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## Introduction

We do a direct, brutal force calculation of K to $\pi-\pi$ amplitudes. Experiment facts:

- $\Delta I=\frac{1}{2}$ rule.

$$
\frac{\operatorname{Re}\left(A_{0}\right)}{\operatorname{Re}\left(A_{2}\right)}=25.7
$$

- Direct CP violation in $K \rightarrow \pi \pi$ decays

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left(\epsilon^{\prime} / \epsilon\right)=(1.65 \pm 0.26) \times 10^{-3}
$$

$\sim 16 \%$ error
(from PDG 2010 book)

## Effective Harmiltonian

$$
\left\langle(\pi \pi)_{I}\right| H_{w}\left|K^{0}\right\rangle=A_{I} e^{i \delta_{l}}=\frac{G_{F}}{\sqrt{2}} V_{u d} V_{u s} \sum_{i=1}^{10}\left[\left(z_{i}(\mu)+\tau y_{i}(\mu)\right)\left\langle Q_{i}\right\rangle_{I}(\mu)\right]
$$

- Current-Current operators(1,2):

$$
Q_{2}=\left(\bar{s}_{\alpha} d_{\beta}\right)_{V-A}\left(\bar{u}_{\beta} u_{\alpha}\right)_{V-A}
$$

- QCD penguin operators(3,4,5,6):

$$
Q_{6}=\left(\bar{s}_{\alpha} d_{\beta}\right) v-A \sum_{q=u, d, s}\left(\bar{q}_{\beta} q_{\alpha}\right) v+A
$$

- Electroweak penguin operators(7,8,9,10):

$$
Q_{7}=\frac{3}{2}\left(\bar{s}_{\alpha} d_{\alpha}\right)_{V-A} \sum_{q=u, d, s} e_{q}\left(\bar{q}_{\beta} q_{\beta}\right)_{V+A}
$$

## Overview of the Steps to get $A_{0}$ and $A_{2}$

(1) Lattice calculation: $Q_{i}^{\text {lat }}(a)$
$-<\pi \pi(t) \mid \pi \pi(0)>=Z_{\pi \pi} Z_{\pi \pi}^{*}\left(e^{-E_{\pi \pi} t}+e^{-E_{\pi \pi}(T-t)}+C\right)$

- $\langle K| K>=Z_{k} Z_{k}^{*}\left(e^{-m_{k} t}+e^{-m_{k}(T-t)}\right)$
- $<\pi \pi\left(t_{\pi}\right)\left|Q_{i}(t)\right| K(0)>=Q_{i}^{\text {lat }}(a) Z_{\pi \pi}^{*} Z_{k} e^{-E_{\pi \pi} t_{\pi}} e^{-\left(m_{k}-E_{\pi \pi}\right) t}$
(2) Renormalization: $Q_{i}^{\text {cont }}(\mu)=Z_{i j}(\mu, a) Q_{j}^{\text {lat }}(a)$
- RI/MOM scheme vs. $\overline{M S}$ scheme
(3) Wilson Coefficients: $z_{i}(\mu)$ and $y_{i}(\mu)$
(3) Finite volume effect: Lellouch Luscher factor


## Computational specifics

- Lattice:
- $2+1$ flavor DWF, $m_{s}=0.032, m_{l}=0.01$
- $16^{3} \times 32$ space time volume with $L_{s}=16$
- Box size $L=1.82 \mathrm{fm}, m_{\pi}=420 \mathrm{MeV}$
- $K \rightarrow \pi \pi$ set up
- Partially quenched strange quark $m_{s}($ valence $)=0.066,0.099,0.165$
- Periodic Boundary condition: Total momenum $\vec{P}=0$, or $2 \pi / L \hat{x}$
- Propagators: $D_{w}\left(t_{\text {sink }} ; t_{\text {src }}\right)$
- Coulomb Gauge Fixed Wall Source and Sink
- Propagators are calculated on all time slices $\mathrm{T}=32$ ( $\times 12$ inversion) $\rightarrow$ Huge statistics $\times 400$ configurations
$\rightarrow$ Resolve signal from disconnect diagrams
- Eigenvector accelerator code, provided by Ran Zhou


## $\pi \pi$ scattering

$$
\begin{aligned}
& <20(t) \mid 20(0)>=2(D-C) \\
& <00(t) \mid 00(0)>=2 \mathrm{D}+\mathrm{C}-6 \mathrm{R}+3 \mathrm{~V}
\end{aligned}
$$




## $\pi \pi$ energy



## $K^{0} \rightarrow \pi \pi(I=0)$ contractions



## Contraction details
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## Contraction details



## Contraction of $Q_{2}$ and $Q_{6}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& <00\left|Q_{2}\right| K^{0}>=i \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\{-(2)-2 \cdot(6)+3 \cdot 10+3 \cdot 18-3 \cdot \sqrt{34}\} \\
& <00\left|Q_{6}\right| K^{0}>=i \sqrt{3}\{-(8)+2 \cdot(12-16+2 \cdot 20+(44-\sqrt{28}-\sqrt{32}-2 \cdot \sqrt{66}-40+44+48\}
\end{aligned}
$$

For simplicity, we write the contribution to each operator as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& <00\left(t_{\pi}\right)\left|Q_{i}(t)\right| K^{0}(0)>_{\text {sub }} \\
= & <00\left(t_{\pi}\right)\left|Q_{i}(t)\right| K^{0}(0)>-\alpha_{i}<00\left(t_{\pi}\right)\left|\bar{s} \gamma_{5} d(t)\right| K^{0}(0)> \\
= & \text { Type } 1+\text { Type } 2+\text { Type } 3+\text { Type } 4-\text { Mix } 3-\text { Mix } 4 \\
= & \text { Type } 1+\text { Type } 2+(\text { Type } 3-\text { Mix } 3)+(\text { Type } 4-\text { Mix } 4) \\
= & \text { Type } 1+\text { Type } 2+\text { Sub3 }+ \text { Sub } 4
\end{aligned}
$$

The subtraction coefficient can be calculated from $\alpha_{i}=\frac{\langle 0| Q_{i}(t)\left|K^{0}(0)\right\rangle}{\langle 0| \bar{\xi} \gamma_{5} d(t)\left|K^{0}(0)\right\rangle}$

## Operator $Q_{2}$



## Operator $Q_{2}$

$$
<\pi \pi\left(t_{\pi}\right)\left|Q_{i}(t)\right| K(0)>=Q_{i}^{\text {at }}(a) Z_{\pi \pi}^{*} Z_{k} e^{-E_{\pi \pi} t_{\pi}} e^{-\left(m_{k}-E_{\pi \pi}\right) t}
$$



## Operator $Q_{6}$



## Fitting Results

| i | $Q_{i}^{\prime}(a)$ | $Q_{i}(a)$ | $\%$ to $\operatorname{Re}\left(A_{0}\right)$ | $\%$ to $\operatorname{Im}\left(A_{0}\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | $-6.5(38) \mathrm{e}-03$ | $-4(12) \mathrm{e}-03$ | 8.5 | 0 |
| 2 | $1.75(14) \mathrm{e}-02$ | $1.37(52) \mathrm{e}-02$ | 91.6 | 0 |
| 3 | $1.0(10) \mathrm{e}-02$ | $1.2(33) \mathrm{e}-02$ | 0.003 | 6.8 |
| 4 | $3.39(80) \mathrm{e}-02$ | $2.9(27) \mathrm{e}-02$ | 0.50 | -61.1 |
| 5 | $-5.04(91) \mathrm{e}-02$ | $-1.7(30) \mathrm{e}-02$ | -0.03 | -2.7 |
| 6 | $-1.59(10) \mathrm{e}-01$ | $-6.4(40) \mathrm{e}-02$ | -0.37 | 141.2 |
| 7 | $1.435(44) \mathrm{e}-01$ | $1.16(12) \mathrm{e}-01$ | 0.02 | -0.48 |
| 8 | $4.42(11) \mathrm{e}-01$ | $3.49(24) \mathrm{e}-01$ | -0.14 | 9.6 |
| 9 | $-1.50(29) \mathrm{e}-02$ | $-1.0(10) \mathrm{e}-02$ | -0.0003 | 5.8 |
| 10 | $9.2(29) \mathrm{e}-03$ | $6.6(97) \mathrm{e}-03$ | 0003 | 0.82 |

$Q_{i}^{\prime}$ means the result without the fully disconnected graph (no type4 graph).
Fitting range [5:10]

## Combine everything together

$$
A_{I}=\frac{G_{F}}{\sqrt{2}} V_{u d} V_{u s} \sum_{i=1}^{10}\left\{\left(z_{i}(2.15)+\tau y_{i}(2.15)\right) Z_{i j}(2.15, a)\left\langle Q_{j}\right\rangle_{l}(a)\right\}
$$

(1) Wilson Coefficients $z_{i}(2.15 \mathrm{GeV})$ and $y_{i}(2.15 \mathrm{GeV})$.
(2) Renormalization factor $Z_{i j}\left(2.15 \mathrm{GeV}, a^{-1}=1.73 \mathrm{GeV}\right)$

Taken from Our group's previous paper(Shu Li).
(3) Finite Volumn effect (and normalization of states on lattice)

- Lellouch Luscher factor

$$
|A|^{2}=8 \pi \gamma^{2}\left(\frac{E_{\pi \pi}}{p}\right)^{3}\left\{p \frac{\partial \delta(p)}{\partial p}+q \frac{\partial \phi(q)}{\partial q}\right\}|M|^{2}=F^{2}|M|^{2}
$$

- Free field limit ( $\vec{P}=0$ case)

$$
|A|^{2}=4\left(2 m_{\pi}\right)^{2} m_{K} L^{3}|M|^{2}=F_{f}^{2}|M|^{2}
$$

These are derived by assuming that $\left\langle p \mid p^{\prime}\right\rangle=2 p_{0}(2 \pi)^{3} \delta\left((\vec{p})-\overrightarrow{\left(p^{\prime}\right)}\right)$, and Particle states in finite volume are normalized to unity.

## $\operatorname{Re}\left(A_{0}\right)$ and $\operatorname{Im}\left(A_{0}\right)$

Notice that $E_{I=0}=0.450(17)$ and $E_{I=0 \text { vout }}=0.4392(59)$

| $m_{K}$ | $F_{f}$ | $\operatorname{Re}\left(A_{0}^{\prime}\right)(\mathrm{GeV})$ | $\operatorname{Re}\left(A_{0}\right)(\mathrm{GeV})$ | $\operatorname{Im}\left(A_{0}^{\prime}\right)(\mathrm{GeV})$ | $\operatorname{Im}\left(A_{0}\right)(\mathrm{GeV})$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $0.4255(6)$ | 40.5 | $53.5(2.9) e^{-8}$ | $40(11) e^{-8}$ | $-87.8(7.4) e^{-12}$ | $-30(28) e^{-12}$ |
| $0.5070(6)$ | 44.2 | $61.5(3.4) e^{-8}$ | $50(14) e^{-8}$ | $-95.7(7.8) e^{-12}$ | $-68(38) e^{-12}$ |
| on shell | - | $54.8(3.0) e^{-8}$ | $43(12) e^{-8}$ | $-89.2(7.5) e^{-12}$ | $-41(31) e^{-12}$ |

From $t_{\pi}-t_{K}=14$, and fitting range [5:10]
Used Free field normalization of states.
For $\mathrm{I}=0$, it is very difficult to apply Lellouch Luscher factor here given the small volume. Numerically, $\partial \phi(q) / \partial q$ becomes divergent at $q^{2}=$ -0.06639 which correspond to $E_{I 0}=0.441$. Luscher's derivation requires that the Interaction range $R<L / 2$. If we plug in $E_{l 0}=0.450$, we'll get $F=90 \approx 2 F_{f}$.

## $\Delta I=3 / 2 K \rightarrow \pi \pi$ correlators.



## Zero momentum results ( 420 MeV pion mass)

$\operatorname{Re}\left(A_{2}\right)$ and $\operatorname{Im}\left(A_{2}\right)$, Used Lellouch Luscher factor

| $m_{K}$ | $E_{12}$ | $F$ | $\operatorname{Re}\left(A_{2}\right)(\mathrm{GeV})$ | $\operatorname{Im}\left(A_{2}\right)(\mathrm{GeV})$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $0.5070(6)$ | $0.5054(15)$ | $36.8(2)$ | $7.629(64) e^{-8}$ | $-1.102(11) e^{-12}$ |

From $t_{\pi}-t_{K}=12$, fitting range [5:7] to get more accurate result.

Compare with $A_{0}$ :

| $\operatorname{Re}\left(A_{0}^{\prime}\right)$ | $\operatorname{Re}\left(A_{0}\right)$ | $\operatorname{Im}\left(A_{0}^{\prime}\right)$ | $\operatorname{Im}\left(A_{0}\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $54.8(3.0) e^{-8}$ | $43(12) e^{-8}$ | $-89.2(7.5) e^{-12}$ | $-41(31) e^{-12}$ |

At this highly unphysical kinematics point: $m_{\pi}=420 \mathrm{MeV}$

- $\frac{\operatorname{Re}\left(A_{0}\right): K^{0}(778) \rightarrow \pi(420) \pi(420)}{\operatorname{Re}\left(A_{2}\right): K^{0}(874) \rightarrow \pi(420) \pi(420)} \sim 6$ (or 13 using the LL factor)
- $\epsilon^{\prime}=i e^{i\left(\delta_{2}-\delta_{0}\right)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{\operatorname{Re}\left(A_{2}\right)}{\operatorname{Re}\left(A_{0}\right)}\left[\frac{\operatorname{lm}\left(A_{2}\right)}{\operatorname{Re}\left(A_{2}\right)}-\frac{\operatorname{Im}\left(A_{0}\right)}{\operatorname{Re}\left(A_{0}\right)}\right] \sim i e^{i\left(\delta_{2}-\delta_{0}\right)} 10(9) e^{-6}$


## Non zero total Momemtum, 420 MeV pion, $\vec{P}=679 \mathrm{MeV}$

$\underline{\operatorname{Re}\left(A_{2}\right) \text { and } \operatorname{Im}\left(A_{2}\right)}$

| $E_{K}$ | $E_{I 2}$ | $F$ | $\operatorname{Re}\left(A_{2}\right)(\mathrm{GeV})$ | $\operatorname{Im}\left(A_{2}\right)(\mathrm{GeV})$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $0.6485(15)$ | $0.7382(39)$ | $43.5(3)$ | $11.11(11) e^{-8}$ | $-0.744(16) e^{-12}$ |
| $0.7647(14)$ | $0.7382(39)$ | $43.5(3)$ | $12.23(12) e^{-8}$ | $-0.604(14) e^{-12}$ |

$\operatorname{Re}\left(A_{0}\right)$ and $\operatorname{Im}\left(A_{0}\right)$ Barely see a signal even without the fully disconnected graph. Lellouch Luscher factor are calculated $(p \neq 0)$.

| $E_{K}$ | $E_{l 0}$ | $F$ | $\operatorname{Re}\left(A_{0}^{\prime}\right)(\mathrm{GeV})$ | $\operatorname{Im}\left(A_{0}^{\prime}\right)(\mathrm{GeV})$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $0.6485(15)$ | $0.6987(87)$ | $38(1)$ | $44(16) e^{-8}$ | $-91(30) e^{-12}$ |
| $0.7647(14)$ | $0.6987(87)$ | $38(1)$ | $36(12) e^{-8}$ | $-57(24) e^{-12}$ |
| From $t_{\pi}-t_{K}=12$, fitting range [5:8] |  |  |  |  |

## Conclusion

We did a trial direct $K \rightarrow \pi \pi$ for both $\Delta I=1 / 2$ and $3 / 2$ calculation.

- Pros
- A direct calculation is possible.
- Divergent subtraction is needed and shown.
- $25 \%$ statistical errors for $\operatorname{Re}\left(A_{0}\right)$
- Compare results from 100 confs and 400 confs. Error does scale like $1 / \sqrt{N}$
- Cons
- $16^{3} \times 32$ lattice
- $m_{\pi}=420 \mathrm{MeV}$
- Zero momentum or too big error


## Future

We need huge ammount of statistics.

- Computer: Faster machine $\sim 100$. (comes late this year)
- Algorithm: Deflation, EigenCG, multigrid, other CG $\sim 10$ ?

Toward physical case

- $32^{3} \times 64$ lattice
- $m_{\pi}=140 \mathrm{MeV}$ : Better signal for the disconnected graph because signal decrease slower while the noisy is a constant.
- Big volume: Means more statistics
- How to include momentum: G-parity or Periodic Boundary condition?

How much is it more difficult? for each configuration, it is
$\sim 32 * 5 * 2=3200$ times more difficult.

## Thank you!

## Back Up

## $\Delta I=3 / 2 K \rightarrow \pi \pi$ correlators. Both cases are close to on shell.

delta $\mathrm{I}=3 / 2$ correlator

delta $\mathrm{I}=3 / 2$ correlator


