

Chiral symmetry is ***spontaneously*** (≡ dynamically) broken

current quarks → constituent quarks with mass $m_{u,d} \approx 1/3 m_N \approx 300$ MeV/c^2

Chiral Symmetry Restoration

- The spontaneous breaking is marked by a non-zero value of an order parameter, the quark condensate:

$$< \bar{q}q > \approx 250 MeV^3$$

- Numerical calculations of QCD on the lattice show that at high temperatures ($T>T_C$) or high baryon densities ($\rho>\rho_C$), the quark condensate vanishes:

$$\langle \bar{q}q \rangle \to 0$$



Chiral symmetry (approximately) restored
Chiral partners (e.g. ρ and a_1) become degenerate

How is the degeneracy of the chiral partners achieved?

How is the quark condensate linked to hadron properties (mass and width)?

NA60 Acceptance corrected invariant mass spectrum

NA60 dimuon excess corrected for acceptance in m - p_T

Rapp and Hees PLB 753, 586 (2016)
NA60 data: Eur. Phys. J. C 59 (2009) 607



- LMR:
  - Thermal radiation from HG
    $\pi^+\pi^- \rightarrow \rho \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$
  - Resonances melt as the system approaches CSR
- IMR:
  - Thermal radiation from QGP
    $q\bar{q} \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$

- Low masses ($m < 1$ GeV/c^2)

SPS: CERES, NA60

RHIC: PHENIX, STAR

CERES Pioneering Dilepton Results

First CERES result
PRL 75, 1272 (1995)

(renowned paper: 583 citations)





Last CERES result
PLB 666, 425 (2008)



Eur. Phys J. C41, 475 (2005)

- Strong enhancement of low-mass e^+e^- pairs in all A-A systems studied
- First evidence of thermal radiation from the HG

□⁺□⁻ → 〉 → ©* → e^+e^-





PRL 91, 042301 (2003)

Dropping Mass or Broadening (I) ?

* Interpretations invoke:

$\pi^+\pi^- \rightarrow \rho \rightarrow \gamma^* \rightarrow e^+e^-$



thermal radiation from HG

* vacuum ρ not enough to reproduce data

* in-medium modifications of ρ:

- ❖ broadening ρ spectral shape
  (Rapp and Wambach)
- ❖ dropping ρ meson mass
  (Brown et al)

CERES Pb-Au 158 A GeV 95/96 data



Low-mass dileptons and in-medium ρ

NA60, PRL 96, 162302 (2006)



- **Dropping mass of the ρ (Brown-Rho) ruled out**
- **Excess shape in agreement with broadening of the ρ (Rapp-Wambach)**
- **Confirmed by CERES results (PLB 666, (2008) 425)**

ρ broadening due to baryons

R. Rapp arXiv:1110. 4345



Fig. 5. Spectral function of the ρ meson in hot hadronic matter; left panel: in hot and dense matter for temperatures T=120, 150 and 180 MeV and at fixed baryon chemical potential of μ_B=330 MeV, corresponding to baryon densities of $0.1\varrho_0$, $0.7\varrho_0$ and $2.6\varrho_0$, respectively (ϱ_0=0.16 fm^{-3}); right panel: in a hot meson with all baryon-induced medium effects switched off.

Low-mass e^+e^- Pairs: Prospects at RHIC

- At SPS energies, the ρ-meson broadening, that explains both the CERES and NA60 data, relies on the high baryon density.
- What was expected at RHIC?

	SPS (Pb-Pb)	RHIC (Au-Au)
dN($\bar{p}$) / dy	6.2	20.1
Produced baryons ($\bar{p}$, p, $\bar{n}$, n)	24.8	80.4
$p - \bar{p}$	33.5	8.6
Participants nucleons ($\bar{p}$ – p)A/Z	85	21.4
Total baryon density	110	102

- Baryon density is almost the same at RHIC and SPS

(the decrease in the participating nucleons transported to mid-rapidity is compensated by the copious production of nucleon-antinucleon pairs)

- **Strong enhancement of low-mass pairs predicted to persist at RHIC**

R. Rapp nucl-th/0204003





PHENIX vs. STAR

PHENIX PRC 81, 034911 (2010)



STAR PRL 113, 22301 (2014)



Enhancement factor in $0.15<M_{ee}<0.75$ Gev/c^2

	Minimum Bias	Central collisions
PHENIX	4.7 ± 0.4 ± 1.5	7.6 ± 0.5 ± 1.3
STAR	1.40 ± 0.06 ± 0.38	1.54 ± 0.09 ± 0.45

Large quantitative differences

Last PHENIX results

PRC 93, 014904 (2016)



- HBD upgrade:
  - Improved hadron rejection: 30% → 5%
  - Improved signal sensitivity
- New improved analysis
  - Neural network for e-id
  - Flow modulation incorporated in the mixed event using an exact analytical method
  - Absolutely normalized correlated BG

Minimum bias data/cocktail

0.3-0.76 (GeV/c^2)	Data/cocktail $\pm$stat $\pm$syst $\pm$model
PHENIX 2010	$2.3 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.2$ (Pythia) $1.7 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.2$ (MC@NLO)
STAR	$1.76 \pm 0.06 \pm 0.26 \pm 0.29$

Consistent results between PHENIX and STAR

Comparison to Rapp's model

Mass dependence



p_T dependence



Centrality dependence



- Mass, p_T and centrality dependencies are all well reproduced by Rapp's model
- Significant contribution from the QGP at low masses
- Centrality dependence consistent with model prediction $\langle N^{1.45}$

STAR beam energy scan



- Systematic study of the dielectron continuum at:

200, 62.4, 39, 27 and 19.6 GeV

Same model that describes the SPS data reproduces the dilepton excess all the way up to top RHIC energy

- Rapp's model reproduces the excess at all energies.

Connection with CSR?

- In-medium broadening of the ρ meson (mainly by scattering off baryons) explains the dilepton excess in the LMR – The ρ meson *"melts"* in the high density medium.
- Is this connected to CSR? The measurement of the chiral partner a_1 is very difficult
- Recent calculations by Hohler and Rapp (PLB 731 (2014) 103) show that ρ and a_1 become degenerate at high temperatures: the ρ broadens as T increases, whereas a_1 mass drops and the spectral shapes of ρ and a_1 coincide at high T.



Intermediate masses

(m = 1-3 GeV/c^2)

SPS: NA60

RHIC: PHENIX and STAR

Origin of the IMR Excess

NA60, PRL 96, 162302 (2006)



Renk/Ruppert, PRL 100,162301 (2008)



Dominant process in mass region m > 1 GeV/c^2:

$q\bar{q}$ annihilation – thermal radiation from the QGP

Last PHENIX results

PRC 93, 014904 (2016)



- HBD upgrade:
  - Improved hadron rejection: 30% → 5%
  - Improved signal sensitivity
- New improved analysis
  - Neural network for e-id
  - Flow modulation incorporated in the mixed event using an exact analytical method
  - Absolutely normalized correlated BG

Minimum bias data/cocktail

0.3-0.76 (GeV/c²)	Data/cocktail ±stat ±syst ±model
PHENIX 2010	$2.3 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.2$ (Pythia) $1.7 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.2$ (MC@NLO)
STAR	$1.76 \pm 0.06 \pm 0.26 \pm 0.29$

PHENIX and STAR results are now consistent

Uncertainties of $c\bar{c}$ contribution at RHIC energies

PHENIX, PRC 93, 014904 (2016)



- Cross section derived using IMR in d+Au collisions and extrapolating to m~0
  → *uncertainty in cross section*

PHENIX, PRC 91, 014907 (2015)

	$d\sigma_{c\bar{c}}^{pp}/dy$ (μb)
PYTHIA	$106 \pm 9^{stat} \pm 33^{syst}$
MC@NLO	$287 \pm 29^{stat} \pm 100^{syst}$

- Hadronic decays of D mesons
  STAR, PRL 113, 22301 (2014)

  dσ/dy = 171 ± 26 μb (PYTHIA)

- c quarks suffer energy loss in the medium → effect on the $c\bar{c}$ correlation?
- Lack of appropriate modeling of $c\bar{c}$ correlation
  → *uncertainty in shape*



NA60 Acceptance corrected invariant mass spectrum

NA60 dimuon excess corrected for acceptance in m - p_T

Rapp and Hees PLB 753, 586 (2016)
NA60 data: Eur. Phys. J. C 59 (2009) 607



- LMR:
  - Thermal radiation from HG
    $\pi^+\pi^- \rightarrow \rho \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$
  - Resonances melt as the system approaches CSR
- IMR:
  - Thermal radiation from QGP
    $q\bar{q} \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$

Dielectron v_2

STAR PRC 90, 64904 (2014)



Challenge: isolate the v_2 of the excess dileptons

Inclusive dielectron v_2 consistent with simulated v_2 from cocktail sources

Lower energies:

BM@N at Nuclotron
CBM at FAIR
HADES at GSI
MPD at NICA
NA60+ at SPS ?
STAR – BES-II at RHIC

Matter at low energy collisions

Rapp, Wambach, Adv.Nucl.Phys. 25, 1 (2000)

Composition of a hot $\pi\Delta N$ gas



Evolution of average ρ_B



- ➢ Study dileptons under highest baryon density
- ➢ Unveil onset of excess?
- ➢ Critical point? First order phase transition?

- ❑ Baryon dominated matter
- ❑ Very low pion density

- ❑ Sizable densities 3-6 ρ_0
- ❑ Long lifetime

IMR as thermometer

and LMR as chronometer

Rapp and Hees, PLB 753, 586 (2016)

T given by inverse slope of the acceptance corrected mass spectrum in the IMR.



The thermal radiation integrated in the LMR m = 0.3 – 0.7 GeV/c^2 tracks the fireball lifetime quite well



Thermal yields at low energies

R. Rapp – private communication





- Cross sections decrease by almost two orders of magnitude between central Au+Au at 200 GeV and central Pb+Pb at 6.3 GeV at m=2 GeV/c^2
- Challenging measurements

Charm cross section in pp



Cross sections down by ~3 orders of magnitude between RHIC and NICA energies.

Dilepton experiments – energy map



Comparison to other facilities



MPD detector at NICA

- 9 m long 6 m diameter
- Low material budget
- Tracking (TPC): up to $|\eta|<2$, 2π in azimuth
- PID (TOF, TPC, ECAL): hadrons, e, γ



MPD electron id

Electrons are identified in MPD using dE/dx in the TPC, ToF and ECal

PID: dE/dx



PID: dE/dx and TOF



PID: dE/dx, ToF, ECal



MPD performance for low-mass dileptons

Au+Au $\sqrt{s}$ = 7 GeV (background subtracted)





Yields, central Au+Au at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 8.8 GeV

Particle	Yields		Decay mode	BR	Effic. %	Yield/1 w
	4π	y=0				
ρ	31	17	e+e-	$4.7 \cdot 10^{-5}$	35	$7.3 \cdot 10^{4}$
ω	20	11	e+e-	$7.1 \cdot 10^{-5}$	35	$7.2 \cdot 10^{4}$
φ	2.6	1.2	e+e-	$3 \cdot 10^{-4}$	35	$1.7 \cdot 10^{4}$

MPD performance for intermediate mass dileptons

m_{ee} = 2 – 2.5 GeV/c^2

Back of the envelop estimate of dilepton yield in central Au+Au

$\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 8 GeV 410 pairs/10 d

$\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 6.3 GeV 54 pairs/10 d

Summary

- All systems at all energies studied show an enhancement of dileptons.
- A single model consistently reproduces the observed enhancement:
  - The thermal radiation from the QGP dominates the dilepton excess in the IMR. Provides a measurement of the average temperature of the medium in the QGP phase.
  - The thermal radiation from the HG dominates the dilepton excess in the LMR. Seems to track the medium lifetime.
- Emerging picture for the realization of CSR: the ρ meson broadens in the medium, the a_1 mass drops and becomes degenerate with the ρ.
- Missing:
  - precise measurements of IMR at RHIC energies.
  - v_2 measurements of the excess dileptons.
- Clear predictions and strong perspectives in the study of dileptons at NICA energies. MPD very well suited for this study.