

Many body study of g factor of boronlike argon

D.E. Maison, L.V. Skripnikov, D.A. Glazov

11.06.2019

Outline

- Introduction: motivation and previous results;
- Theory: Hartree-Fock-Dirac equations;
- Theory: coupled cluster approach;
- Results: single-reference and multireference;
- Conclusion;

Motivation of g -factor consideration

Precise theoretical and experimental investigation of electron and atomic g factors may be:

- reliable test for QED;
- helpful for fundamental constants determination ($\alpha = \frac{e^2}{\hbar c}$, m_e);
- necessary for precise nuclear magnetic moments determination;

Previous results

System considered: ${}_{18}^{40}\text{Ar}^{13+} : 1s^2 2s^2 2p^1$

- 0.663647 (D.A. Glazov et. al., 2013);
- 0.663728 (S. Verdebout et. al., 2014);
- 0.663899 (J.P. Marques et al., 2016);

Hartree-Fock-Dirac equations

Atomic wavefunction is the Slater determinant constructed of one-electron orbitals:

$$\Psi(x_1, \dots, x_N) = \begin{vmatrix} \psi_1(x_1) & \dots & \psi_1(x_N) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \psi_N(x_1) & \dots & \psi_N(x_N) \end{vmatrix}$$

$$\left(\hat{h} + \hat{J} - \hat{K} \right) \psi_i(x) = \varepsilon_i \psi_i(x)$$

Theory

Hamiltonian of many-electron system:

$$\hat{H}_0 = \sum_i \hat{h}(i) + \sum_{i < j} \frac{e^2}{r_{ij}} + \sum_{i < j} \hat{H}_B(i, j)$$

Breit interelectronic interaction:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{H}_B(i, j) &= \underbrace{-\frac{\vec{\alpha}_i \cdot \vec{\alpha}_j}{r_{ij}}}_{\text{Gaunt}} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\vec{\alpha}_i \cdot \vec{\alpha}_j}{r_{ij}} - \frac{(\vec{\alpha}_i \vec{r}_{ij})(\vec{\alpha}_j \vec{r}_{ij})}{r_{ij}^3} \right)}_{\text{retardation}} = \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\vec{\alpha}_i \cdot \vec{\alpha}_j}{r_{ij}} + \frac{(\vec{\alpha}_i \vec{r}_{ij})(\vec{\alpha}_j \vec{r}_{ij})}{r_{ij}^3} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Theory

Zeeman Hamiltonian:

$$\hat{H}_Z = \mu_0 \sum_i [\vec{r}_i \times \vec{\alpha}_i] \cdot \vec{B}$$

Zeeman shift and finite-field approach:

$$\Delta E^{(1)} = g M_J \mu_0 B \Rightarrow g = \frac{1}{\mu_0 M_J} \left. \frac{\partial E}{\partial B} \right|_{B=0},$$

Estimation of QED correction:

$$\Delta g_{QED} = \mu_0 \frac{g_e - 2}{2} \sum_i \beta_i \Sigma_{z,i}$$

Coupled cluster approach

Basis of CCA is exponential ansatz of wavefunction:

$$|\Psi\rangle = e^{\hat{T}}|\Phi_0\rangle$$

\hat{T} is the excitation cluster operator which expansion has terms of different excitation orders:

$$\hat{T} = \sum_{k=1}^n \hat{T}_k,$$

where excitation operators of different orders have structure:

$$\hat{T}_k = \sum_{b_1 < b_2 \dots < b_k; i_1 < i_2 \dots < i_k} t_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_k}^{b_1 b_2 \dots b_k} a_{b_1}^+ a_{i_1} a_{b_2}^+ a_{i_2} \dots a_{b_k}^+ a_{i_k},$$

Coupled cluster approach: example

$$\hat{T}_1 \begin{vmatrix} \psi_1(x_1) & \dots & \psi_1(x_N) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \psi_i(x_1) & \dots & \psi_i(x_N) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \psi_N(x_1) & \dots & \psi_N(x_N) \end{vmatrix} = \sum_{\substack{i \in \text{occ} \\ b \in \text{virt}}} t_i^b \begin{vmatrix} \psi_1(x_1) & \dots & \psi_1(x_N) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \psi_b(x_1) & \dots & \psi_b(x_N) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \psi_N(x_1) & \dots & \psi_N(x_N) \end{vmatrix}$$

Coupled cluster approach

Most popular approximations:

- CCS: $\hat{T} \simeq \hat{T}_1$;
- CCSD: $\hat{T} \simeq \hat{T}_1 + \hat{T}_2$;
- CCSD(T): $\hat{T} \simeq \hat{T}_1 + \hat{T}_2$ for wavefunction and \hat{T}_3 for energy at 1st PT order;

In all these cases it is convenient to unite the excitation operators with similar order:

$$\begin{aligned} e^{\hat{T}} &\simeq 1 + \left(\hat{T}_1 + \hat{T}_2 + \dots \right) + \frac{1}{2!} \left(\hat{T}_1 + \hat{T}_2 + \dots \right)^2 + \dots = \\ &= 1 + \hat{T}_1 + \left(\frac{1}{2} \hat{T}_1^2 + \hat{T}_2 \right) + \left(\frac{1}{3} \hat{T}_1^3 + \hat{T}_1 \hat{T}_2 + \dots \right) + \dots \end{aligned}$$

Coupled cluster approach

Schrödinger equation gets form:

$$\hat{H}\Psi = E\Psi \quad \Rightarrow \quad e^{-\hat{T}}\hat{H}e^{\hat{T}}\Phi_0 = E\Phi_0$$

and transforms into nonlinear system with unknown cluster amplitudes $t_i^a, t_{ij}^{ab} \dots$ and energy as a spectral parameter.

The next basis sets were used for solution:

- small: 25s-, 15p- and 4d- functions;
- middle: 25s-, 15p- and 9d- functions;
- large: 61s-, 50p-, 33d-, 6f- and 4g- functions;

Single-reference results

Method	$^2P_{1/2}$	$^2P_{3/2}$
Dirac-Fock-Gaunt	0.664797	1.331708
CCS	0.691488	1.330430
CCSD	0.664962	1.330711
CCSD(T)	0.664732	1.331075
CCSDT	0.664764	1.331602
CCSDT(Q)	0.664762	1.331603
CCSDTQ	0.664762	1.331603
FullCI - CCSDTQ	0.000000	0.000000

Multireference results

Method	
MRmin-CISD	0.664763
MRmin-CISDT	0.664762
MRmin-CISDTQ	0.664762
FullCI - MRmin-CISDTQ	0.000000
MRsp-CISD	0.664762
MRsp-CISDT	0.664762
MRsp-CISDTQ	0.664762
FullCI - MRsp-CISDTQ	0.000000

MRmin: active space = $\{2p_{j=1/2,m=1/2}; 2p_{j=1/2,m=-1/2}\}$

MRsp: active space includes all the 2p-bispinors

Results

Method	${}^2P_{1/2}$	${}^2P_{3/2}$
Positive, CCSDTQ	0.664762	1.331603
Negative, (MP2(S))	-0.000335	-0.000089
Basis set correction (Coulomb)	-0.000001	-0.000002
QED estimation	-0.000774	0.000773
Total	0.663652	1.332286
Glazov et al.	0.663647(1)	1.332285(3)
Marques et al.	0.663899(2)	1.332372(1)
Verdebout et al.	0.663728	1.332365

Conclusion

- g -factor value of boronlike argon is calculated;
- Breit interaction consideration for atomic systems is tested; it is planned to apply it for more complicated systems;
- convergence level of coupled cluster approach is estimated.

**Thank you for your
attention!**