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## Outline

- Introduction: from Regge limit to gluon saturation
- Small x evolution: higher orders, resummation and saturation
- Impact parameter dependence and low x : mapping the interaction region at low x

This presentation will provide with the theoretical background: more simulations and results for LHeC will be presented in the talk by Paul Newman

## Lev Nikolaevich Lipatov
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- Dokshitzer-Gribov-Lipatov-Altarelli-Parisi (DGLAP) evolution equations in QCD.
- Seminal paper on Pomeranchuk singularity in QCD: Balitskii-Fadin-Kuraev-Lipatov (BFKL) evolution equation for high energy QCD.
- Effective action for high energy Regge limit in QCD and gravity.
- DGLAP-BFKL duality in $\mathrm{N}=4$ SYM theory.

- Connection between high energy QCD and exactly solvable models.


## Regge limit

Pre QCD...
$\mathcal{A}(s, t)$


## Properties of S matrix:

- Lorentz invariance
- crossing
- unitarity
- analyticity


## Regge limit

Pre QCD...

$$
\mathcal{A}(s, t) \sim \tilde{\beta}(t) s^{\alpha(t)}
$$

Amplitude dominated by exchange of the Regge trajectory

$$
\alpha(t)=\alpha(0)+\alpha^{\prime} t
$$
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From optical theorem

$$
\sigma_{\mathrm{tot}}=s^{-1} \operatorname{Im} \mathcal{A}(s, 0) \sim s^{\alpha(0)-1}
$$

Intercept $\alpha(0)$ of Regge trajectory determines the behavior of the cross section

## Pomeron
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- Reggeon with even signature, intercept greater than unity.
- Corresponds to the exchange of the vacuum quantum numbers.
- Dominates the cross section at asymptotically high energies
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$$

(2013 parameters of fit to data including LHC)

$$
\sigma_{\mathrm{tot}} \sim s^{\alpha_{P}(0)-1}
$$

## Pomeron

## Pomeron:

- Reggeon with even signature, intercept greater than unity.
- Corresponds to the exchange of the vacuum quantum numbers.
- Dominates the cross section at asymptotically high energies

Donnachie, Landshoff


## Soft Pomeron

$$
\alpha_{P}(t)=1.11+0.165 \mathrm{GeV}^{-2} t
$$

(2013 parameters of fit to data including LHC)

$$
\sigma_{\mathrm{tot}} \sim s^{\alpha_{P}(0)-1}
$$

However, such soft pomeron power behavior is potentially in conflict with Froissart bound which stems from unitarity requirements:

$$
\sigma^{\mathrm{tot}}(s) \leq C \log ^{2}\left(s / s_{0}\right)
$$

Note: the exact value of the constant $C$ is of crucial importance here.

## Pomeron in QCD

What is a Pomeron in QCD?

```
s>>||
\(\alpha_{s} \ll 1\)
\(\alpha_{s} \log s \sim 1\)
```


## Pomeron in QCD

## What is a Pomeron in QCD?

High energy limit in perturbative QCD:

$$
s \gg|t| \quad \alpha_{s} \ll 1 \quad \alpha_{s} \log s \sim 1
$$

$$
\operatorname{Im}_{s} A^{R}(s, t)=\frac{P^{R}}{2} \sum_{n} \int d \Phi_{n+2} \mathcal{A}\left(p_{1}, p_{2} ; n+2\right) \mathcal{A}^{*}\left(p_{1}^{\prime}, p_{2}^{\prime} ; n+2\right)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2 p_{1} \cdot p_{2}=s \\
& p_{1}^{2}=p_{2}^{2}=0
\end{aligned}
$$



Dominance of the gluon emissions (highest spin elementary quanta)

Multi-Regge kinematics:
$1 \gg \rho_{i} \gg \rho_{i+1}$
transverse momenta are of the same order

## BFKL Pomeron in QCD

In general the cross section for scattering of particles A and B :

$$
\sigma^{A B}=\frac{\operatorname{Im} A(s, 0)}{s}
$$

$\sigma^{A B}\left(s, Q_{A}, Q_{B}\right)=\int \frac{\mathrm{d} \omega}{2 \pi i}\left(\frac{s}{s_{0}}\right)^{\omega} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^{2} \boldsymbol{k}_{1}}{k_{1}^{2}} \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{2} \boldsymbol{k}_{2}}{k_{2}^{2}} \Phi_{A}\left(Q_{A}, \boldsymbol{k}_{1}\right) G\left(\omega ; \boldsymbol{k}_{1}, \boldsymbol{k}_{2}\right) \Phi_{B}\left(Q_{B}, \boldsymbol{k}_{2}\right)$
Mellin variable:

$$
\omega \leftrightarrow \ln s / s_{0} \sim Y
$$

Impact factors:

$$
\Phi_{A, B}\left(Q_{i}, \boldsymbol{k}_{j}\right)
$$

Gluon Green's function: $\quad G\left(\omega ; \boldsymbol{k}_{1}, \boldsymbol{k}_{2}\right)$ (Pomeron in QCD)


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \omega G\left(\omega ; \boldsymbol{k}, \boldsymbol{k}_{0}\right)=\delta^{2}\left(\boldsymbol{k}-\boldsymbol{k}_{0}\right)+\int \frac{\mathrm{d}^{2} \boldsymbol{k}^{\prime}}{\pi^{2}} K\left(\boldsymbol{k}, \boldsymbol{k}^{\prime}\right) G\left(\omega ; \boldsymbol{k}^{\prime}, \boldsymbol{k}_{0}\right) \\
& \frac{d}{d Y} G\left(Y ; \boldsymbol{k}, \boldsymbol{k}_{0}\right)=K\left(\boldsymbol{k}, \boldsymbol{k}^{\prime}\right) \otimes G\left(Y ; \boldsymbol{k}^{\prime}, \boldsymbol{k}_{0}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Resums gluon emissions strongly ordered in rapidity
BFKL kernel:

$$
K\left(\boldsymbol{k}_{1}, \boldsymbol{k}_{2}\right)=K_{0}\left(\boldsymbol{k}_{1}, \boldsymbol{k}_{2}\right)+K_{1}\left(\boldsymbol{k}_{1}, \boldsymbol{k}_{2}\right)+\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha^{3}\left(\mu^{2}\right)\right)
$$

Microscopic realization of Pomeron in perturbative QCD: gluon radiation, strongly ordered in rapidity. BFKL and Regge factorization is a framework for calculations of processes in the high energy limit. Question: what is the regime of applicability of this resummation? When will collinear approach break down?

## Parton saturation

-BFKL predicts strong growth of gluon density with decreasing fraction of longitudinal momentum x .
-It is too strong at LLx for phenomenology. Nevertheless, the experimental data do confirm strong growth of the proton structure function at small $x$.
-The growth of the proton structure function is driven by the growth of the gluon density :"gluon ocean".
-It is expected that eventually the growth should be tamed in order to satisfy the unitarity bounds.
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Theory predicts the existence of the energy dependent ( $x$ dependent) saturation scale.
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\frac{A \times x g\left(x, Q_{s}^{2}\right)}{\pi A^{2 / 3}} \times \frac{\alpha_{s}\left(Q_{s}^{2}\right)}{Q_{s}^{2}} \sim 1 \quad Q_{s}^{2} \sim A^{1 / 3} Q_{0}^{2}\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)^{\lambda}
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Theory predicts the existence of the energy dependent ( $x$ dependent) saturation scale.

$$
\frac{A \times x g\left(x, Q_{s}^{2}\right)}{\pi A^{2 / 3}} \times \frac{\alpha_{s}\left(Q_{s}^{2}\right)}{Q_{s}^{2}} \sim 1 \quad Q_{s}^{2} \sim A^{1 / 3} Q_{0}^{2}\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)^{\lambda}
$$

Theoretical frameworks of parton saturation at small x :

- Gribov-Levin-Ryskin nonlinear equation
- Mueller-Qiu equation
- Kovchegov nonlinear equation for dipoles
- Balitsky hierarchy for correlators of Wilson lines.
- Color Glass Condensate (McLerran-Venugopalan) with JIMWLK (JalilianMarian,lancu,McLerran, Weigert,Leonidov,Kovner) renormalization group equation for high density QCD.


## LHeC and FCC-eh as low x machines
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LHeC and FCC-eh are ideal machines to study low $x$ phenomena.

Can keep $Q^{2}$ fixed in semi-hard but pQCD regime, and go to low x: Regge limit

## BFKL at NLLx

## Fadin, Lipatov; Camici,Ciafaloni

Calculation of BFKL at NLLx
Ross
NLLx is a very large correction and leads to some instabilities:
Avsar,Triantafyllopoulous,Zaslavsky,AS

Unintegrated gluon distribution function from the solution to the BFKL equation


Resummation is necessary...

## Are NLL instabilities also present in the nonlinear equation? Or do they get 'cured' by saturation?

## Balitsky-Kovchegov equation at LLx

Balitsky; Kovchegov
linear BFKL part
nonlinear : saturation part

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d}{d \eta} \hat{\mathcal{U}}(x, y)=\frac{\alpha_{s} N_{c}}{2 \pi^{2}} \int d^{2} z \frac{(x-y)^{2}}{(x-z)^{2}(z-y)^{2}} \\
& \text { Dipole operator: } \hat{\mathcal{U}}(x, y) \equiv \hat{\mathcal{U}}^{\eta}(x, y)
\end{aligned}
$$



Can obtain unintegrated gluon distribution from the dipole operator

$$
r=|x-y| \leftrightarrow \frac{1}{k}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\eta & =\ln \frac{1}{x_{B}} \quad \text { rapidity } \\
x & \equiv x_{\perp} \\
y & \equiv y_{\perp} \quad \text { transverse coordinates } \\
z & \equiv z_{\perp}
\end{aligned}
$$

What about interplay of NLL and saturation?

## Balitsky-Kovchegov equation at LLx

## Balitsky; Kovchegov

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d}{d \eta} \hat{\mathcal{U}}(x, y)=\frac{\alpha_{s} N_{c}}{2 \pi^{2}} \int d^{2} z \frac{(x-y)^{2}}{(x-z)^{2}(z-y)^{2}} \\
& \text { Dipole operator: } \hat{\mathcal{U}}(x, y) \equiv \hat{\mathcal{U}}^{\eta}(x, y)
\end{aligned}
$$



## What about interplay of NLL and

 saturation?
## Numerical solution to BK at NLLx

## Lappi,Mantysaari

$$
Q_{s, 0} / \Lambda_{\mathrm{QCD}}=19, \gamma=0.6
$$



- Evolution speed significantly slowed down with at NLLx order.
- The solution is unstable for some initial conditions.
- Evolution speed turns negative for small dipoles.

$$
\frac{\partial_{y} N(r)}{N(r)} \sim \ln r
$$

- Amplitude becomes negative and unphysical.
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- Evolution speed significantly slowed down with at NLL
- The solution is unstable for some initial conditions.
- Evolution speed turns negative for small dipoles.
- Amplitude becomes negative and unphysical.


Negativity at NLLx is also present in the calculation with saturation. Low $x$ resummation needed also for the nonlinear case.

## General setup of resummation for linear BFKL

```
Andersson,Gustafson,Kharraziha,Samuelsson
Kwiecinski, Martin, Sutton
Kwiecinski,Martin,AS
Salam
also Brodsky, Kim, Lipatov, Pivovarov
- Kinematical constraint.
- DGLAP splitting function at LO and NLO.
- NLLx BFKL with suitable subtraction of terms included above.
- Momentum sum rule.
- Running coupling.

Resummation yields results which are stable. Comparisons with phenomenology are favorable.

\section*{Resummation in nonlinear equation}

Iancu,Madrigal,Mueller,Soyez,Triantafyllopoulous

Gluon emission in dipole formalism


Resummation extended to BK : BFKL with saturation

Ordering in fluctuation lifetime for the gluon emissions
\[
\Theta\left(\tau_{p}-\tau_{k}\right)=\Theta\left(p^{+}-k^{+}\left(\boldsymbol{p}^{2} / \boldsymbol{k}^{2}\right)\right)
\]
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Resummation extended to BK: BFKL with saturation
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Ordering in fluctuation lifetime for the gluon emissions
\[
\Theta\left(\tau_{p}-\tau_{k}\right)=\Theta\left(p^{+}-k^{+}\left(\boldsymbol{p}^{2} / \boldsymbol{k}^{2}\right)\right)
\]

Kinematical constraint which resums important double logs.


NLLx (double logs only) see also Motyka,AS; Beuf


Resummation (rc LLx + including k.c.)


\section*{Impact factors at NLL}

For the complete NLL calculation of the cross section one needs both the NLL calculation of the evolution and the impact factors.

Example of NLL corrections to the photon-gluon impact factor


Complete NLL calculation

Beuf; Balitsky,Chirilli


Numerical studies demonstrated the importance of the NLL correction to the impact factor

Ducloe, Hanninen,Lappi,Zhu


\section*{BFKL at NNLL?}

NNLL BFKL correction obtained in \(\mathrm{N}=4 \mathrm{SYM}\).

Gromov,Levkovich-Maslyuk,Sizov;
Velizhanin

One of the methods relies on the equivalence between the forward scattering and the jet physics of wideangle soft gluon radiation.

Caron-Huot, Herranen
BFKL eigenvalue
\(j(0, \nu)=1+\int \frac{d k^{\prime 2}}{k^{\prime 2}}\left(\frac{k^{\prime 2}}{k^{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}+\frac{i \nu}{2}} \mathcal{K}\left(k^{\prime}, k\right)\)


\section*{Impact parameter and low x physics}

\section*{What about spatial distribution in small \(x\)} evolution?

Usual approximation:
\[
\mathcal{U}\left(Y ; \mathbf{x}_{0}, \mathbf{x}_{1}\right)=\mathcal{U}\left(Y ;\left|\mathbf{x}_{0}-\mathbf{x}_{1}\right|\right)
\]
- The target has infinite size.
- Local approximation suggests that the system becomes more perturbative as the energy grows.
- But this cannot be true everywhere (IR in QCD)
\[
Q=\frac{1}{r}
\]
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\section*{What about spatial distribution in small \(x\) evolution?}


\section*{Usual approximation:}
\[
\mathcal{U}\left(Y ; \mathbf{x}_{0}, \mathbf{x}_{1}\right)=\mathcal{U}\left(Y ;\left|\mathbf{x}_{0}-\mathbf{x}_{1}\right|\right)
\]
- The target has infinite size.
- Local approximation suggests that the system becomes more perturbative as the energy grows.
- But this cannot be true everywhere (IR in QCD)

Total size of system
Size of dense system
Linear evolution
Dilute system
\[
Q=\frac{1}{r}
\]


Impact parameter profile


\section*{Impact parameter representation}

\section*{Why do we care about impact parameter?}

Impact parameter profile can provide the information how close the amplitudes are to the unitarity limit. Important to address the issue of correlations and in the double parton scattering context.

Impact parameter representation for total, elastic and inelastic pp cross section
\[
\sigma_{t o t}(s)=2 \int d^{2} \mathbf{b} \operatorname{Re} \Gamma(s, b), \quad \Gamma(s, b)=\frac{1}{2 i s(2 \pi)^{2}} \int d^{2} \mathbf{q} e^{i \mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{b}} A(s, t)
\]
\[
\sigma_{e l}(s)=\int d^{2} \mathbf{b}|\Gamma(s, b)|^{2}
\]

Unitarity limit:
\[
\sigma_{i n e l}(s)=\int d^{2} \mathbf{b}\left(2 \operatorname{Re} \Gamma(s, b)-|\Gamma(s, b)|^{2}\right)
\]
\[
\Gamma(s, b) \leq 1
\]



Impact parameter amplitude provides information about the unitarity limit.
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\section*{Gribov diffusion in parton model}

Gribov
Emission of particles, with some transverse momenta leads to the diffusion in impact parameter space.

\section*{Assumption:}
each emission leads
to the change of impact parameter of the order of some scale
\[
b \sim \frac{1}{\mu}
\]

\[
\left\langle(\Delta b)^{2}\right\rangle=c\left(\eta_{1}-\eta_{n}\right)
\]
\[
\phi(b, \eta) \sim \frac{1}{c\left(\eta_{1}-\eta_{n}\right)} \exp \left(-\frac{b^{2}}{c\left(\eta_{1}-\eta_{n}\right)}\right)
\]

\section*{Exclusive diffraction of vector mesons in DIS}

- Exclusive diffractive production of VM is an excellent process for extracting the dipole amplitude and GPDs
- Suitable process for estimating the 'blackness' of the interaction.
- t-dependence provides an information about the impact parameter profile of the amplitude.


Large momentum transfer t probes small impact parameter where the density of interaction region is largest

\section*{Evolved solution for the dipole amplitude}

Berger,AS


Profile in b: Solid line KMW, dashed lines BK with running coupling and cuts Small \(x\) evolution leads to the broader distribution in impact parameter

Change of shape with decreasing \(x\)
\(\gamma^{*} p=>\rho p\)

\(\gamma^{*} p=>\phi p\)


Berger,AS
\(\gamma^{*} p=>J / \psi p\)


- For heavier mesons, it is the larger size of the gluon distribution in the proton. Thus it does not depend on \(\mathrm{Q}^{2}\) that much.
\(\mathrm{J} / \Psi\) exclusive

- Reasonable description of the diffractive slope from dynamical prediction based on BK evolution with cutoff
- LHeC through the measurements of the differential cross section in \(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{W}, \mathrm{Q}^{2}\) would provide detailed information about the shape of the proton and its variation with the energy.

ALICE (ultraperipheral collisions)
\[
\begin{gathered}
B_{D}(W=29.8 \mathrm{GeV})=4 \mathrm{GeV}^{-2} \\
B_{D}(W=706 \mathrm{GeV})=6.7 \mathrm{GeV}^{-2}
\end{gathered}
\]


W (GeV)
LHeC simulation

\section*{Shape of the proton}

\section*{Schlichting,Schenke}


Study of the evolution of the asymmetric shape of proton. Initial asymmetry is not washed out by evolution quickly. Important for ridge and \(v_{n}\) studies in \(\mathrm{Pp} / \mathrm{pA}\).

LHeC would provide the information about the shape of the proton, for example through the diffractive dijet production (constraints on Wigner function).

\section*{Summary and outlook}
- Great progress over the years in understanding and implementation of the NLL and resummation at low \(x\) at the level of linear BFKL.
- This knowledge has been already extended and applied into the non-linear evolution equations including saturation. Recent calculations of the NLL photon impact factor, still need to be applied to phenomenology and could be used to make predictions for LHeC .
- LHeC can provide with plethora of measurements to make the full tomography of the proton through the elastic diffractive vector meson production and other exclusive diffractive processes (dijet production).
- Next steps: in the 2012 CDR many predictions including saturation were presented: structure functions andVM production, mostly based on dipole models.
- Given recent progress in calculations at NLO and resummation in nonlinear case, predictions for LHeC with resummation and saturation could be made taking into account higher order terms.
- Diffraction is particularly sensitive to low x effects, diffractive pdfs for LHeC have been calculated (see talk by Paul Newman)
- VM production is an excellent process to study low x effects and proton shape. In particular energy dependence of the t -slope, for photo- and electroproduction should be studied in more detail at LHeC.```

