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## 1. Motivation

## Nuclear force is a basis for understanding ...

- Structure of ordinary and hyper nuclei

- Structure of neutron star
- Ignition of Type II SuperNova



## Phenomenological NN potential

 ( $\sim 40$ parameters to fit 5000 phase shift data)
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## 2. Strategy in (lattice) QCD to extract "potential"

Challenge to Nambu's statement
"Even now, it is impossible to completely describe nuclear forces beginning with a fundamental equation."
Y. Nambu, "Quarks: Frontiers in Elementary Partcile Physics", World Scientific (1985)

## Definition of "Potential" in (lattice) QCD ?

Previous attempt
Takahashi-Doi-Suganuma, AIP Conf.Proc. 842,249(2006)
calculate energy of Qqq +Qqq as a function of $r$ between $2 Q$. Q:static quark, q: light quark

## Quenched result


(a)

(b)

(c)

(d)
( $\kappa=0.1650$ )


Almost no dependence on r!
cf. Recent successful result in the strong coupling limit (deForcrand-Fromm, PRL104(2010)112005)

## Quantum Field Theoretical consideration

- S-matrix below inelastic threshold. Unitarity gives

$$
S=e^{2 i \delta}
$$

- Nambu-Bethe-Salpeter (NBS) Wave function

$$
E=2 \sqrt{\mathbf{k}^{2}+m_{N}^{2}}
$$

$$
\varphi_{E}(\mathbf{r})=\langle 0| N(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{r}, 0) N(\mathbf{x}, 0)|6 q, E\rangle
$$

QCD eigen-state with energy E and \#quark $=6$

$$
N(x)=\varepsilon_{a b c} q^{a}(x) q^{b}(x) q^{c}(x): \text { local operator }
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi_{E}(\mathbf{r}) & =e^{i \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}}+\int \frac{d^{3} p}{(2 \pi)^{3}} e^{i \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{r}} \frac{E_{k}+E_{p}}{8 E_{p}^{2}} \frac{T(\mathbf{p},-\mathbf{p} \leftarrow \mathbf{k},-\mathbf{k})}{\mathbf{p}^{2}-\mathbf{k}^{2}-i \epsilon} \\
& +\mathcal{I}(\mathbf{r})
\end{aligned}
$$

inelastic contribution $\propto O\left(e^{-\sqrt{E_{t h}^{2}-E^{2}}|\mathbf{r}|}\right)$

$$
\text { C.-J.D.Lin et al., NPB69(2001) } 467
$$

$$
\text { CP-PACS Coll., PRD71 (2005) } 094504
$$

$$
r=|\mathbf{r}| \rightarrow \infty
$$

$$
\varphi_{E}^{l}(r) \longrightarrow A_{l} \frac{\sin \left(k r-l \pi / 2+\delta_{l}(k)\right)}{k r} \quad l=0,1,2, \cdots
$$

## partial wave



Finite volume

allowed value: $k_{n}^{2}$


Lueshcer's formula

$$
\delta_{l}\left(k_{n}\right)
$$

## Systemtic procedure to define the NN potential in lattice QCD

1. Choose your favorite operator: e.g. $N(x)=\varepsilon_{a b c} q^{a}(x) q^{b}(x) q^{c}(x)$
2. Measure the NBS amplitude:

$$
\varphi_{E}(\mathbf{r})=\langle 0| N(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{r}, 0) N(\mathbf{x}, 0)|6 q, E\rangle
$$

3. Define the non-local potential:

$$
\epsilon_{k}=\frac{\mathbf{k}^{2}}{2 \mu} \quad H_{0}=\frac{-\nabla^{2}}{2 \mu}
$$

$$
\left[\epsilon_{k}-H_{0}\right] \varphi_{E}(\mathbf{x})=\int d^{3} y U(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \varphi_{E}(\mathbf{y})
$$

4. Velocity expansion: $\quad U(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})=V(\mathbf{x}, \nabla) \delta^{3}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y})$

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
V(\mathbf{x}, \nabla)= & V_{0}(r)+V_{\sigma}(r)\left(\sigma_{\mathbf{1}} \cdot \sigma_{\mathbf{2}}\right)+V_{T}(r) S_{12}+V_{\mathrm{LS}}(r) \mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{S}+O\left(\nabla^{2}\right) \\
\text { LO } & \text { LO } & \text { NLO }
\end{array}
$$

5. Calculate observables: phase shift, binding energy etc.

## NBS wave function on the lattice

## 4 point nucleon correlator

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{G}_{\alpha \beta}\left(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, t-t_{0} ; J^{P}\right) & \equiv\langle 0| n_{\beta}(\mathbf{y}, t) p_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}, t) \overline{\mathcal{J}}_{p n}\left(t_{0} ; J^{P}\right)|0\rangle \\
& =\sum_{n} A_{n}\langle 0| n_{\beta}(\mathbf{y}, 0) p_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}, 0)\left|E_{n}\right\rangle e^{-E_{n}\left(t-t_{0}\right)} \\
& \longrightarrow A_{0} \psi_{\alpha \beta}\left(\mathbf{r} ; J^{P}\right) e^{-e_{0}\left(t-t_{0}\right)} \\
& A_{n}=\left\langle E_{n}\right| \overline{\mathcal{J}}_{p n}\left(0 ; J^{P}\right)|0\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

Wall source
$L=0 \quad \mathcal{J}_{p n}\left(t_{0} ; J^{P}\right)=P_{\beta \alpha}^{(s)}\left[p_{\alpha}^{\text {wall }}\left(t_{0}\right) n_{\beta}^{\text {wall }}\left(t_{0}\right)\right] \quad q\left(\mathbf{x}, t_{0}\right) \rightarrow q^{\text {wall }}\left(t_{0}\right)=\sum_{\mathbf{x}} q\left(\mathbf{x}, t_{0}\right)$
$\left(A_{1}\right) \quad\left(J, J_{z}\right)=\left(s, s_{z}\right) \quad P=+$
with Coulomb gauge fixing

## cubic group

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \psi\left(r ;^{1} S_{0}\right)=P^{\left(A_{1}\right)} P^{(s=0)} \psi\left(\mathbf{r} ; 0^{+}\right) \equiv \frac{1}{24} \sum_{g \in O} P_{\beta \alpha}^{(s=0)} \psi_{\alpha \beta}\left(g^{-1} \mathbf{r} ; 0^{+}\right) \\
& \psi\left(r ;^{3} S_{1}\right)=P^{\left(A_{1}\right)} P^{(s=1)} \psi\left(\mathbf{r} ; 1^{+}\right) \equiv \frac{1}{24} \sum_{g \in O} P_{\beta \alpha}^{(s=1)} \psi_{\alpha \beta}\left(g^{-1} \mathbf{r} ; 1^{+}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$



## (quenched) potentials



Qualitative features of NN potential are reproduced!
Ishii-Aoki-Hatsuda, PRL90(2007)0022001
This paper has been selected as one of 21 papers in Nature Research Highlights 2007

## Frequently Asked Questions

## [Q1] Operator dependence of the potential [Q2] Energy dependence of the potential

[A1] choice of operator = scheme, cf. running coupling
$(N(x), U(x, y))$ is a combination to define ovservables
QM: $(\Phi, \mathrm{U}) \rightarrow$ observables
QFT: (asymptotic field, vertices) $\rightarrow$ observables
EFT: (choice of field, vertices) $\rightarrow$ observables

- local operator = convenient choice for reduction formula
[A2] $\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})$ is E -independent by construction
- non-locality can be determined order by order in velocity expansion (cf. ChPT)

Non-local, E-independent


Local, E-dependent
$\left(E+\frac{\nabla^{2}}{2 m}\right) \varphi_{E}(\mathbf{x})=\int d^{3} y U(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \varphi_{E}(\mathbf{y}) \quad V_{E}(\mathbf{x}) \varphi_{E}(\mathbf{x})=\left(E+\frac{\nabla^{2}}{2 m}\right) \varphi_{E}(\mathbf{x})$

## Validity of the velocity expansion of $U$

Leading Order $\quad V_{C}(r)=\frac{\left(E-H_{0}\right) \varphi_{E}(\mathbf{x})}{\varphi_{E}(\mathbf{x})} \quad$ Local potential approximation

## E-dependent



From E-dependence, one may determine higher order terms:

$$
V(\mathbf{x}, \nabla)=V_{C}(r)+V_{T}(r) S_{12}+V_{\mathrm{LS}}(r) \mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{S}+\left\{V_{D}(r), \nabla^{2}\right\}+\cdots
$$

Numerical check in quenched QCD

$$
\begin{aligned}
m_{\pi} & \simeq 0.53 \mathrm{GeV} \\
\mathrm{a} & =0.137 \mathrm{fm}
\end{aligned}
$$

K. Murano, N. Ishii, S. Aoki, T. Hatsuda

PoS Lattice2009 (2009)126.
Anti-Periodic B.C.



APBC BS wave function

$V c\left(r ;{ }^{1} S_{0}\right): P B C$ v.s. $A P B C t=9(x=+-5$ or $y=+-5$ or $z=+-5)$


Quenched QCD

$$
\begin{aligned}
& m_{\pi} \simeq 0.53 \mathrm{GeV} \\
& \mathrm{a}=0.137 \mathrm{fm}
\end{aligned}
$$

E-dependence of the local potential turns out to be very small at low energy in our choice of wave function.

## 3. More structure:tensor potential

## Tensor potential

$$
\left(H_{0}+V_{C}(r)+V_{T}(r) S_{12}\right) \psi\left(\mathbf{r} ; 1^{+}\right)=E \psi\left(\mathbf{r} ; 1^{+}\right)
$$

mixing between ${ }^{3} S_{1}$ and ${ }^{3} D_{1}$ through the tensor force

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T_{1}(\operatorname{spin}) \otimes A_{1}(L=0)=T_{1}(J=1) \quad \longleftarrow \quad T_{1}(\operatorname{spin}) \otimes A_{1}(L=0)=T_{1}(J=1) \\
& T_{1}(\operatorname{spin}) \otimes E(L=2)=T_{1}(J=1) \oplus T_{2} \\
& \psi\left(\mathbf{r} ; 1^{+}\right)=\mathcal{P} \psi\left(\mathbf{r} ; 1^{+}\right)+\mathcal{Q} \psi\left(\mathbf{r} ; \mathbf{1}^{+}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\mathcal{P} \psi_{\alpha \beta}\left(\mathbf{r} ; 1^{+}\right)=P^{\left(A_{1}\right)} \psi_{\alpha \beta}\left(\mathbf{r} ; 1^{+}\right) \quad \text { "projection" to L=0 } \quad{ }^{3} S_{1}
$$

$$
\mathcal{Q} \psi_{\alpha \beta}\left(\mathbf{r} ; 1^{+}\right)=\left(1-P^{\left(A_{1}\right)}\right) \psi_{\alpha \beta}\left(\mathbf{r} ; 1^{+}\right) \quad \text { "projection" to L=2 }{ }^{3} D_{1}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{0}[\mathcal{P} \psi](\mathbf{r})+V_{C}(r):[\mathcal{P} \psi](\mathbf{r})+V_{T}(r):\left[\mathcal{P} S_{12} \psi\right](\mathbf{r})=E[\mathcal{P} \psi](\mathbf{r}) \\
& \left.\left.H_{0}[\mathcal{Q} \psi](\mathbf{r})+V_{C}(r): \mathcal{Q} \psi\right](\mathbf{r})+V_{T}(r): \mathcal{Q} S_{12} \psi\right](\mathbf{r})=E[\mathcal{Q} \psi](\mathbf{r})
\end{aligned}
$$

Wave functions
Aoki, Hatsuda, Ishii, PTP 123 (2010)89
Quenched arXiv:0909.5585


Tensor Force and Central Force $\left(t-t_{0}=5\right)$


## Potentials

Tensor Force and Central Force ( $t-t_{0}=5$ )


Quark mass dependence


Fit function

- Rapid quark mass dependence of tensor potential
- Evidence of one-pion exchange

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{T}(r)= & b_{1}\left(1-e^{-b_{2} r^{2}}\right)^{2}\left(1+\frac{3}{m_{\rho} r}+\frac{3}{\left(m_{\rho} r\right)^{2}}\right) \frac{e^{-m_{\rho} r}}{r} \\
& +b_{3}\left(1-e^{-b_{4} r^{2}}\right)^{2}\left(1+\frac{3}{m_{\pi} r}+\frac{3}{\left(m_{\pi} r\right)^{2}}\right) \frac{e^{-m_{\pi} r}}{r}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Full QCD Calculation

Full QCD

Quenched QCD
$\mathrm{L}=4.4 \mathrm{fm}$



* Large repulsive core than quenched * Large tensor force than quenched


## Phase shift from V(r) in full QCD



## 4. Inelastic scattering: octet baryon interactions

## Octet Baryon interactions




- no phase shift available for YN and YY scattering
- plenty of hyper-nucleus data will be soon available at J-PARC

- prediction from lattice QCD
- difference between NN and YN ?


## 3D Nuclear chart



Neutron Number

4-1. Baryon-Baryon interactions in an SU(3) symmetric world

$$
m_{u}=m_{d}=m_{s}
$$

1. First setup to predict $Y N, Y Y$ interactions not accessible in exp.
2. Origin of the repulsive core (universal or not)


$$
8 \times 8=\underbrace{27+8 \mathrm{~s}+1}_{\text {Symmetric }}+\underbrace{10^{*}+10+8 \mathrm{a}}_{\text {Anti-symmetric }}
$$

6 independent potential in flavor-basis

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
V^{(27)}(r), & V^{(8 \mathrm{~s})}(r), & V^{(1)}(r) \\
V^{\left(10^{*}\right)}(r), & V^{(10)}(r), & V^{(8 \mathrm{a})}(r)
\end{array}{ }^{1} S_{0}
$$

## Potentials(full QCD)

$a=0.12 \mathrm{fm}, \mathrm{L}=2 \mathrm{fm}$ $m_{\mathrm{PS}} \simeq 840 \mathrm{MeV}$




27, 10*: same as before NN channel



8s, 10: strong repulsive core


8a: week repulsive core, deep attractive pocket


However, it is difficult to determine E precisely, due to contaminations from excited states.


Schroedinger eq. predicts a bound state at $\mathrm{E}<-30 \mathrm{MeV}$

| $\mathrm{E}[\mathrm{MeV}]$ | $\mathrm{E} 0[\mathrm{MeV}]$ | $\sqrt{\left\langle r^{2}\right\rangle}$ | $[\mathrm{fm}]$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| $\mathrm{E}=-30$ | -0.018 | 24.7 |  |
| $\mathrm{E}=-35$ | -0.72 | 4.1 |  |
| $\mathrm{E}=-40$ | -2.49 | 2.3 |  |

finite size effect is very large on this volume. (consistent with previous results.) simulations on larger volume is in progress.
$V(r)=a_{1} e^{-a_{2} r^{2}}+a_{3}\left(1-e^{-a_{4} r^{2}}\right)^{2}\left(\frac{e^{-a_{5} r}}{r}\right)^{2}$

## 4-2. Proposal for $S=-2$ In-elastic scattering

$$
m_{N}=939 \mathrm{MeV}, m_{\Lambda}=1116 \mathrm{MeV}, m_{\Sigma}=1193 \mathrm{MeV}, m_{\Xi}=1318 \mathrm{MeV}
$$

S=-2 System(I=0)

$$
M_{\Lambda \Lambda}=2232 \mathrm{MeV}<M_{N \Xi}=2257 \mathrm{MeV}<M_{\Sigma \Sigma}=2386 \mathrm{MeV}
$$

The eigen-state of QCD in the finite box is a mixture of them:

$$
\begin{gathered}
|S=-2, I=0, E\rangle_{L}=c_{1}(L)|\Lambda \Lambda, E\rangle+c_{2}(L)|\Xi N, E\rangle+c_{3}(L)|\Sigma \Sigma, E\rangle \\
E=2 \sqrt{m_{\Lambda}^{2}+\mathbf{p}_{1}^{2}}=\sqrt{m_{\Xi}^{2}+\mathbf{p}_{2}^{2}}+\sqrt{m_{N}^{2}+\mathbf{p}_{2}^{2}}=2 \sqrt{m_{\Sigma}^{2}+\mathbf{p}_{3}^{2}}
\end{gathered}
$$

In this situation, we can not directly extract the scattering phase shift in lattice QCD.

## HAL's proposal

Let us consider 2-channel problem for simplicity. NBS wave functions for 2 channels at 2 values of energy:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Psi_{\alpha}^{\Lambda \Lambda}(\mathbf{x}) & =\langle 0| \Lambda(\mathbf{x}) \Lambda(\mathbf{0})\left|E_{\alpha}\right\rangle \\
\Psi_{\alpha}^{\Xi N}(\mathbf{x}) & =\langle 0| \Xi(\mathbf{x}) N(\mathbf{0})\left|E_{\alpha}\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\alpha=1,2
$$

They satisfy

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\nabla^{2}+\mathbf{p}_{\alpha}^{2}\right) \Psi_{\alpha}^{\Lambda \Lambda}(\mathbf{x})=0 \\
& \left(\nabla^{2}+\mathbf{q}_{\alpha}^{2}\right) \Psi_{\alpha}^{\Xi N}(\mathbf{x})=0
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
|\mathbf{x}| \rightarrow \infty
$$

We define the "potential" from the coupled channel Schroedinger equation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left(\frac{\nabla^{2}}{2 \mu_{\Lambda \Lambda}}+\frac{\mathbf{p}_{\alpha}^{2}}{2 \mu_{\Lambda \Lambda}}\right) \Psi_{\alpha}^{\Lambda \Lambda}(\mathbf{x})=V_{\text {diagonal }}^{\Lambda \Lambda \leftarrow \Lambda \Lambda}(\mathbf{x}) \Psi_{\alpha}^{\Lambda \Lambda}(\mathbf{x})+V^{\Lambda \Lambda \leftarrow \Xi N}(\mathbf{x}) \Psi_{\alpha}^{\Xi N}(\mathbf{x}) \\
&\left(\frac{\nabla^{2}}{2 \mu_{\Xi N}}+\frac{\mathbf{q}_{\alpha}^{2}}{2 \mu_{\Xi N}}\right) \Psi_{\alpha}^{\Xi N}(\mathbf{x})=V_{\text {off-diagonal }}^{\Xi N \leftarrow \Lambda \Lambda}(\mathbf{x}) \Psi_{\alpha}^{\Lambda \Lambda}(\mathbf{x})+V^{\Xi N \leftarrow \Xi N}(\mathbf{x}) \Psi_{\alpha}^{\Xi N}(\mathbf{x}) \\
& \text { off-diagonal }
\end{aligned}
$$

$\mu:$ reduced mass

$$
\begin{gathered}
\binom{\left(E_{1}-H_{0}^{X}\right) \Psi_{1}^{X}(\mathbf{x})}{\left(E_{2}-H_{0}^{X}\right) \Psi_{2}^{X}(\mathbf{x})}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\Psi_{1}^{X}(\mathbf{x}) & \Psi_{1}^{Y}(\mathbf{x}) \\
\Psi_{2}^{X}(\mathbf{x}) & \Psi_{2}^{Y}(\mathbf{x})
\end{array}\right)\binom{V^{X \leftarrow X}(\mathbf{x})}{V^{X \leftarrow Y}(\mathbf{x})}
\end{gathered} \quad X \neq Y \quad \begin{array}{r}
X, Y=\Lambda \Lambda \text { or } \Xi N \\
E_{\alpha}=\frac{\mathbf{p}_{\alpha}^{2}}{2 \mu_{\Lambda \Lambda}}, \frac{\mathbf{q}_{\alpha}^{2}}{2 \mu_{\Xi N}} \quad X,
\end{array}
$$

$$
\binom{V^{X \leftarrow X}(\mathbf{x})}{V^{X \leftarrow Y}(\mathbf{x})}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\Psi_{1}^{X}(\mathbf{x}) & \Psi_{1}^{Y}(\mathbf{x}) \\
\Psi_{2}^{X}(\mathbf{x}) & \Psi_{2}^{Y}(\mathbf{x})
\end{array}\right)^{-1}\binom{\left(E_{1}-H_{0}^{X}\right) \Psi_{1}^{X}(\mathbf{x})}{\left(E_{2}-H_{0}^{X}\right) \Psi_{2}^{X}(\mathbf{x})}
$$

Using the potentials: $\quad\left(\begin{array}{cc}V^{\Lambda \Lambda \leftarrow \Lambda \Lambda}(\mathbf{x}) & V^{\Xi N \leftarrow \Lambda \Lambda}(\mathbf{x}) \\ V^{\Lambda \Lambda \leftarrow \Xi N}(\mathbf{x}) & V^{\Xi N \leftarrow \Xi N}(\mathbf{x})\end{array}\right)$
we solve the coupled channel Schroedinger equation in the infinite volume with an appropriate boundary condition.

For example, we take the incomming $\Lambda \Lambda$ state by hand.
In this way, we can avoid the mixture of several "in"-states.

$$
|S=-2, I=0, E\rangle_{L}=c_{1}(L)|\Lambda \Lambda, E\rangle+c_{2}(L)|\Xi N, E\rangle+c_{3}(L)|\Sigma \Sigma, E\rangle
$$

Lattice is a tool to extract the interaction kernel ("T-matrix" or "potential").

## Preliminary results from HAL QCD Collaboration

2+1 flavor full QCD

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{a}=0.1 \mathrm{fm}, \mathrm{~L}=2.9 \mathrm{fm} \\
m_{\pi} \simeq 870 \mathrm{MeV} \quad \text { Diagonal part of potential matrix }
\end{array}
$$





## Non-diagonal part of potential matrix

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V_{\Lambda \Lambda-N E} \\
& \mathrm{~V}_{\Lambda \Lambda-\Sigma \Sigma} \\
& \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{N} \Xi-\Sigma \Sigma} \\
& V_{A-B} \simeq V_{B-A} \\
& \text { Hermiticity! (non-trivial check) }
\end{aligned}
$$

## 4-3. H-dibaryon

1. $S=-2$ singlet state become the bound state in flavor $S U(3)$ limit.
2. In the real world (s is heavier than $u, d$ ), some resonance appears above $\wedge \wedge$ but below $\equiv \mathrm{N}$ threshold.
3. We can check this scenario using the lattice QCD.
3.1.The potential in $S U(3)$ limit
3.2. The $3 \times 3$ potential matrix in real world
4. Trial demonstration:
```
Inoue for HAL QCD Collaboration
```

4.1. Use potential in $\mathrm{SU}(3)$ limit
4.2. Introduce only mass difference from $2+1$ simulation

## Potentials in particle basis in $\operatorname{SU}(3)$ limit

$$
\left(\begin{array}{c}
\Lambda \Lambda \\
\Sigma \Sigma \\
\Xi N
\end{array}\right)=U\left(\begin{array}{c}
\mid 27 \\
|8\rangle \\
|1\rangle
\end{array}\right), U\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
V^{(27)} & & \\
& V^{(8)} & \\
& & V^{(1)}
\end{array}\right) U^{t} \rightarrow\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
V^{\Lambda \Lambda} & V^{\Lambda \Lambda} & V^{\Lambda \Lambda} \\
& V^{\Sigma \Sigma} & V_{E N}^{\Sigma \Sigma} \\
& & V^{E_{N}}
\end{array}\right)
$$



where $T_{0}^{(1)}=-25, T_{0}^{(8)}=25, T_{0}^{(27)}=-5[\mathrm{MeV}]$ are used
$S=-2, I=0,{ }^{1} S_{0}$ scattering
$E^{(1)}=-40 \mathrm{MeV}$
bound state
$\operatorname{Re}[E]$ [MeV]


resonance



## 5. New method for hadron interactions in lattice QCD

## Inelastic scattering II: particle production

$E \geq E_{t h}=2 m_{N}+m_{\pi}$
NBS wave function

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi_{E}(\mathbf{r}) & =e^{i \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}}+\int \frac{d^{3} p}{(2 \pi)^{3}} e^{i \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{r}} \frac{E_{k}+E_{p}}{8 E_{p}^{2}} \frac{T(\mathbf{p},-\mathbf{p} \leftarrow \mathbf{k},-\mathbf{k})}{\mathbf{p}^{2}-\mathbf{k}^{2}-i \epsilon} \\
& +\mathcal{I}(\mathbf{r})
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\text { inelastic contribution } \quad N N \pi \leftarrow N N \quad \propto e^{i \mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{r}} \quad|\mathbf{q}|=O\left(E-E_{t h}\right)
$$

Consider additional NBS wave function

$$
\varphi_{E, \pi}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{y})=\langle 0| N(\mathbf{r}+\mathbf{x}, 0) \pi(\mathbf{y}+\mathbf{x}, 0) N(\mathbf{x}, 0)|6 q, E\rangle
$$

Note that

$$
|6 q, E\rangle=c_{1}|N N, E\rangle_{\mathrm{in}}+c_{2}|N N \pi, E\rangle_{\mathrm{in}}+\cdots
$$

## Coupled channel equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left(E-H_{0}\right) \varphi_{E}(\mathbf{x})=\int d^{3} y U_{11}(\mathbf{x} ; \mathbf{y}) \varphi_{E}(\mathbf{y})+\int d^{3} y d^{3} z U_{12}(\mathbf{x} ; \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}) \varphi_{E, \pi}(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}) \\
&\left(E-H_{0}\right) \varphi_{E, \pi}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})=\int d^{3} z U_{21}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} ; \mathbf{z}) \varphi_{E}(\mathbf{z})+\int d^{3} z d^{3} w U_{22}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} ; \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w}) \varphi_{E, \pi}(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w}) \\
&\left(E_{i}-H_{0}\right) \varphi_{E_{i}}(\mathbf{x})=V_{11}(\mathbf{x}) \varphi_{E_{i}}(\mathbf{x})+V_{12}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}) \varphi_{E_{i}, \pi}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}) \\
&\left(E_{i}-H_{0}\right) \varphi_{E_{i}, \pi}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})= V_{21}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \varphi_{E_{i}}(\mathbf{x})+V_{22}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \varphi_{E_{i}, \pi}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \\
& \text { Velocity expansion at LO, two values of E } \\
& \\
& V_{11}(\mathbf{x}): N N \leftarrow N N \quad \\
& V_{21}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}): N N \pi \leftarrow N N \quad V_{12}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}): N N \leftarrow N N \pi \\
& \text { Solve Schroedinger equation with these potentials and a specific B.C. }
\end{aligned}
$$

## General prescription

- Consider a QCD eiegnstate with given quantum numbers $Q$ and energy E .
- Take all possible combinations with $Q$ of stable particles whose threshold is below or near E .

$$
\text { ex. } Q=6 q: \quad N N, N N \pi, N N \pi \pi, N N K^{+} K^{-}, N N \bar{N} N, \cdots
$$

- Calculate NBS wave functions for all combinations.
- Extract coupled-channel potentials in a finite volume.
- Solve Schroedinger equation with these potentials in the infinite volume with a suitable B.C. to obtain physical observables.

In practice, of course, final states more than 2 particles are very difficult to deal with.

## 6. Summary and Discussion

## Summary

- Potentials from NBS wave function are useful tools to extract hadron interactions in lattice QCD. Finite size effect is smaller and quark mass dependence is milder than the phase shift.
- Velocity expansion is needed. Validity can be checked.(Murano)
- Combined with Schroedinger equation in the infinite box. Rotational symmetry is recovered.
- NN, tensor force; NY,YY (Nemura); SU(3) limit (Inoue)
Nemura-Ishii-Aoki-Hatsuda, PLB673(2009)136.

Inoue et al.(HAL QCD), arXiv:1007.3559.

- Others: $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{\eta}_{\mathrm{c}}$ (Kawanai-Sasaki), $\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{K}^{+}$(Ikeda)

Ikeda et al.(HAL QCD), arXiv:1002.2309.

- Inelastic scattering can also be analysed in terms of coupled channel "potentials".
- $\wedge \wedge$ scattering (Sasaki), H-dibaryon as a resonance
- unstabel particle as a resonace
- $\rho$ meson, $\Delta$, Roper etc.
- exotic: penta-quark (Ikeda), $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}$ etc.
- Parity odd part of potentials, LS force (Murano, Ishii)
- 3-Baryon forces : NNN (Doi) , BBB-> Neutron star
- Theoretical understanding of the repulsive core
- OPE analysis + pQCD+RG

Aoki-Balog-Weisz, JHEP05(2010)008(Nf=2);
arXiv: $1007.4117(\mathrm{Nf}=3)$.

- AdS/QCD Hashimoto-lizuka-Yi, arXiv:1003.4988
- Weak decay ?


## $\pi^{+} \pi^{-}$scattering ( $\rho$ meson width)

Finite volume method


ETMC: Feng-Jansen-Renner, PLB684(2010)

