

XIV Workshop on Resistive Plate Chambers and related detectors (RPC2018)

Puerto Vallarta, Mexico, February 19-23, 2018

Neutron imaging with ¹⁰B₄C-lined thin-gap RPCs:

A multilayered architecture for high detection efficiency

Luís Margato^(a)

A. Blanco^(a), P. Fonte^(a, c), R. Hall-Wilton^(e, g), C. Höglund^(e, f), L. Lopes^(a), A. Morozov ^(a, b), L. Robinson^(e), S. Schmidt ^(e, h),

I. Stefanescu^(e), K. Zeitelhack^(d),

(a) LIP-Coimbra, Departamento de Física, Universidade de Coimbra (PT)

(b) Departamento de Física, Universidade de Coimbra (PT)

(c) ISEC - Instituto Superior de Engenharia de

(e) ESS - European Spallation Source ERIC (ESS)

(SE)

(f) Thin Film Physics Division, Linköping University

(SE)

(g) Mid-Sweden University, SE-85170 Sundsvall,

Sweden (SE)
This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020
Z Majer-Leibnitz Zentrum (MLZ) FRM- (h) IHI Jonbond AG Industriestraße 211,
research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 634000 e 211,

Olten. Switzerland



Motivation

PSNDs with cutting edge performance, based on He-3 alternatives, are a pressing need for "top level" instruments at ESS and other neutron Large Scale Facilities

Main goal


Evaluate the potencial of RPCs for high precision PSNDs

Performance capability should be expressed in therms of expected spatial resolution, detection efficiency, counting rate and gamma sensitivity

The work presented here is being developed in the framework of the SINE 2020 (Science & Innovation with Neutrons in Europe) collaboration - EU project No 654000


Wider or thinner gas-gaps?

☐ Two RPCs were assembled: 0.35 and 1 mm gas-gap width




Metallic cathode ⇒ 2D position encoding on the anode side

Detector configuration for the tests with neutrons



Working gas: C₂H₂F₄ at atmospheric pressure




¹⁰B₄C coating made at ESS**Detector Coatings Workshop**

2 μm thick layer of ¹⁰B₄C on Al plates (1mm thick)




A Neiser et al 2013 JINST 8 C12043 doi: 10.1088/1748-0221/8/12/C12043

Cathode and Cu-strips signals readout



□ Position encoding



■ PCB: FR4, 0.4mm; Strips: Cu, 18 mm

■ Vertical strips (X-coord.): **1.5 mm pitch, 1.3 mm** width

■ Horizontal strips (Y-coord.): **2.0 mm pitch; 0.5 mm** width

Area instrumented: 20 strips for both x, y (30 mm, 40 mm)

DAQ is based in the new TRB3 platform developed at GSI, Germany (http://trb.gsi.de/)




Detector ready for the tests at TUM-FRMII


Two RPCs were assembled inside an Al chamber:

■ RPC-1: gas-gap width of 1 mm

■ RPC-2: gas-gap width of 0.35 mm



RPC1 and RPC2 stacked with the 2D-readout structure in the center





SHV feedthroughs for the high voltage (HV)




Detector ready to be tested

Detector at FRMII/ TREFF neutron beam line (λ = 4.7 Å)





□ Plateau





Wide HV plateau (> 500 V) for both RPCs

□ Detection efficiency

Detection Efficiency ($\lambda = 4.7 \text{ Å}$) \approx **12.5%**

It is in good agreement with ANTS2 simulation http://coimbra.lip.pt/ants/ants2.html






³He-Proportinal Counter was used as the reference detector (Det. Efficiency of 97 % at 4.7 Å)

□ Spatial resolution (position calculation by COG)

40mm O,4mm FR 2 3

Cd Mask (1mm thick)

Letters: line width of 0.4 mm




 $\sigma_v \sim 0.273 \text{ mm}$

RPC 2 seems to perform better than RPC 1


 $\sigma_v \sim 0.233 \text{ mm}$

□ Spatial resolution

Spatial resolution better than 0.24 mm FWHM for both X and Y




- slit width of ≈ 0.2 mm
- Detector shifted in steps of 0.5 mm



Towards high detection efficiency

Evaluation of a multilayer architecture: 10 Double-Gap RPCs







Towards high detection efficiency

Evaluation of a multilayer architecture: 10 Double-Gap RPCs

Electronic readout



Few details of prototype assembly (10 double-gap RPCs)



Thin Kapton PCBs with signal pickup strips for the 2D readout:


X-coord.: Pitch = 1mm; strip width = 0.3 mm

Y-coord.: Pitch = 1mm; strip width = 0.9 mm

Glass plate (outer side lined with a resistive layer) facing an AL plate (lined on both faces with a 1.15 μm thick layer of ¹⁰B₄C

¹⁰B₄C coating made at ESS**Detector Coatings Workshop**

Detector prototype at FRMII/ TREFF neutron beamline




FEE – 2 x 48 channels (designed by P. Fonte and assembled at LIP) DAQ is based on the new TRB3 platform developed at GSI, Germany (http://trb.gsi.de/)

Evaluation tests with neutrons ($\lambda = 4.7 \text{ Å}$)

□ Spatial resolution


FWHM (X – coord.) \approx 0.25 mm



Vertical Slit: 0.075 mm x 35 mm

Obs.: Beam divergence ~30 μm

FWHM (Y – coord.) ≈ **0.35 mm**



Horizontal Slit: 0.075 mm x 16 mm


Evaluation tests with neutrons (λ = 4.7 Å)

□ Spatial resolution

(COG reconstruction: strongest signal strip and 4 neighbouring strips)



FWHM (X) ≈ 0.25 mm



The systematic shift suggests non-normality of the beam to the RPCs of $\approx 0.4^{\circ}$ (0.2 mm over 30 mm);

The misalignments of the PCBs in the stack are about 0.05 mm.

-4


Distance, mm

Evaluation tests with neutrons (λ = 4.7 Å)

□ Spatial resolution

FWHM (Y) ≈ 0.35 mm


Horizontal Slit



There are both a **systematic shift** and **random fluctuations** in the profile positions.


Evaluation tests with neutrons (λ = 4.7 Å)

It seems that the spatial resolution is not get worse going deep in the stack



Evaluation tests with neutrons ($\lambda = 4.7 \text{ Å}$)


□ Detection Efficiency



A correction factor was applied using a Signal to BKG ratio extracted from the reconstructed events

³He-Proportonal Counter was used as the reference (efficiency of 97 % at 4.73 Å)

Cadmium Slit: 2 mm x 35 mm




The counting rate was given by the trigger of each individual cathode: C1, C2, C3, ..., C10

Cathode area = $90 \times 90 \text{ mm}$

Readout area = 43 x 43 mm

Evaluation tests with neutrons ($\lambda = 4.7 \text{ Å}$)


□ Detection Efficiency



Efficiency computed by ANTS2

- 10 Double-Gap RPCs
- all ¹⁰B₄C layers with the same thickness (1.15 μm)


	Detection efficiency (%)			
λ (Å)	0 KeV	50 KeV	100 KeV	150 KeV
4.7	65.5	62.1	58.5	54.6




ANTS2: http://coimbra.lip.pt/ants/ants2.html

Conditional optimization of ¹⁰B₄C converter layer thicknesses in ANTS2

- Equalize as much as possible the detection efficiency for all double-gap RPCs, keeping total efficiency as high as possible
- Practical constrain: only 5 different converter layer thickness



All layers have thickness of 1.15 μ m: optimized for max total efficiency.



Conditional optimization:
Converter thickness of

0.34, **0.39**, **0.47**, **0.74** and **1.94** μm.

1-1 / 2-2 / 3-3 / 3-3 / 4-4 / 4-4 / 4-4 / 5-5 / 5-5 / 5-5

Conclusions

- □ Tests of B-10 lined thin-gap RPCs with thermal neutrons demonstrated spatial resolution well bellow 1mm FWHM;
- ☐ A first prototype comprising a stack of 10 double-gap RPCs tested at FRMII/TREFF neutron beamline showed:
 - The capability of RPCs in a multilayer architecture to reach efficiency higher then 50%;
 - The spatial resolution (<0.25 mm FWHM) is not worse than that measured for single-gap RPCs in similar conditions;
- □ Optimization of the thicknesses of ¹⁰B₄C layers allows to approach equal counting rate for all RPCs without a significant reduction in the detection efficiency;
- ☐ Future: characterization of the gamma sensitivity, counting rate and stability have to be performed.

Thank you for your attention




Backup Slides

□ Pulse Height Spectra (RPC-2, 0.35 mm gap width): Cathodes


Log-scale

RPC-2: HV=2500V Green line: BKG + neutrons **Red line: BKG**


Linear-scale



Strip signals (-X)




PHS - Charge (strips-X and strips-Y)




Multiplicities


Multiplicity on the strips


Multiplicity on the cathodes





Triggers on cathodes












Vertical Slit




ANTS2 Simulations: http://coimbra.lip.pt/ants/ants2.html

ANTSv4.1 vs Geant4 (Geant4 version 4.9.6.p02, QGSP_BIC_HP physics list / includes the G4NeutronHP model.)


Case 1: Aluminium cube of 10 x 10 x 10 mm³


Mono-energetic (25.3 meV) neutrons enter the cube through the centre of the "In" face (normal direction).


The neutrons exiting the cube are monitored and the collected statistics obtained in simulations using Geant4 and ANTS2 is the following:



Energy distributions of the neutrons exiting the cube faces (non-interacted neutrons are suppressed):







Total elastic cross section (N,EL) from **ENDF/B-VII.1** database; For missing data **JEFF-3.2** and **JENDL-4.0u2** databases were used.


ANTS2 Simulations: http://coimbra.lip.pt/ants/ants2.html

ANTSv4.1 vs Geant4 (Geant4 version 4.9.6.p02, QGSP_BIC_HP physics list / includes the G4NeutronHP model.)


Case 1: Aluminium cube of 10 x 10 x 10 mm³


Mono-energetic (25.3 meV) neutrons enter the cube through the centre of the "In" face (normal direction).


The neutrons exiting the cube are monitored and the collected statistics obtained in simulations using Geant4 and ANTS2 is the following:




Distribution of the angles of exiting neutrons:








ANTS2 Simulations



Ranges for the ⁴He and ⁷Li particles in the gas-gap

Distributions for the length of the Ranges (in the gas-gap) projected in the direction parallel to the plane of the electrodes




¹⁰B₄C thickness = 2 μm; λ = 4.7 Å; C2H2F4 @ 1 atm

ANTS2 Simulations

Energy loss in the gas-gap

Deposited energy in the gas-gap for the ⁴He and ⁷Li fissions fragments



¹⁰B₄C thickness = 2 μm; λ = 4.7 Å; C2H2F4 @ 1 atm

