# The space of EFT and CFT: behind the walls of cyclic polytopes 

Yu-tin Huang (National Taiwan University)<br>with Nima Arkani-Hamed, Tzu-Chen huang, and Shu-Heng Shao

SLAC-June-19-2018

Positive geometry $\rightarrow$ emergent locality and unitarity see Tomasz, Nima and Song's talk


Essentially one is asking:

What is the question for which these amplitudes are the answer to?

This is not a first, in many case positivity IS unitarity

- Positivity in the OPE:

$$
\langle\phi(1) \phi(2) \phi(3) \phi(4)\rangle=\sum_{i} \mathfrak{p}_{i} K_{\Delta_{i}, \ell_{i}}(z, \bar{z}), \quad \mathfrak{p}_{i}>0
$$

- Optical theorem:

$$
\operatorname{Dis}\left[M_{4}(s, 0)\right]=E_{c m}^{2} \sigma>0
$$

This is not a first. For a long time positivity IS unitarity

- Positivity in the OPE:

$$
\langle\phi(1) \phi(2) \phi(3) \phi(4)\rangle=\sum_{i} \mathfrak{p}_{i} K_{\Delta_{i}, \ell_{i}}(z, \bar{z}), \quad \mathfrak{p}_{i}>0
$$

via crossing


- Optical theorem:

$$
\operatorname{Dis}\left[M_{4}(s, 0)\right]=E_{c m}^{2} \sigma>0
$$

via the eyes of higher-dimension operators $a(\partial \phi)^{4}$


We should expect more: these are special functions, constrained by factorization and symmetries

- : CFTs:

$$
\langle\phi(1) \phi(2) \phi(3) \phi(4)\rangle=\sum_{i} \mathfrak{p}_{i} g_{\Delta_{i}, \ell_{i}}(z, \bar{z}), \quad \mathfrak{p}_{i}>0
$$

Symmetries constrain

$$
\left(z^{2}(1-z) \partial_{z}^{2}-z^{2} \partial_{z}\right) g_{\Delta, \ell}=\Delta(\Delta-1) g_{\Delta, \ell}
$$

- QFTs:

$$
\operatorname{Dis}\left[M_{4}(s, t)\right]=\sum_{i} \mathfrak{p}_{i} G_{\ell_{i}}^{\alpha}(\cos \theta)
$$



Loops:


We now ask:

What is the question for which the space of consistent QFT/CFT are the answer to?


What is the geometric property from which unitarity, locality and symmetries emerge as a union.

## The space of QFT from $M_{4}$

At low energies, we only have photons and gravitons. Consider general QFT whose UV completion is weakly coupled (in $M_{p l}$ ),


$$
M^{I R}(s, t)=\{\text { poles }\}+\sum_{k, i} g_{k-i, i} s^{k-i} t^{i}
$$

Different QFTs (standard model) leads to different $\left\{g_{i, j}\right\}$

Why might the space be non-trivial?

## The space of QFT from $M_{4}$



## The space of QFT from $M_{4}$

Why is the space non-trivial (set $D=4 G_{\ell}^{\alpha} \rightarrow P_{\ell}$ )?


$$
=\sum_{k, q} \sum_{a} p_{a} \frac{1}{m_{a}^{2 k+2}} v_{k, \ell_{a}}^{q} s^{k-q} t^{q}
$$

so we have

$$
\sum_{k, q} g_{k-q, q} s^{k-q} t^{q}=\sum_{k, q}\left(\sum_{a} p_{a} \frac{1}{m_{a}^{2 k+2}} u_{k, \ell_{a}}^{q}\right) s^{k-q} t^{q}
$$

## The space of QFT from $M_{4}$

Why is the space non-trivial?

$$
\sum_{k, q} g_{k-q, q} s^{k-q} t^{q}=\sum_{k, q}\left(\sum_{a} p_{a} \frac{1}{m_{a}^{2 k+2}} u_{k, \ell_{a}}^{q}\right) s^{k-q} t^{q}
$$

Organizing the higher dimension operators as

$$
\begin{array}{cccccc} 
& m^{0} & \frac{1}{m^{2}} & \frac{1}{m^{4}} & \frac{1}{m^{6}} & \cdots \\
t^{0} & g_{0,0} & g_{1,0} & g_{2,0} & g_{3,0} & \cdots \\
t^{1} & & g_{0,1} & g_{1,1} & g_{2,1} & \cdots \\
t^{2} & & & g_{0,2} & g_{1,2} & \cdots \\
t^{3} & & & & g_{0,3} & \cdots
\end{array}
$$

## The space of QFT from $M_{4}$

Why is the space non-trivial?

$$
\sum_{k, q} g_{k-q, q} s^{k-q} t^{q}=\sum_{k, q}\left(\sum_{a} p_{a} \frac{1}{m_{a}^{2 k+2}} u_{k, \ell_{a}}^{q}\right) s^{k-q} t^{q}
$$

Organizing the higher dimension operators as

|  | $m^{0}$ | $\frac{1}{m^{2}}$ | $\frac{1}{m^{4}}$ | $\frac{1}{m^{6}}$ | $\cdots$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $t^{0}$ | $g_{0,0}$ | $g_{1,0}$ | $g_{2,0}$ | $g_{3,0}$ | $\cdots$ |
| $t^{1}$ |  | $g_{0,1}$ | $g_{1,1}$ | $g_{2,1}$ | $\cdots$ |
| $t^{2}$ |  |  | $g_{0,2}$ | $g_{1,2}$ | $\cdots$ |
| $t^{3}$ |  |  |  | $g_{0,3}$ | $\cdots$ |

Take $k=2$ (dimension 8 operators)

$$
\vec{g}_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{l}
g_{2,0} \\
g_{1,1} \\
g_{0,2}
\end{array}\right) \in \sum_{a} \mathfrak{p}_{\ell}^{\prime} \vec{u}_{2, \ell} \quad \mathfrak{p}_{\ell}^{\prime}>0
$$

The coefficients must live in the convex hull of the vectors $\vec{u}_{2, \ell}$, i.e. the inside of a polytope.

## The space of QFT from $M_{4}$

Flat out unitarity tells us Adams, Arkani-Hamed, Dubovsky, Nicolis and Rattazzi,


Now we know that

$$
\vec{g}_{k} \in \sum_{a} \mathfrak{p}_{\ell}^{\prime} \vec{u}_{k, \ell} \quad \mathfrak{p}_{\ell}^{\prime}>0
$$

and the above is simply due to

$$
P_{\ell}(1)>0 \rightarrow u_{k, \ell}^{0}>0
$$

"the tip of an iceberg"

## The space of CFT from $\langle\phi(1) \phi(2) \phi(3) \phi(4)\rangle$

Consider the a 1D four-point function:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\langle\phi(1) \phi(2) \phi(3) \phi(4)\rangle \equiv F(z) \\
\mathbf{F}(z)=\sum_{\Delta} \mathfrak{p}_{\Delta} C_{\Delta}(z), \quad C_{\Delta}(z)=z^{\Delta}{ }_{2} F_{1}(\Delta, \Delta, 2 \Delta, z)
\end{gathered}
$$

Expand the four-point function, around $z=\frac{1}{2}$

$$
\mathbf{F}\left(\frac{1}{2}+y\right)=\sum_{q=0}^{\infty} f_{q} y^{q}
$$

We consider the space $\left\{f_{q}\right\}$

Crossing symmetry

$$
z^{-2 \Delta_{\phi}} F(z)=(1-z)^{-2 \Delta_{\phi}} F(1-z) \rightarrow F(z)=\left(\frac{z}{1-z}\right)^{2 \Delta_{\phi}} F(1-z)
$$

implies the four-point function lies in a subplane $X$

The space of CFT from $\langle\phi(1) \phi(2) \phi(3) \phi(4)\rangle$

The 1-D blocks also yield an infinite set of vectors

$$
C_{\Delta}\left(\frac{1}{2}+y\right)=\sum_{q=0}^{\infty} c_{\Delta, q} y^{q}
$$

Unitarity then requires that

$$
\mathbf{F}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
f_{0} \\
f_{1} \\
\vdots \\
f_{L-1}
\end{array}\right) \in \sum_{\Delta} \mathfrak{p}_{\Delta}\left(\begin{array}{c}
c_{\Delta, 0} \\
c_{\Delta, 1} \\
\vdots \\
c_{\Delta, L-1}
\end{array}\right) \mathfrak{p}_{\Delta}>0
$$

The space of CFT from $\langle\phi(1) \phi(2) \phi(3) \phi(4)\rangle$

$$
\mathbf{F}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
f_{0} \\
f_{1} \\
\vdots \\
f_{L-1}
\end{array}\right) \in \sum_{\Delta} \mathfrak{p}_{\Delta}\left(\begin{array}{c}
c_{\Delta, 0} \\
c_{\Delta, 1} \\
\vdots \\
c_{\Delta, L-1}
\end{array}\right) \mathfrak{p}_{\Delta}>0
$$

For a given CFT spectrum have the polytope $P\left(\Delta_{i}\right)=\sum_{i} \mathfrak{p}_{\Delta_{i}} \vec{C}_{\Delta_{i}}$ and a crossing plane $X\left(\Delta_{\phi}\right)$, and they must intersect. For example:


If $\vec{u}_{k, \ell}$ for EFT and $\vec{c}_{\Delta_{i}}$ for CFT are just random vectors, our geometric problem becomes hopeless rapidly:
Let's say given $n$ vectors $\vec{u}$, to compute the region of the polytope we need to

- Determine which one of these $\vec{u}$ s are vertices
- Amongst the vertices, determine all the set that constitute boundary facets


The complexity is $\sim n^{d / 2}$

But $\vec{u}_{k, \ell}$ and $\vec{c}_{\Delta_{i}}$ are not random vectors!

## EFT

The $\vec{u}_{k, \ell}$, arrises from Taylor expand

$$
M(s, t)=\sum_{a} \mathfrak{p}_{a} P_{\ell_{a}}\left(1+\frac{2 t}{m_{a}^{2}}\right)\left(\frac{1}{s-m_{a}^{2}}+\frac{1}{u-m_{a}^{2}}\right)
$$

Define

$$
P_{\ell}(1+x)=\sum_{q} v_{\ell, q} x^{q}
$$

The vector $\vec{v}_{\ell}=\left(v_{\ell, 0}, v_{\ell, 1}, v_{\ell, 2}, \cdots\right)$ take the form

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccccccc}
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 3 & 6 & 10 & 15 & 21 & 28 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{3}{2} & \frac{15}{2} & \frac{45}{2} & \frac{105}{2} & 105 & 189 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{5}{2} & \frac{35}{2} & 70 & 210 & 525 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{35}{8} & \frac{315}{8} & \frac{1575}{8} & \frac{5775}{8} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{63}{8} & \frac{693}{8} & \frac{2079}{4} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{231}{16} & \frac{c 003}{16} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{429}{16} .
\end{array}\right)
$$

Note that all $v$ is positive! But there is more,

$$
\operatorname{det}\left[\vec{v}_{\ell_{1}} \vec{v}_{\ell_{2}} \cdots\right]>0, \quad \text { for } \ell_{1}>\ell_{2}>\cdots
$$

All ordered minors are positive!

## EFT

$$
\operatorname{det}\left[\vec{v}_{\ell_{1}} \vec{v}_{\ell_{2}} \cdots\right]>0, \quad \text { for } \ell_{1}>\ell_{2}>\cdots
$$

All ordered minors are positive!

Tells us that the convex hull of $\left\{\vec{v}_{\ell}\right\}$ is a cyclic polytope

- All $\vec{v}_{\ell}$ are vertices
- The co-dimension 1 boundaries are known. For $\vec{v}_{\ell}=\left(v_{\ell, 0}, \cdots, v_{\ell, q}\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& q \in \text { even } \quad(i, i+1), \quad(i, i+1, j, j+1), \quad(i, i+1, \cdots, j, j+1) \\
& q \in \text { odd } \quad(1, i, i+1), \quad(1, i, i+1 \cdots j, j+1), \quad(i, i+1, n), \quad(i, i+1 \cdots j, j+1, n)
\end{aligned}
$$

## EFT

But $\vec{v}_{\ell}$ is not $\vec{u}_{k, \ell}$,

$$
M(s, t)=\sum_{a} \mathfrak{p}_{a} P_{\ell_{a}}\left(1+\frac{2 t}{m_{a}^{2}}\right)\left(\frac{1}{s-m_{a}^{2}}+\frac{1}{u-m_{a}^{2}}\right)
$$

$\vec{u}_{k, \ell}$ recieves are contributions from propagators, message from locality

## EFThedron

Let's consider s-channel pole only (large- $N$ YM),

$$
\begin{aligned}
M(s, t) & =-\sum_{a} \mathfrak{p}_{a} \frac{P_{\ell_{a}}\left(1+\frac{2 t}{m_{a}^{2}}\right)}{s-m_{a}^{2}} \\
& =\sum_{a} \mathfrak{p}_{a} \frac{1}{m_{a}^{2}}\left(1+\frac{s}{m_{a}^{2}}+\left(\frac{s}{m_{a}^{2}}\right)^{2}+\cdots\right)_{\text {locality }}\left(v_{\ell_{a}, 0}+v_{\ell_{a}, 1} t+v_{\ell_{a}, 2} t^{2} \cdots\right)_{\text {unitarity }}
\end{aligned}
$$

We find that locality and unitarity leads to two separate positive geometry!


## EFThedron

Let's consider s-channel pole only (large- $N$ YM),

$$
\begin{aligned}
M(s, t) & =-\sum_{a} \mathfrak{p}_{a} \frac{P_{\ell_{a}}\left(1+\frac{2 t}{m_{a}^{2}}\right)}{s-m_{a}^{2}} \\
& =\sum_{a} \mathfrak{p}_{a} \frac{1}{m_{a}^{2}}\left(1+\frac{s}{m_{a}^{2}}+\left(\frac{s}{m_{a}^{2}}\right)^{2}+\cdots\right)_{\text {locality }}\left(v_{\ell_{a}, 0}+v_{\ell_{a}, 1} t+v_{\ell_{a}, 2} t^{2} \cdots\right)_{\text {unitarity }}
\end{aligned}
$$

We find that locality and unitarity leads to two separate positive geometry!

$$
\begin{array}{lccccc} 
& m^{0} & \frac{1}{m^{2}} & \frac{1}{m^{4}} & \frac{1}{m^{6}} & \cdots \\
t^{0} & g_{0,0} & g_{1,0} & g_{2,0} & g_{3,0} & \cdots \\
t^{1} & & g_{0,1} & g_{1,1} & g_{2,1} & \cdots \\
t^{2} & & & g_{0,2} & g_{1,2} & \cdots \\
t^{3} & \\
\vec{g}_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{l}
g_{2,0} \\
g_{1,1} \\
g_{0,2}
\end{array}\right) \in \sum_{a} \mathfrak{p}_{\ell}^{\prime} \vec{\imath}_{\ell} & \mathfrak{p}_{\ell}^{\prime}>0
\end{array}
$$

## EFThedron

Let's consider s-channel pole only (large- $N$ YM),

$$
\begin{aligned}
M(s, t) & =-\sum_{a} \mathfrak{p}_{a} \frac{P_{\ell_{a}}\left(1+\frac{2 t}{m_{a}^{2}}\right)}{s-m_{a}^{2}} \\
& =\sum_{a} \mathfrak{p}_{a} \frac{1}{m_{a}^{2}}\left(1+\frac{s}{m_{a}^{2}}+\left(\frac{s}{m_{a}^{2}}\right)^{2}+\cdots\right)_{\text {locality }}\left(v_{\ell_{a}, 0}+v_{\ell_{a}, 1} t+v_{\ell_{a}, 2} t^{2} \cdots\right)_{\text {unitarity }}
\end{aligned}
$$

We find that locality and unitarity leads to two separate positive geometry!

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{cccccc} 
& m^{0} & \frac{1}{m^{2}} & \frac{1}{m^{4}} & \frac{1}{m^{6}} & \cdots \\
t^{0} & g_{0,0} & g_{1,0} & g_{2,0} & g_{3,0} & \cdots \\
t^{1} & & g_{0,1} & g_{1,1} & g_{2,1} & \cdots \\
t^{2} & & & g_{0,2} & g_{1,2} & \ldots \\
t^{3} & & & & g_{0,3} & \cdots
\end{array} \\
& \vec{g}_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{l}
g_{2,0} \\
g_{1,1} \\
g_{0,2}
\end{array}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Det}\left[\vec{g}_{2}, \vec{v}_{\ell}, \vec{v}_{\ell+1}\right]>0
\end{aligned}
$$

## EFThedron

Let's consider s-channel pole only (large- $N$ YM),

$$
\begin{aligned}
M(s, t) & =-\sum_{a} \mathfrak{p}_{a} \frac{P_{\ell_{a}}\left(1+\frac{2 t}{m_{a}^{2}}\right)}{s-m_{a}^{2}} \\
& =\sum_{a} \mathfrak{p}_{a} \frac{1}{m_{a}^{2}}\left(1+\frac{s}{m_{a}^{2}}+\left(\frac{s}{m_{a}^{2}}\right)^{2}+\cdots\right)_{\text {locality }}\left(v_{\ell_{a}, 0}+v_{\ell_{a}, 1} t+v_{\ell_{a}, 2} t^{2} \cdots\right)_{\text {unitarity }}
\end{aligned}
$$

We find that locality and unitarity leads to two separate notion of locality!

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{cccccc} 
& m^{0} & \frac{1}{m^{2}} & \frac{1}{m^{4}} & \frac{1}{m^{6}} & \cdots \\
t^{0} & g_{0,0} & g_{1,0} & g_{2,0} & g_{3,0} & \cdots \\
t^{1} & & g_{0,1} & g_{1,1} & g_{2,1} & \cdots \\
t^{2} & & & g_{0,2} & g_{1,2} & \cdots \\
t^{3} & & & & g_{0,3} & \cdots
\end{array} \\
& \left(\begin{array}{l}
g_{0,1} \\
g_{1,1} \\
g_{2,1}
\end{array}\right) \in \sum_{a} \mathfrak{p}_{a}^{\prime}\left(\begin{array}{c}
\frac{1}{m_{a}^{2}} \\
\frac{1}{m_{a}^{4}} \\
\frac{1}{m_{a}^{6}}
\end{array}\right) \quad \mathfrak{p}_{a}^{\prime}>0
\end{aligned}
$$

The vector is in the convex hull of points on the half-moment curve!

$$
\left(t, t^{2}, t^{3} \cdots, t^{a}\right), \quad t \in R^{+}
$$

## EFThedron

$$
\left(t, t^{2}, t^{3} \cdots, t^{a}\right), \quad t \in R^{+}
$$

Organizing the couplings for fixed $t$ power into the Hankel matrix $\left(g_{k}^{\prime} \equiv g_{k, i}\right)$

$$
K\left(g^{\prime}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & g_{1}^{\prime} & \cdots & g_{p-1}^{\prime} \\
g_{1}^{\prime} & g_{2}^{\prime} & \cdots & g_{p}^{\prime} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
g_{p-1}^{\prime} & g_{p}^{\prime} & \cdots & g_{2 p-2}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right),
$$

The constraint is the statement that
$i \in$ even $: \quad \operatorname{Det}\left(\begin{array}{cccc}1 & g_{1}^{\prime} & \cdots & g_{\frac{i}{2}}^{\prime} \\ g_{1}^{\prime} & g_{2}^{\prime} & \cdots & g_{\frac{i}{2}+1}^{\prime} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ g_{\frac{i}{2}}^{\prime} & g_{\frac{i}{2}+1}^{\prime} & \cdots & g_{i}^{\prime}\end{array}\right) \geq 0, \quad i \in$ odd $: \quad \operatorname{Det}\left(\begin{array}{cccc}g_{1}^{\prime} & g_{2}^{\prime} & \cdots & g_{\frac{i+1}{2}}^{\prime} \\ g_{2}^{\prime} & g_{3}^{\prime} & \cdots & g_{\frac{i+3}{2}}^{\prime} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ g_{\frac{i+1}{2}}^{\prime} & g_{\frac{i+3}{2}}^{\prime} & \cdots & g_{i}^{\prime}\end{array}\right) \geq 0$

## EFThedron

Indeed consider

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left.\frac{\Gamma[-s] \Gamma[-t]}{\Gamma[1-s-t]}\right|_{s \rightarrow 0}=\cdots+\frac{\pi^{2}}{6}+\zeta_{3} s+\frac{\pi^{4}}{90} s^{2}+\cdots \\
\operatorname{Det}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{\pi^{2}}{6} & \zeta_{3} \\
\zeta_{3} & \frac{\pi^{4}}{90}
\end{array}\right)=0.33541>0 \\
\left.\frac{\Gamma[-s] \Gamma[-t]}{\Gamma[1-s-t]}\left(1-\frac{t u}{1+s}\right)\right|_{s \rightarrow 0}=\cdots+\frac{\pi^{2}}{6}+\left(1+\zeta_{3}\right) s+\left(\frac{\pi^{4}}{90}-1\right) s^{2}+\cdots \\
\operatorname{Det}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{\pi^{2}}{6} & 1+\zeta_{3} \\
1+\zeta_{3} & \frac{\pi^{4}}{90}-1
\end{array}\right)=-4.71364<0
\end{gathered}
$$

## EFThedron

Consider the EFT of a scalar coupled to gravitons Congkao Wen, Wei-Ming Chen, Y-t

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{\langle 23\rangle^{4}[14]^{4}}{M_{p l}^{4}}\left(\sum_{j} 3 \sqrt{\pi} 4^{-2 j-3} \frac{\Gamma[2 j-1]}{(4+j) \Gamma\left[2 j+\frac{7}{2}\right]} s^{j-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Let's suppose we don't know the constant piece. The positivity of the Hankel matrix yields $\mathcal{O}\left(s^{0}\right) \geq 0.0000190301$

while $\frac{1}{50400}=0.0000198413$

## EFThedron

We see that the constraint from unitarity, locality and Lorentz invariance forces the EFT to live in a union of two positivie geometries

$$
\begin{aligned}
M(s, t)= & -\sum_{a} \mathfrak{p}_{a} \frac{P_{\ell_{a}}\left(1+\frac{2 t}{m_{a}^{2}}\right)}{s-m_{a}^{2}} \\
= & \sum_{a} \mathfrak{p}_{a} \frac{1}{m_{a}^{2}}\left(1+\frac{s}{m_{a}^{2}}+\left(\frac{s}{m_{a}^{2}}\right)^{2}+\cdots\right)_{\text {locality }}\left(v_{\ell_{a}, 0}+v_{\ell_{a}, 1} t+v_{\ell_{a}, 2} t^{2} \cdots\right)_{\text {unitarity }} \\
& \left(\operatorname{Conv}[\text { moment curve] })_{\text {locality }}(\text { Conv[cyclic polytope] })_{\text {unitarity }}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

## The EFTHedron

There is a much cleaner way to state the positive geometry. Lets start with

$$
g_{k, q}=\sum_{a} \mathrm{p}_{a}\left[\frac{1}{m_{a}^{2(k+1)}} 2^{q} v_{\ell_{a}, q}\right] \equiv \sum_{\ell} C_{k, \ell} G_{\ell, q}
$$

where $C_{k, \ell}$ is given as $\sum_{\left\{a: \ell_{a}=\ell\right\}} \frac{p_{a}}{m_{a}^{2(k+1)}}$. In other words it is an $k \times \infty$ matrix, whose column vectors are points on a degree $k$ moment curve.

$$
\text { EFThedron } \quad g_{k, q} \in C_{k, \ell} G_{\ell, q} \quad \leftrightarrow \quad \text { Amplituhedron } \quad Y_{\alpha}^{\prime}=C_{+, \alpha, i} Z_{i}^{\prime}
$$

This space can be conveniently defined through its boundaries (or walls). For fixed $k$, consider an infinite set of walls $\mathcal{W}_{I}^{q}$ :

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{W}_{I}=\{0,0,1, \cdots, 0\} \\
k=2: \quad \mathcal{W}_{I}=\langle * i i+1\rangle, \quad k=3: \quad \mathcal{W}_{I}=\langle * 1, i, i+1\rangle,\langle * i, i+1, n\rangle
\end{gathered}
$$

They satisfy

$$
\sum_{q=0}^{k} \mathcal{W}_{I}^{q} G_{\ell, q} \geq 0
$$

## The EFTHedron

We then find that the space is simply

$$
A_{k, I} \equiv \sum_{q} g_{k, q} \mathcal{W}_{I}^{q}=\sum_{\ell} C_{k, \ell}\left(\sum_{q} G_{\ell, q} \mathcal{W}_{I}^{q}\right)
$$

is a point inside the convex hull of half moment curves

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{0, I} \geq 0, \quad A_{1, I} \geq 0, \quad \operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
A_{0, I} & A_{1, I} \\
A_{1, I} & A_{2, I}
\end{array}\right) \geq 0, \quad \operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
A_{1, I} & A_{2, I} \\
A_{2, I} & A_{3, I}
\end{array}\right) \geq 0 \\
& \quad \operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{lll}
A_{0, I} & A_{1, I} & A_{2, I} \\
A_{1, I} & A_{2, I} & A_{3, I} \\
A_{2, I} & A_{3, I} & A_{4, I}
\end{array}\right) \geq 0, \quad \operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{lll}
A_{1, I} & A_{2, I} & A_{3, I} \\
A_{2, I} & A_{3, I} & A_{4, I} \\
A_{3, I} & A_{4, I} & A_{5, I}
\end{array}\right) \geq 0, \cdots \text { e.t.c. }
\end{aligned}
$$

## The EFTHedron

Thus the space of allowed EFT, is given by the EFThedron

$$
M\left[K\left[\vec{A}_{I}\right]\right] \geq 0
$$

For $\mathcal{W}_{I}=\{0,0,1, \cdots, 0\}$, the constraint says

$$
\begin{array}{r}
g_{k, q}=\sum_{a} x_{k, q, a} \frac{1}{m_{a}^{2(k+1)}}, \quad x_{k, q, a}>0 \\
k=2: \quad \mathcal{W}_{I}=\langle * i i+1\rangle, \quad k=3: \quad \mathcal{W}_{I}=\langle * 1, i, i+1\rangle,\langle * i, i+1, n\rangle
\end{array}
$$

For

$$
\text { individual } A
$$

$$
g_{k, q}=\sum_{a} x_{k, a} \frac{v_{\ell, q}^{2}}{m_{a}^{2(k+1)}}, \quad x_{k, a}>0
$$

while for the whole Hankel matrix

$$
g_{k, q}=\sum_{a} \mathrm{p}_{a} \frac{v_{\ell_{a}, q}}{m_{a}^{2(k+1)}}, \quad \mathrm{p}_{a}>0
$$

## The EFTHedron in the real world

Including the $u$-channel contribution:

$$
\begin{gathered}
M(s, t)=-\sum_{a} \mathfrak{p}_{a} P_{\ell_{a}}\left(1+\frac{2 t}{m_{a}^{2}}\right)\left(\frac{1}{s-m_{a}^{2}}+\frac{1}{u-m_{a}^{2}}\right) \\
\rightarrow M(z, t)=\sum_{a} \mathfrak{p}_{a} P_{\ell_{a}}\left(1+\frac{2 t}{m_{a}^{2}}\right)\left(\frac{1}{-\frac{t}{2}-z-m_{a}^{2}}+\frac{1}{-\frac{t}{2}+z-m_{a}^{2}}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Upon Taylor expansion we have

$$
\sum_{k-q \in e v e n, q} \sum_{a} p_{a}\left[\frac{1}{m_{a}^{2(k+1)}} u_{\ell_{a}, k, q}\right] z^{k-q} t^{q}
$$

where the new vectors are given by

$$
u_{\ell, k, q}=\sum_{a+b=q}(-)^{a} \frac{(k-q+1)_{a}}{a!} 2^{b-a} v_{\ell, b}
$$

## The EFTHedron in the real world

$$
u_{\ell, k, q}=\sum_{a+b=q}(-)^{a} \frac{(k-q+1)_{a}}{a!} 2^{b-a} v_{\ell, b}
$$

The new vectors are a $k$-dependent projection of $\vec{v}_{\ell}$ to half-dimension subspace, one might expect all structures are lost.

The geometry is richer

$$
\begin{array}{cccccc} 
& m^{0} & \frac{1}{m^{2}} & \frac{1}{m^{4}} & \frac{1}{m^{6}} & \cdots \\
t^{0} & g_{0,0} & g_{1,0} & g_{2,0} & g_{3,0} & \cdots \\
t^{1} & & g_{0,1} & g_{1,1} & g_{2,1} & \cdots \\
t^{2} & & & g_{0,2} & g_{1,2} & \cdots \\
t^{3} & & & & g_{0,3} & \cdots
\end{array}
$$

- For fixed mass-dimension, there is a critical spin above which it becomes cylic (all ordered minors are positive)
- The boundaries are determined from the cylicity

$$
\langle X, i, i+1\rangle>0 \text { for, } \quad i \geq 5,\langle X, 4,3\rangle>0, \quad\langle X, 3,5\rangle>0
$$

## The EFTHedron in the real world

$$
u_{\ell, k, q}=\sum_{a+b=q}(-)^{a} \frac{(k-q+1)_{a}}{a!} 2^{b-a} v_{\ell, b}
$$

The new vectors are a $k$-dependent projection of $\vec{v}_{\ell}$ to half-dimension subspace, one might expect all structures are lost.

The geometry is richer

$$
\begin{array}{cccccc} 
& m^{0} & \frac{1}{m^{2}} & \frac{1}{m^{4}} & \frac{1}{m^{6}} & \cdots \\
t^{0} & g_{0,0} & g_{1,0} & g_{2,0} & g_{3,0} & \cdots \\
t^{1} & & g_{0,1} & g_{1,1} & g_{2,1} & \cdots \\
t^{2} & & & g_{0,2} & g_{1,2} & \cdots \\
t^{3} & & & & g_{0,3} & \cdots
\end{array}
$$

- The boundaries of the Minkowski sum is always given by that of the highest $k$

$$
\partial\left[\binom{g_{1,0}}{g_{0,1}} \oplus\binom{g_{2,0}}{g_{1,1}} \oplus\binom{g_{3,0}}{g_{2,1}}\right]=\partial\binom{g_{3,0}}{g_{2,1}}
$$

- The moment curve constraint is generalized to rescaled moment curve


## The EFTHedron in the real world

Spinning polytopes:

The same structure is found for when the external states are massless with spins: photons, gauge bosons, and gravitons.

- Lorentz-symmetry: In the form of fixing the residue basis to be Wigner $d_{h_{1}-h_{2}, h_{3}-h_{4}}^{\ell}(\theta)=\left\langle\ell, h_{1}-h_{2}\right| e^{-i \theta \mathcal{J}_{y}}\left|\ell, h_{3}-h_{4}\right\rangle$. For $(-h, h, h,-h)$ we simply have

$$
d_{-2 h, 2 h}^{\ell}(\theta)=\mathcal{J}(\ell+4 h, 0,-4 h, \cos \theta)
$$

- Unitarity: In the form of residue having positive coefficients
- Locality: In the form of

$$
\frac{1}{s-m_{a}}, \text { or } \int d s^{\prime} \frac{1}{s-s^{\prime}}
$$

## The EFTHedron in the real world

Consider the configuration ( $-2,+2,+2,-2$ ) where we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle 14\rangle^{4}[23]^{4}\left(\sum_{i, j} g_{i, j} z^{i} t^{j}\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The exchanged spin begins with spin-4

- $\left(z^{2}, t^{2}\right)$ : The space is one-dimensional, and the bound is simply

$$
-\frac{11}{36}<\frac{g_{2,0}}{g_{0,2}}
$$

- $\left(z^{4}, z^{2} t^{2}, t^{4}\right)$ : The critical spin is $s_{c}=6$, spin-4 is inside the hull, i.e. not a vertex. The boundaries are:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle X, i, i+1\rangle>0 \text { for, } \quad i \geq 7,\langle X, 6,5\rangle>0, \quad\langle X, 5,7\rangle>0 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$



## The CFTHedron

Is there a similar structure?

Indeed there is!

$$
\operatorname{Det}\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
C_{\Delta_{1}}\left(z_{1}\right) & C_{\Delta_{2}}\left(z_{1}\right) & \cdots & C_{\Delta_{n}}\left(z_{1}\right) \\
C_{\Delta_{1}}\left(z_{2}\right) & C_{\Delta_{2}}\left(z_{2}\right) & \cdots & C_{\Delta_{n}}\left(z_{2}\right) \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
C_{\Delta_{1}}\left(z_{n}\right) & C_{\Delta_{2}}\left(z_{n}\right) & \cdots & C_{\Delta_{n}}\left(z_{n}\right)
\end{array}\right)>
$$

for $z_{1}<z_{2}<\cdots<z_{n}$ and $\Delta_{1}<\Delta_{2}<\cdots<\Delta_{n}$

The convex hull of the block vectors is again a cyclic polytope!

## The CFTHedron

This gives us the control over the relevant boundaries

$$
\mathbf{F}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
f_{0} \\
f_{1} \\
\vdots \\
f_{L-1}
\end{array}\right) \in \sum_{\Delta} \mathfrak{p}_{\Delta}\left(\begin{array}{c}
c_{\Delta, 0} \\
c_{\Delta, 1} \\
\vdots \\
c_{\Delta, L-1}
\end{array}\right) \mathfrak{p}_{\Delta}>0
$$

For example with $\left(f_{0}, f_{2}\right)$ the relevant boundaries are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& W_{1}=\left(1 \Delta_{1} \Delta_{2}\right), \\
& W_{2}=\left(\infty 1 \Delta_{1}\right), \\
& W_{3}=\left(\infty \Delta_{1} \Delta_{2}\right), \\
& W_{4}=\left(1 \Delta_{2} \dot{\Delta}_{2}\right), \\
& W_{5}=\left(\infty \Delta_{2} \dot{\Delta}_{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The resulting carved out space is


## The CFTHedron

The boundaries of this plot is understandable in terms of walls


Each boundary correspond to a set of wall pointing in opposite directions

## The CFTHedron

This can be simply understood as

$$
\left\langle 1 F \Delta_{i} \Delta_{i+1}\right\rangle>0, \quad\left\langle F 1 \Delta_{i} \infty\right\rangle>0
$$

Consider the result from projecting through 1,

where $\Delta_{ \pm} \rightarrow\langle F, 1, \Delta\rangle=0$

## The CFTHedron

Going to higher dimensions gives further constraint! $\left(f_{0}, f_{2}, f_{4}\right) \operatorname{Exp}$, given $\Delta_{\phi}=0.3$, in the space of possible lowest first two operators $\left(\Delta_{1}, \Delta_{2}\right)$ are given by:


The allows us to "carve" out the space of consistent CFTs geometrically

## Conclusions

The constraint of unitarity, locality and symmetries manifest itself as positive geometry on the space of consistent QFTs. The "external" data ARE positive.
This is just preliminary!

- We need to understand the generalized moment curve for $s-u$ EFThedron.
- Explore the space for mixed graviton photon scattering
- Proof of various conjectures (Weak gravity) for the land scape.
- Solving the 1D CFT geometry at higher dimensions (in external data)
- Extensions to CFT with $D>1$

