## Charmonia in b decays

S. Barsuk, E. Kou, A. Usachov LAL-IN2P3, Orsay

Mini-Workshop on charmonium production at LHCb The 16th June 2017 at CERN

## Charmonium production at hadron machines

- The first measurement of direct  $J/\psi$  and  $\psi'$  production at CDF in '97: striking discrepancy from theoretical expectation
- NRQCD: double expansion in terms of  $\alpha_s$  and v (velocity): an addition of "colour-octet" term was proposed. *Bodwin, Braaten, Lepage, PRD51 ('95)*
- Tremendous efforts have been made to obtain more precise theoretical prediction for the charmonium production at hadron machines (computation of the higher order corrections, extracting the matrix element of NRQCD, Color-singlet approach etc). 

   after many debates, still the situation is unclear!

#### More investigation is needed!

## New observables to help the situation ???

Hadronic final state (pp, ΦΦ) to study, η<sub>c</sub>, χ<sub>Jc...</sub>

• LHCb succeeded  $\eta_c$  prompt/secondary production using pp final state! ---> very important information to determine matrix element. Now, new result of  $\chi_{Jc}$  available (see talk Usachov)

Charmonium from B decays to extract the matrix elements?

 Secondary charmonium production is experimentally cleaner than the prompt production. Theoretically, it is less clean (e.g. issues in the NLO estimate of the singlet contribution) but can't we still learn something?

<--- Universality of matrix elements!</pre>

## Revisiting the NRQCD computation of inclusive B decaying into charmonium



Beneke, Maltoni, Rothstein PRD59 ('99)

$$H_{eff} = \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{q=s,d} \left\{ V_{cb}^* V_{cq} \left[ \frac{1}{3} C_{[1]}(\mu) \mathcal{O}_1(\mu) + C_{[8]}(\mu) \mathcal{O}_8(\mu) \right] - V_{tb}^* V_{tq} \sum_{i=3}^6 C_i(\mu) \mathcal{O}_i(\mu) \right\}$$
$$\mathcal{O}_1 = \left[ \bar{c} \gamma_\mu (1 - \gamma_5) c \right] \left[ \bar{b} \gamma^\mu (1 - \gamma_5) q \right]$$
$$\mathcal{O}_8 = \left[ \bar{c} T^A \gamma_\mu (1 - \gamma_5) c \right] \left[ \bar{b} T^A \gamma^\mu (1 - \gamma_5) q \right]$$

It has been pointed out by several authors that the singlet term is too small to explain the experimental data.

# Revisiting the NRQCD computation of inclusive B decaying into charmonium

NLO computation

Beneke, Maltoni, Rothstein PRD59 ('99)

$$\Gamma[n] = \Gamma_0 \left[ C_{[1,8]}^2 f[n](\eta) \left( 1 + \delta_P[n] \right) \right] + \frac{\alpha_s(\mu)}{4\pi} \left( C_{[1]}^2 g_1[n](\eta) + 2C_{[1]} C_{[8]} g_2[n](\eta) + C_{[8]}^2 g_3[n](\eta) \right) \right] \langle \mathcal{O}^H[n] \rangle$$



The singlet term has a large renormalization running effect which makes it large negative (unphysical) at mb scale.

### The secondary J/ $\psi,\,\eta_c$ production from B decays

Beneke, Maltoni, Rothstein PRD59 ('99)

#### Improved NLO result



### The secondary J/ $\psi$ , $\eta_c$ production from B decays

#### Momentum dependence of B-> J/psi X



FIG. 10:  $p^*$  of  $J/\psi$  mesons produced directly in B decays (points). The histogram is the sum of the color-octet component from a recent NRQCD calculation [20] (dashed line) and the color-singlet  $J/\psi K^{(*)}$  component from simulation (dotted line). Beneke, Scgykerm Wolf, PRD62 ('00)

#### The secondary J/ $\psi$ , $\eta_c$ production from B decays



Figure 1: The  $\langle \chi^2 \rangle$  distribution for the (a)  $\langle O_8^{J/\psi}({}^3S_1) \rangle$  and  $\langle O_8^{J/\psi}({}^3P_0) \rangle / m_c^2$  matrix elements, where  $\langle O_8^{J/\psi}({}^1S_0) \rangle = 0.089 \,\text{GeV}^3$ , (b)  $\langle O_8^{J/\psi}({}^3S_1) \rangle$  and  $\langle O_8^{J/\psi}({}^1S_0) \rangle / m_c^2$  matrix elements, where  $\langle O_8^{J/\psi}({}^3P_0) \rangle / m_c^2 = 0.0056 \,\text{GeV}^3$ , (c)  $\langle O_8^{J/\psi}({}^1S_0) \rangle$  and  $\langle O_8^{J/\psi}({}^3P_0) \rangle / m_c^2$  matrix elements. where  $\langle O_8^{J/\psi}({}^3S_1) \rangle = 0.003 \,\text{GeV}^3$ , from the measurement of  $\frac{\mathcal{B}(b \to \eta_c(1S)X)}{\mathcal{B}(b \to J/\psi^{direct}X)}$ . For all listed plots  $\langle O_8^{J/\psi}({}^3S_1) = 1.16 \rangle \,\text{GeV}^3$  was used. Only area with  $\langle \chi^2 \rangle < 25$  are shown with colour code. Red points represents values from Ref. [18]

#### The secondary $\chi_{Jc}$ production from B decays

$$H_{eff} = \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{q=s,d} \left\{ V_{cb}^* V_{cq} \left[ \frac{1}{3} C_{[1]}(\mu) \mathcal{O}_1(\mu) + C_{[8]}(\mu) \mathcal{O}_8(\mu) \right] - V_{tb}^* V_{tq} \sum_{i=3}^6 C_i(\mu) \mathcal{O}_i(\mu) \right\}$$

#### The V-A interaction does not allow $X_{c0}$ and $X_{c2}$ production at singlet model @ LO. Only $X_{c1}$ is allowed there.

#### The secondary $\chi_{Jc}$ production from B decays

Latest LHCb result

 $\mathcal{B}(b \to \chi_{c0} X) = (3.02 \pm 0.47 \pm 0.23 \pm 0.94_{\mathcal{B}}) \times 10^{-3},$  $\mathcal{B}(b \to \chi_{c1} X) = (2.76 \pm 0.59 \pm 0.23 \pm 0.89_{\mathcal{B}}) \times 10^{-3},$  $\mathcal{B}(b \to \chi_{c2} X) = (1.15 \pm 0.20 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.36_{\mathcal{B}}) \times 10^{-3},$ 

$$\frac{\mathcal{B}(b \to \chi_{c1}X)}{\mathcal{B}(b \to \chi_{c0}X)} = 0.92 \pm 0.20 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.14_{\mathcal{B}}$$
$$\frac{\mathcal{B}(b \to \chi_{c2}X)}{\mathcal{B}(b \to \chi_{c0}X)} = 0.38 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.01 \pm 0.05_{\mathcal{B}}$$

No strong suppression of X<sub>c0</sub> and X<sub>c2</sub> production w.r.t. X<sub>c1</sub> observed. X<sub>c2</sub> is suppressed w.r.t. X<sub>c0</sub>.

## The secondary J/ $\psi,\,\eta_c$ production from B decays

Beneke, Maltoni, Rothstein PRD59 ('99)

#### Improved NLO result

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{B}(B \to \chi_{c0}X) &= \frac{-0.0148}{m_c^2} \langle O_1^{\chi_{c0}}({}^{3}P_0) \rangle + 0.195 \langle O_8^{\chi_{c0}}({}^{3}S_1) \rangle, \\ \mathcal{B}(B \to \chi_{c1}X) &= \frac{-0.00783}{m_c^2} \langle O_1^{\chi_{c1}}({}^{3}P_1) \rangle + 0.195 \langle O_8^{\chi_{c1}}({}^{3}S_1) \rangle, \\ \mathcal{B}(B \to \chi_{c2}X) &= \frac{-0.0120}{m_c^2} \langle O_1^{\chi_{c2}}({}^{3}P_2) \rangle + 0.195 \langle O_8^{\chi_{c2}}({}^{3}S_1) \rangle, \\ \hline \mathbf{Caveat:} \\ \mathbf{A} \text{ large uncertainty} \\ (\text{factor two-three?}) \end{split}$$

#### Spin symmetry

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_1^{\chi_{cJ}}({}^{3}\!P_J) \rangle = (2J+1) \langle \mathcal{O}_1^{\chi_{c0}}({}^{3}\!P_0) \rangle$$
$$\langle \mathcal{O}_8^{\chi_{cJ}}({}^{3}\!S_1) \rangle = (2J+1) \langle \mathcal{O}_8^{\chi_{c0}}({}^{3}\!S_1) \rangle$$

Implication of the LHCb data:
octet term is important
spin relation X<sub>c0</sub>: X<sub>c1</sub>: X<sub>c2</sub> =
1:3:5 is not visible

#### The secondary $\chi_{\text{Jc}}$ production from B decays



Figure 4: The  $\langle \chi^2 \rangle$  distribution for the  $O_1$  and  $O_8$  matrix elements from the measurement of the (a)  $\mathcal{B}(b \to \chi_{c0}X)$ , (b)  $\mathcal{B}(b \to \chi_{c1}X)$ , (c)  $\mathcal{B}(b \to \chi_{c2}X)$  and (d) using simultaneously all branching fractions  $\mathcal{B}(b \to \chi_c X)$ . Black lines indicate boundaries, where branching fractions become negative. Only area with  $\langle \chi^2 \rangle < 25$  are shown with colour code

#### The secondary $\chi_{Jc}$ production from B decays

D <sub>8</sub>		$\langle \chi O_8 $	25		
0.02					
0.01		Table 1: Measured of exclusive $\mathcal{B}(B \to \chi_c)$			
0		$\chi_{c0}$	$\chi_{c1}$	$\chi_{c2}$	
Ū	$\mathcal{B}(B^+ \to \chi_c K^+)$	$(1.50 \pm 0.15) \times 10^{-4}$	$(4.79 \pm 0.23) \times 10^{-4}$	$(1.1 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-5}$	
-0.01	$\mathcal{B}(B^0 \to \chi_c K^0)$	$(1.47 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-4}$	$(3.93 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-4}$	$< 1.5 \times 10^{-5}$	
	$\mathcal{B}(B^+ \to \chi_c K^{*+})$	$< 2.1 \times 10^{-4}$	$(3.0 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-4}$	$< 1.52 \times 10^{-4}$	
$\mathcal{D}_8$	$\mathcal{B}(B^0 \to \chi_c K^{*0})$	$(1.7 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-4}$	$(2.39 \pm 0.19) \times 10^{-4}$	$(4.9 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{-5}$	
0.02	$\mathcal{B}(B^+ \to \chi_c \pi^+)$	$< 1 \times 10^{-7}$	$(2.2 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-5}$	$< 1 \times 10^{-7}$	
0.01	$\mathcal{B}(B^0 \to \chi_c \pi^0)$	_	$(1.12 \pm 0.28) \times 10^{-5}$	_	
	$\mathcal{B}(B^0 \to \chi_c K^- \pi^+)$	—	$(3.8 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-4}$	_	
o	$\mathcal{B}(B^0_s \to \chi_c \phi)$	_	$(2.03 \pm 0.29) \times 10^{-4}$	_	

But suppression of  $X_{c2}$  is observed also in the exclusive channels: the naive spin relation is not applicable!

-0.01

Fi th bi be

## Conclusions...

- $\bullet$  The new LHCb result on the  $\chi_{\mbox{Jc}}$  production from B decay is very interesting.
- The naive spin counting relation  $X_{c0}$ :  $X_{c1}$ :  $X_{c2} = 1:3:5$  is strongly violated.
- The branching fraction to the factorization forbidden channel  $\chi_{0c}$ ,  $\chi_{2c}$  are not negligible.
- The NLO NRQCD computation leads to the naive spin counting relation e.g. to the color-octet contribution while the LHCb result implies such a simple relation is not visible.
- It seems that there are several missing pieces (c.f. exclusive NLO computation) and we need more theoretical investigations.