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Zeeman effect and $g$-factor
Magnetic moment $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ of electron

$$
\boldsymbol{\mu}=g \frac{e J}{2 m_{e}}
$$


e. electron charge, $m_{e}$ : ele tum, $g$ : electron $g$-factor [1]
Bound electron: energy shift $\delta E$ of ground state $\langle 1 s\rangle$ with magetic quantum number $m_{j}$ in external magnetic field $B$ :

$\delta E$ computed using the Two-time Green Function method [2].

## Applications

- precision tests of QED in the presence of strong electromagnetic background fields [3, 4]
- determination of the electron mass $[5,6]$
- determination of the muon mass [7]
- determination of the fine-structure constant $[8,9]$
- test of physics beyond the standard model [10]

Theoretical description
$\xlongequal[=]{\xi_{=}^{\nabla}} g=2\left(C^{(0)}+C^{(2)}\left(\frac{\alpha}{\pi}\right)+C^{(4)}\left(\frac{\alpha}{\pi}\right)^{2}+\cdots\right)$
$C^{(2 n)}=C_{\text {free }}^{(2 n)}+\delta C_{\text {bound }}^{(2 n)}(Z \alpha) \widehat{=}$ sum of all Feynman diagrams with $n$ closed loops [1]
Non-perturbative determination of $C^{(2 n)}$ : "Furry picture"

Leading $g$-factor diagram

$\begin{aligned} \Delta E_{\text {mag }} & =-\frac{2}{3} i \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathrm{d} r r^{2} \text { Bier f(r)g(r) } \\ g_{\mathrm{D}} & =\frac{2}{3}+\frac{4}{3} \sqrt{1-(Z \alpha)^{2}}\end{aligned}$

- Finite nuclear size
- Finite nuclear mass (recoil) [11, 12]
- Nuclear deformation [13]
- Nuclear polarization [14]

Feynman diagrams with one loop


- Self-energy (SE): interaction of the electron with the photon field

$$
g_{\mathrm{SE}}=\frac{\alpha}{\pi}\left(1+\frac{(Z \alpha)^{2}}{6}\right)+\cdots \quad[15,16]
$$

- Vacuum polarization (VP): creation of a virtual charged particle antiparticle pair

$$
g_{\mathrm{VP}}=-\frac{16}{15}\left(\frac{\alpha}{\pi}\right)(Z \alpha)^{4}\left(\frac{m_{e}}{m_{\text {loop }}}\right)^{2}+
$$

## Feynman diagrams with two loops <br> \& <br> $$
\frac{\sum_{8}^{8} \sin _{2}}{\text { ser }}
$$ <br> 

- Diagrams with two VP loops (VPVP) [19, 20]
- Diagrams with one SE and one VP loop (SEVP) [20]
- Diagrams with two SE loops (SESE) [21]

Magnetic loop VP diagrams: see poster by V. Debierr

## Two-loop SESE corrections

Theoretical uncertainty of $g$-factor dominated by uncalculated higher-order SESE correction

SESE diagrams


LAL, Irreducible and LAL, Reducible

- Electron propagator between SE loop and magnetic interaction: $G_{\mathrm{D}}=\sum_{n} \frac{|n\rangle\langle n|}{E_{1}-E_{n}}$
$\Rightarrow$ Split into ${ }^{2}$ irreducible" $\left(E_{n} \neq E_{1 s}\right)$ and "reducible" $\left(E_{n}=E_{1 s}\right)$
- In LAL, up to two such electron propagators
$\Rightarrow$ Regroup LAL contributions into
LAL, irred
LAL, red


Computation of LAL, irred: generalization of one-loop QED, with $|1 s\rangle \longrightarrow\left|\delta_{\Sigma} 1 s\right\rangle$

$$
{ }^{s^{m} / 3}
$$

$$
\left|\delta_{\Sigma} 1 s\right\rangle=\sum_{n, n \neq 1 s} \frac{|n\rangle\langle n| \Sigma|1 s\rangle}{E_{1 s}-E_{n}}
$$

Renormalization

- LAL, irred: UV and IR finite
- LAL, red: UV and IR divergent
$\left.\begin{array}{ll}- \text { N: } & \text { UV and IR divergent } \\ \text { - O: } & \text { UV and IR divergent }\end{array}\right\} \Longrightarrow$ divergences cancel
To deal with UV divergences, the N and O diagrams are divided into three terms [22].
- F-term: diagrams with only free internal electron lines (UV diver gences
- M-term: diagrams with bound internal electron lines (no UV divergences)
- P-term: diagrams with bound internal electron lines and a UV divergent subdiagram

Examp


Numerical results for F-term \& LAL, irred


$$
\Delta g=\left(\frac{\alpha}{\pi}\right)^{2} F(Z \alpha)
$$

- Zero-potential: two-loop diagrams with free internal electron lines no interaction with the nuclear potential
- One-potential: two-loop diagrams with free internal electron lines, one interaction with the nuclear potential
- Reducible: products of two one-loop functions ( $\widehat{=}$ part of LAL, red) Our numerical results for the F-term converge to the free-electron value $F(0)=-0.68833 \ldots$ for low $Z$. [21, 15]

Two-loop SESE - outlook
IR divergences, methods

- Summation of diagrams whose IR divergences cancel
( $g$-factor, one-loop SE [16|)
- Subtraction terms to cancel IR divergences
(Lamb shift, two-loop SESE [22])
Numerical challenges
M-term • $\int \mathrm{d} \omega_{1} \int \mathrm{~d} \omega_{2} \int \mathrm{~d} r_{1} \cdots \int \mathrm{~d} r_{5} f(\cdots)$
- $\sum_{k_{1}, k_{2}} g_{\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2}}$ (infinite summations)

P-term • Numerical Fourier transform

- $\sum g_{\kappa_{1}}$ (infinite summation)


## Consistency checks

- Comparison with perturbative determination of $C^{(4)}[15,23]$
- Comparison with SESE correction to Lamb shift [22]
- Further ideas would be highly appreciated

Possibilities after complete two-loop calculation

- Improved theoretical accuracy of bound-electron $g$-factor for high $Z \Longrightarrow$ Comparison with experimental $g$-factors (ALPHATRAP/ARTEMIS)
- Improvement of accuracy of $\alpha$


## Access to the muon mass

$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { Larmor frequency: } \quad \omega_{\mathrm{L}}=g \frac{e}{2 m_{\mu}} B \\ \text { Cyclotron frequency: } \omega_{\text {cycl }}=\frac{Q}{m_{\mathrm{ion}}} B\end{array}\right\} \Longrightarrow m_{\mu}=\frac{g}{2} \frac{e}{Q} \frac{\omega_{\text {cycl }}}{\omega_{\mathrm{L}}} m_{\text {ion }}$

- $\frac{Q}{e}$ known exactly
- $m_{\text {ion }}$ known very precisely

Muonic ${ }^{4} \mathrm{He}^{+}$

- $m_{\text {ion }}$ known very precis
- Small uncertainty of nuclear effects
- $\frac{\omega_{\mathrm{L}}}{\omega_{\text {cycl }}}$ from experiment
- Sum of all considered terms:
- $g$-factor from theory $g=2.0021951934(20)_{\text {calc }}(50)_{\text {uncal }}$ $\xrightarrow{\longrightarrow}$ possibility of improvement of muon mass accuracy by one order of magnitude $[7]$
$\longrightarrow$ alternative access to the controversial free-muon $g$ factor with the subtraction of binding effects from theory $[7]$
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