TEST BEAM STUDIES FOR THE ATLAS TILE CALORIMETER READOUT ELECTRONICS

Andrea Rodríguez Pérez,

On behalf of the ATLAS Tile Calorimeter System





Institut de Física d'Altes Energies

OUTLINE

- The ATLAS Tile Calorimeter
- TileCal upgrade
- Test beam setup
- Results with muons
- Results with electrons
- Results with hadrons
- Conclusions

TILE CALORIMETER

- TileCal is the hadronic calorimeter of the ATLAS detector
- Made of scintillating material and iron plates
- Structured in 4 barrels (2 Long, 2 Extended) with 64 modules each

3

Particle detection through plastic scintillators



Light collected by optic fibers and delivered to PMTs

- Modules are organized in 3 longitudinal layers (A, BC, D) and projective cells
- Calibrated at the EM scale

Cell layout in Long barrel C-side (see slide 5)

TILECAL UPGRADE

- LHC Phase II upgrade in 2026 will increase luminosity to up to 7 times the LHC design luminosity
- Upgrades for the Tile Calorimeter readout electronics (see F. Scuri's talk)
 - Substitute outdated and damaged electronics
 - Improve tolerance to radiation
 - Fully digital trigger data, with higher granularity and precision
- Test beam studies done to check performance of new electronics
 In-Detector
 Out-Detector



TEST BEAM SETUP



• Three modules (two long and one extended barrels) used in the test beam

- Data collected with "demonstrator", long barrel module equipped with 3-in-1 front end electronics for the upgrade
- Beams of hadrons, electrons and muons used to study response

TEST BEAM RESULTS: MUONS

- Data collected with muon beam at 165 GeV incident at 90 degrees
- Deposited muon energy follows a Landau distribution
- Muon energy lost in ionization is proportional to path length
- Response studied in terms of deposited energy over track length



TEST BEAM RESULTS: MUONS

- Event selection: Total energy deposited between 700 and 15000 MeV
- Truncated mean of the distribution (97.5%) used for the calculation
- Ratio of data over simulation result used in the studies:

$$R = \frac{(\langle dE \rangle / dl)^{Data}}{(\langle dE \rangle / dl)^{MC}}$$

- Red line represents layer mean
- Data/MC within 4% and Layer
 uniformity of 2%
 Layer mea

Layer	mean	rms
Α	0.972	0.025
BC	0.974	0.016
D	0.961	0.016



TEST BEAM RESULTS: ELECTRONS

- Data collected for electron beams of 20, 50 and 100 GeV incident at 20 degrees
- Total energy deposited in the calorimeter is expected to be equal to beam energy
- Electron identification done using shower profile variables (see paper)

$$C_{\text{long}} = \sum_{i=1}^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{3} \frac{E_{ij}}{E_{\text{beam}}}$$
Fraction of energy
deposited in the first two
layers of the demonstrator
$$C_{\text{tot}} = \frac{1}{\sum_{c} E_{c}^{\alpha}} \sqrt{\frac{1}{N_{\text{cell}}} \sum_{c} \left(E_{c}^{\alpha} - \frac{1}{N_{\text{cell}}} \sum_{c} E_{c}^{\alpha}\right)^{2}}$$
Spread of the energy
deposited in a certain cell

TEST BEAM RESULTS: ELECTRONS



- Cuts applied depend on energy
- Cut on $C_{\rm tot}$ ranges from 2.1 at 20 GeV and 6.5 at 100 GeV
- Cut on C_{long} ranges from 0.75 at 20 GeV and 0.88 at 100 GeV

 In low energy runs, purity is improved by requiring Cherenkov 1 and 3 signals to be higher than 500 ADC counts

TEST BEAM RESULTS: ELECTRONS

- Total deposited energy obtained summing energies of the cells
- Data and simulation agree nicely for all energies



TEST BEAM RESULTS: HADRONS

- Data collected for hadron (p, π, K, e, μ) beams at 16, 18, 20 and 30 GeV with a projective angle for A-3 cell
- Cherenkov counters used for selection





11

	р	К	п
Cher1	<400	<400	[400,2600]
Cher2	<460	>460	<3900
Cher3	<420	<420	>420





TEST BEAM RESULTS: HADRONS

 Response calculated as mean of gaussian fit to the total energy distribution within 2σ of the peak



TEST BEAM RESULTS: HADRONS

- Distribution of response as a function of the beam energy for kaons, protons and pions
- Response to pions and kaons higher than to protons
- Agreement with Geant4 FTFP_BERT_ATLMC simulation improves with increasing energy (lower contamination from electrons and muons)



Better energy resolution to protons

CONCLUSIONS

- The LHC Phase II upgrade will require TileCal to substitute old electronics and improve radiation hardness
- Prototype with new electronics exposed to test beam
- Results obtained with muons agree with simulation and show expected linearity of response with path length
- Electron results with data agree with simulation closely, and show that the total energy deposited is within 2% of the beam energy
- Results from hadron beams confirm good agreement with simulation
 - Response to pions and kaons higher than protons
- The test beam results confirm good performance of new electronics, and agreement with calibration and simulated data