

Measurements of associated production at LHCb

Liupan AN, Yanxi ZHANG

Tsinghua University, Beijing Laboratoire de l'Accélérateur Linéaire, Orsay



Charmonium workshop, 16 June 2017

Introduction

• Associated productions probe double parton scattering (DPS), to understand multiple gluon PDF



Example diagrams for SPS and DPS production of 2 J/ψ

- Theoretical formulation for DPS: $\sigma_{\text{DPS}}^{1,2} = \frac{m}{2} \frac{\sigma_1^{\text{inc}} \sigma_2^{\text{inc}}}{\sigma_{\text{eff}}}$
 - $\gg m = 1$ if particles 1 and 2 are identical, otherwise m = 2
 - \succ σ_{eff} non perturbative, related to size of interaction region, $∼r_p^2 ≈ 10$ mb
 - $\succ \sigma_{\rm eff}$ supposed to be process and energy independent
 - Production of particle 1 and 2 are independent

It assumes factorization of multiple parton PDF, breaks down at large x

• SPS/inclusive calculations using QCD-based models: CSM, NRQCD, FONLL ...

Experiments

• DPS identification

> Separation of DPS and SPS relies on inputs of templates for discriminant variables



Experimental status

• Extraction of DPS/SPS yields from templates

DPS: random combination of inclusive productions for processes 1 and 2

- > SPS: theoretical predictions or data driven methods
- A variety of measurements by Tevetron, LHC and others
 - > Jets+jets, γ + jets by AFS, UA2, D0, CDF, ATLAS, CMS
 - ► W/Z+charm/quarkonium by ATLAS, LHCb
 - **Quarkonium pair** by CMS, ATALAS, LHCb D0
 - Charm hadron pair by LHCb
- $\sigma_{\rm eff}$ determination
 - > Multiple jets production : 12 20 mb
 - > Double quarkonia production (GPD): 2 8 mb
 - \succ *J*/ψ + charm hadron (LHCb): ≥ 15 mb
 - → Double charm hadron (LHCb): \geq 20 mb
 - \succ *Z* + *D* production (LHCb): ≥ 20 mb

LHCb and results using jets:

Smaller associated production than DPS predication σ_{eff} not universal, factorization not all correct ^{16/06/2017} Charmonium Workshop



Recent LHCb measurements

 \checkmark Y + D associated production[JHEP 07 (2016) 052] \checkmark J/ ψ pair production[JHEP 06 (2017) 047]

• Full RunI data: 1 fb⁻¹@7TeV + 2 fb⁻¹@8TeV



| | $\Upsilon(1\mathrm{S})$ | $\Upsilon(2S)$ | $\Upsilon(3\mathrm{S})$ |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|-------------------------|
| D ⁰ | 980 ± 50 | 184 ± 27 | 60 ± 22 |
| D^+ | 556 ± 35 | 116 ± 20 | 55 ± 17 |
| D_s^+ | 31 ± 7 | 9 ± 5 | 6 ± 4 |
| $ \qquad \Lambda_{ m c}^+$ | 11 ± 6 | 1 ± 4 | 1 ± 3 |

JHEP 07 (2016) 052

• Kinematic distributions: similar to those of inclusive productions



JHEP 07 (2016) 052

JHEP 07 (2016) 052

• $\Delta \phi$ distribution

- > SPS predicts enhancement at $\Delta \phi = \pi$, but enhancement smeared out in k_T or at NLO
- > Flat $\Delta \phi$ distribution prefers DPS contribution, even dominating?



• ~10% of Y production in LHCb acceptance has a D associated

$$\begin{split} R_{\sqrt{s=7\,\text{TeV}}}^{\Upsilon(15)D^{0}} &= \frac{\sigma^{\Upsilon(15)D^{0}}}{\sigma^{\Upsilon(15)}} \bigg|_{\sqrt{s=7\,\text{TeV}}} = (6.3 \pm 0.8 \,(\text{stat}) \pm 0.2 \,(\text{syst})) \,\% \\ R_{\sqrt{s=7\,\text{TeV}}}^{\Upsilon(15)D^{+}} &= \frac{\sigma^{\Upsilon(15)D^{+}}}{\sigma^{\Upsilon(15)}} \bigg|_{\sqrt{s=7\,\text{TeV}}} = (3.4 \pm 0.8 \,(\text{stat}) \pm 0.2 \,(\text{syst})) \,\% \\ R_{\sqrt{s=8\,\text{TeV}}}^{\Upsilon(15)D^{0}} &= \frac{\sigma^{\Upsilon(15)D^{0}}}{\sigma^{\Upsilon(15)}} \bigg|_{\sqrt{s=8\,\text{TeV}}} = (7.8 \pm 0.9 \,(\text{stat}) \pm 0.3 \,(\text{syst})) \,\% \\ R_{\sqrt{s=8\,\text{TeV}}}^{\Upsilon(15)D^{+}} &= \frac{\sigma^{\Upsilon(15)D^{+}}}{\sigma^{\Upsilon(15)}} \bigg|_{\sqrt{s=8\,\text{TeV}}} = (2.5 \pm 0.5 \,(\text{stat}) \pm 0.1 \,(\text{syst})) \,\% \\ \end{split}$$
• Neglecting SPS contribution gives (a lower limit) $\sigma_{\text{eff}}^{1,2} \geq \frac{m}{2} \frac{\sigma_{1}^{\text{inc}} \sigma_{2}^{\text{inc}}}{\sigma_{\text{Asso}}} \\ \tau_{\text{TeV}} & \sigma_{\text{eff}}|_{\Upsilon(1S)D^{0}} = 19.4 \pm 2.6 \,(\text{stat}) \pm 1.3 \,(\text{syst}) \,\text{mb} \\ \sigma_{\text{eff}}|_{\Upsilon(1S)D^{+}} = 15.2 \pm 3.6 \,(\text{stat}) \pm 1.5 \,(\text{syst}) \,\text{mb} \\ \sigma_{\text{eff}}|_{\Upsilon(1S)D^{0}} = 17.2 \pm 1.9 \,(\text{stat}) \pm 1.2 \,(\text{syst}) \,\text{mb} \\ \sigma_{\text{eff}}|_{\Upsilon(1S)D^{+}} = 22.3 \pm 4.4 \,(\text{stat}) \pm 2.2 \,(\text{syst}) \,\text{mb} \\ \text{Combination: } \sigma_{\text{eff}}|_{\Upsilon(1S)D^{0,+}} \geq 18.0 \pm 1.3 \,(\text{stat}) \pm 1.2 \,(\text{syst}) = 18.0 \pm 1.8 \,\text{mb} \\ \text{Consistent with LHCb double charm results} \end{split}$

JHEP 07 (2016) 052

- Run II data at 13 TeV, \approx 280 pb⁻¹
 - > Signal yields ≈ 1000

2 < y < 4.5 , $p_T < 10 {
m ~GeV}$



 $\sigma(J/\psi J/\psi) = 15.2 \pm 1.0 \,(\text{stat}) \pm 0.9 \,(\text{syst}) \,\text{nb}$

Compared to inclusive cross-section of $\sigma(J/\psi) = 14.94 \pm 0.02 \,(\text{stat}) \pm 0.91 \,(\text{syst}) \,\mu\text{b}$

$$\sigma_{\rm eff} \geq \frac{1}{2} \frac{\sigma(J/\psi)^2}{\sigma(J/\psi J/\psi)} = 7.3 \pm 0.5 \, ({\rm stat}) \pm 1.0 \, ({\rm syst}) \, {\rm mb}$$

Charmonium Workshop



Large variations of SPS calculations in theoretical predictions due to parameters: **both shapes and absolute scales** Data prefer large $< k_T >$



Charmonium Workshop

- Data driven method to obtain DPS fraction, templates fit
 - > DPS: shape obtained from random combination of inclusive productions
 - > SPS: using theoretical calculations, varying parameters

| Variable | LOCS | $LO k_{T}$ | $\rm NLO^* CS'$ | $\mathrm{NLO}\ \langle k_\mathrm{T} angle = 2\mathrm{GeV}/c$ | $0^{*} \mathrm{CS''} \ \langle k_{\mathrm{T}} angle = 0.5 \mathrm{GeV}/c$ | NLO CS | |
|--|--------------|------------|-----------------|---|---|-----------|--|
| no $p_{ m T}(J\!/\!\psiJ\!/\!\psi){ m cut}$ | | | | | | | |
| $p_{ m T}(J\!\!/\psiJ\!\!/\psi$ |) — | 78 ± 3 | | 88 ± 56 | 81 ± 7 | | |
| $y(J\!/\!\psiJ\!/\!\psi)$ | 83 ± 39 | | | 75 ± 37 | 68 ± 34 | | |
| $m(J/\psi J/\psi)$ | $) 76 \pm 7$ | 74 ± 7 | | 78 | ± 7 | 77 ± 7 | |
| $ \Delta y $ | 59 ± 21 | 61 ± 18 | | 63 ± 18 | 61 ± 18 | 69 ± 16 | |
| $p_{\rm T}(J\!/\!\psiJ\!/\!\psi) > 1{\rm GeV}/c$ | | | | | | | |
| $y(J\!/\!\psiJ\!/\!\psi)$ | | | 75 ± 24 | 71 ± 38 | 68 ± 34 | | |
| $m(J\!/\psiJ\!/\psi$ |) — | 73 ± 8 | 76 ± 7 | 88 | ± 1 | | |
| $ \Delta y $ | | 57 ± 20 | 59 ± 19 | 60 ± 18 | 60 ± 19 | | |
| $p_{ m T}(J\!/\!\psiJ\!/\!\psi)>3{ m GeV}/c$ | | | | | | | |
| $y(J/\psi J/\psi)$ | | | 77 ± 18 | 64 ± 38 | 64 ± 35 | | |
| $m(J\!/\!\psiJ\!/\!\psi$ |) — | 76 ± 10 | 84 ± 7 | 87 | ± 2 | | |
| $ \Delta y $ | | 42 ± 25 | 53 ± 21 | 53 ± 21 | 53 ± 21 | | |

Always large DPS contributions; measured $\sigma_{eff} = 10 - 12.5$ mb

- $\succ \sigma_{\rm eff}$ larger than those determined by other experiments
- $\triangleright \sigma_{\rm eff}$ smaller than LHCb $\Upsilon + D$ and double charm results

Prospects



Evidence of $\Upsilon + J/\psi$ in Run I data And now new data!

> Could we measure other combinations, for example $J/\psi + \eta_c$, $J/\psi + \chi_c$... No problem of trigger for then at LHCb

Very interesting to see DPS in heavy ion collisions, expected to enhanced

Summary

- Associated production is hot to study QCD
- DPS production mechanism: factorization assumption $\sigma_{\text{DPS}}^{1,2} = \frac{m \sigma_1^{\text{inc}} \sigma_2^{\text{inc}}}{2 \sigma_{\text{off}}}$
- Various results for associated production, $\sigma_{\rm eff}$ close but not universal
 - ▶ Results from jets and LHCb different from quarkonia at GPD
 - \succ Factorization breaks down?
- LHCb measurement of $\Upsilon + D$ suggests large contribution of DPS, but still less than expected (or much larger σ_{eff})
- LHCb double J/ψ measurement also requires strong contribution of DPS, a moderate $\sigma_{\rm eff}$ obtained by SPS+DPS fit
- Looking forward to new measurements



Backups

$\Upsilon + D$

• Multiple PV background reduction



$\Upsilon + D$

• Kinematic distributions of pairs



$\Upsilon + D$



Double J/ψ

