# integrands and amplitudes for two-loop five-point scattering in QCD

Simon Badger (IPPP, Durham) in collaboration with Christian Brønnum-Hansen, Bayu Hartanto and Tiziano Peraro

> **Amplitudes** SLAC, 19th June 2018







European Research Cound tabilished by the Burepasn Carr





### key example: 3j/2j ratio at the LHC can probe of the running of  $\alpha_s$  in a new energy regime ↵*s*(*m*<sup>2</sup> *<sup>Z</sup>*) ⇠ 0*.*1

e.g. CMS @ 7 TeV  $\alpha_s(m_Z^2) = 0.1148 \pm 0.0014(\text{exp.}) \pm 0.0018(\text{PDF}) \pm 0.0050(\text{theory})$ 

new precision frontier: 2 → 3 scattering



 $d\sigma$ 







LO NLO



amplitudes known...extremely complex phase-space

# complexity for 2*→*3 processes



these types of amplitudes might not be so impressive these days in SUSY theories…

Foundational of on-shell very important in the development of on-shell methods such as unitarity, leading singularities, etc.

> Bern, Rozowsky, Yan, Czakon, Dixon, Kosower, Cachazo, Spradlin, Volovich…



# summary of state-of-the-art

first results for planar 2*→*3 parton scattering amplitudes

2*→*3 master integrals [Papadopoulos, Tommasini, Wever arXiv:1511.09404] [Gehrmann, Henn, Lo Presti arXiv:1511.05409] [Chicherin, Henn, Mitev arXiv:1712.09610]

a first look at two-loop five-gluon amplitudes in QCD

[SB, Brønnum-Hansen, Hartanto, Peraro arXiv:1712.02229]

Efficient integrand reduction for Planar two-loop five-gluon and particles with spin particles with spin

[Boels, Jin, Luo arXiv: 1802.06761]

Two-loop five-point massless QCD amplitudes within the IBP approach

[Chawdhry, Lim, Mitov arXiv:1805.09182]

amplitudes from numerical unitarity

[Abreu, Febres-Cordero, Ita, Page, Zeng arXiv:1712.03946]





 $\left\langle \right\rangle$  $\Box$ 

### amplitudes and integrands *A* mplitudes and integral

$$
A = \int_{k} \sum_{i} \frac{\Delta_{i}(k, p)}{(propagators)_{i}}
$$

how can we parameterise the irreducible numerator?



# constructing the integrand basis

 $\Delta(k_i \cdot p_j, k_i \cdot w_j, \mu_{ij}) = \sum (\text{coefficients})(\text{monomial})$ 

- updated algorithm no longer requires polynomial division
- integrand contains spurious terms
- integrand basis depends on the ordering of the possible ISP monomials
- beyond one-loop the integrals can be further reduced using integration-by-parts identities

many new ideas for efficient solutions of IBP systems: Kosower, Kajda, Gluza, Schabinger, von Manteuffel, Ita, Larsen, Zhang, Böhm, Georgoudis, Schönemann, Abreu, Page, Febres-Cordero, Zeng

$$
\int_k k_i \cdot w_j = 0
$$

Z *k*  $\partial$  $\partial k_\mu$ *vµ*(*k, p*)  $\frac{\partial \mu(x, P)}{\partial(propagators)} = 0$ 

> [see talks by Febres-Cordero, Larsen, Zeng]

#### transverse directions (similarly to the methods of Van UUIT SCALLUITT *ki.w<sup>j</sup>* where the power counting is restricted by the renor- $TMO-IOO$ two-loop five-gluon scattering in QCD







### field reconstruction methods. We begin by expanding the  $t$ transverse directions (similarly to the methods of Vandales of Vandales of Vandales of Vandales of Vandales of  $\frac{1}{2}$  is  $\bigcap \bigcap$  $\int$ *k k i* ii st look at the This basis is trivial to obtain without polynomial division but results in high rank tensor in high rank tensor in high rank tensor in high rank tensor in  $\sim$ a first look at two-loop five-gluon scattering in QCD

*<sup>i</sup>* <sup>=</sup> *<sup>k</sup><sup>µ</sup>* <sup>k</sup>*,i* <sup>+</sup> *<sup>k</sup><sup>µ</sup>* ?*,i,* (3) SB, Brønnum-Hansen, Hartanto Peraro Phys.Rev.Lett. 120 (2018) no.9, 092001



\* Gehrmann, Henn, Lo Presti (2015), Papadopoulos, Tommasini, Wever (2015) sations of the cut loop momentum solutions are much Having completed this decomposition we find relations must be computed simultaneously with subtopologies  $\ast$  Geh

#### two-loop five-gluon scattering in QCD  $\mathbb{R}^n$ relations (for example *k*<sup>1</sup> \$ *k*<sup>2</sup> in the 3-propagator sunthe known integrands in *N* = 4 Super-Yang-Mills theory interesting all fermion and (complex)-scalar loop contributions and helicity configurations of a 2 to 3 scattering process in QCD. the large intermediate algebraic expression of the large intermediate algebraic expressions that tradi- $\overline{a}$  is a scattering processes at  $\overline{a}$  $\sim$  1000 tuportund measurements between  $\sim$ near future) limited by theoretical uncertainties. Pure  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$  scattering at two loops in  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ *<sup>A</sup>*(*L*) (1*,* 2*,* 3*,* 4*,* 5) = *n<sup>L</sup>g*<sup>3</sup> *s*  $\mathcal{L}$ 2*S*5*/Z*<sup>5</sup> tr (*<sup>T</sup> <sup>a</sup>*(1) *··· <sup>T</sup> <sup>a</sup>*(5) )



subsequently setting *n<sup>f</sup>* = *N* and *n<sup>s</sup>* = *N* 1. We also

TABLE I. The number of non-zero coefficients found at the integrand level both before ('non-zero') and after ('nonspurious') removing monomials which integrate to zero. Last column ('contributions  $\mathcal{O}(e^0)$ ) gives the number of coefficients contributing to the finite part. Each helicity amplitude is split into the components of  $d_s - 2$ . E I. The number of non-zero coefficients found at | negrand level both before ('non-zero') and after ('non- $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}$ 

obtained for 'all-plus' helicity amplitudes [15–22]. These

SB, Brønnum-Hansen, Hartanto Peraro Phys.Rev.Lett. 120 (2018) no.9, 092001  $\mathbf{r}$  terms is provided by momentum twistor co- $\mathbf{r}$ where *T* **are the SU**, *are the fundamental generators of <i>SB*, **Brønnum-Hansen**, Hartanto Peraro

$$
\mathcal{A}^{(L)}(1,2,3,4,5) = n^L g_s^3 \sum_{\sigma \in S_5/Z_5} \text{tr}\left(T^{a_{\sigma(1)}} \cdots T^{a_{\sigma(5)}}\right)
$$

$$
\times A^{(L)}\left(\sigma(1), \sigma(2), \sigma(3), \sigma(4), \sigma(5)\right), \tag{1}
$$

The integrand of the ordered partial amplitudes can

this article we will compute the pure gluonic contribu-

five-gluon amplitudes using the simple trace basis:

$$
A^{(2)}(1,2,3,4,5) = \int [dk_1][dk_2] \sum_T \frac{\Delta_T(\{k\},\{p\})}{\prod_{\alpha \in T} D_{\alpha}}
$$

structed from colour ordered Feynman diagrams. In

where *{k}* = *{k*1*, k*2*}* are the (*d* = 4 2✏)-dimensional

numerical evaluation in the Euclidean region





universal poles

 $P^{(2)} = I^{(2)} A^{(0)} + I^{(1)} A^{(1)}$ 

[Catani] [Becher,Neubert] [Gnendiger, Signer, Stockinger]

# evaluation in the physical region

reduction to MI of Gehrmann, Henn, Lo Presti (or alternatively Papadopoulos, Tommasini, Wever)

 $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ 

ds=2 fully analytic full ds dep. partially numerical





### fermion channels preliminary!

$$
x_1 = -1
$$
,  $x_2 = \frac{79}{90}$ ,  $x_3 = \frac{16}{61}$ ,  $x_4 = \frac{37}{78}$ ,  $x_5 = \frac{83}{102}$ .  
37 2023381 83 1936

$$
s_{12} = -1
$$
,  $s_{23} = -\frac{37}{78}$ ,  $s_{34} = -\frac{2023381}{3194997}$ ,  $s_{45} = -\frac{83}{102}$ ,  $s_{15} = -\frac{193672}{606645}$ .

 $\label{eq:leading-color} \textbf{Leading-color amplitude:}$ 

 $\sim$ 

 $\mathcal{S}$ 

$$
\underline{\mathcal{A}}^{(L)}(1_q, 2_g, 3_g, 4_g, 5_{\bar{q}}) = n^L g_s^3 \sum_{\sigma \in S_3} (T^{a_{\sigma(2)}} T^{a_{\sigma(3)}} T^{a_{\sigma(4)}})_{i_1}^{\bar{i}_5} A^{(L)}(1_q, \sigma(2), \sigma(3), \sigma(4), 5_{\bar{q}})
$$

$$
n = m_{\epsilon} N_c \alpha_s / (4\pi), \quad \alpha_s = g_s^2 / (4\pi), \quad m_{\epsilon} = i (4\pi)^{\epsilon} e^{-\epsilon \gamma_E}
$$

checks against poles in CDR

$$
\widehat{A}^{(2)}_{\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3\lambda_4\lambda_5} = \frac{A^{(2)}(1_q^{\lambda_1}, 2^{\lambda_2}, 3^{\lambda_3}, 4^{\lambda_4}, 5_{\bar{q}}^{\lambda_5})}{A^{(0)}(1_q^{\lambda_1}, 2^{\lambda_2}, 3^{\lambda_3}, 4^{\lambda_4}, 5_{\bar{q}}^{\lambda_5})}
$$



### fermion channels preliminary!

$$
x_1 = -1
$$
,  $x_2 = \frac{79}{90}$ ,  $x_3 = \frac{16}{61}$ ,  $x_4 = \frac{37}{78}$ ,  $x_5 = \frac{83}{102}$ .  
 $s_{12} = -1$ ,  $s_{23} = -\frac{37}{78}$ ,  $s_{34} = -\frac{2023381}{3194997}$ ,  $s_{45} = -\frac{83}{102}$ ,  $s_{15} = -\frac{193672}{606645}$ .

 $\label{eq:leading-color} \textbf{Leading-color}\ \text{amplitude:}$ 

$$
\mathcal{A}^{(L)}(1_q, 2_{\bar{q}}, 3_g, 4_Q, 5_{\bar{Q}}) = n^L g_s^3 \left[ (T^{a_3})_{i_4}^{\bar{i}_2} \delta_{i_1}^{\bar{i}_5} A^{(L)}(1_q, 2_{\bar{q}}, 3_g, 4_Q, 5_{\bar{Q}}) + (1 \leftrightarrow 4, 2 \leftrightarrow 5) \right]
$$

$$
n = m_{\epsilon} N_c \alpha_s / (4\pi), \quad \alpha_s = g_s^2 / (4\pi), \quad m_{\epsilon} = i (4\pi)^{\epsilon} e^{-\epsilon \gamma_E}
$$

checks against poles in CDR





can we use this for phenomenology? Z  $d\Phi_3$ 

 $\sum$ colour*,*helicity  $2\text{Re}(A^{(2)}A^{(0)})$ 

### the analytic integrands are very large...

proceed numerically?

this was a good option at NLO (BLACKHAT, NJET, GOSAM, OPENLOOPS,…)

see talk from Febres-Cordero also works at two loops

related questions: (quasi) finite integrals local integrals, pure functions…

- universal lR and UV poles not manifest
- large cancellations between topologies
- high rank tensor integrals lead to extremely difficult IBP systems (IBPs are d-dim.)
- need to understand analytic structure better: what is a 'good' basis?

[von Mantueffel, Schabinger, Panzer] [Arkani-Hamed, Bourjaily, Cachazo, Trnka]

#### back to one-loop  $hnc$ , triangle and bubble integrals with  $hnc$ , the  $cnc$  $U$  where  $U$  $t_{\cap}$  on bubble integrals with  $t_{\cap}$ numerators *µ*1*,*<sup>1</sup> = *k*[ <sup>1</sup> <sup>2</sup>✏] *· <sup>k</sup>*[2✏] <sup>1</sup> where *k*<sup>1</sup> is the loop momentum.

 $\sum$ 5

coecients of the higher dimensional  $\mathbb{N}$ 

UV



The *d*-dimensional integrand basis at one-loop is usually written down in

*{*1*, µ*11*, µ*<sup>2</sup> <sup>11</sup>*}*+  ${5}$ <sup>1</sup>, OPF  $\overline{a}$ *{*1*, µ*11*}*+ (EGKM, OPP etc.) d-dimensional basis

For the purpose of this discussion we will use the one-loop five point

$$
A_5^{(1)} = \sum^5 \left( \frac{1}{1} + \sum^5 \right) \left( \frac{1}{1} + \sum^5 \right) \left( \frac{1}{1} + \sum^5 \right) \left( \frac{1}{1} + \sum^5 \right)
$$

This basis has a few few few features which are not ideal. The universal IR and ideal  $\alpha$ 

 $U_{\rm eff}$  pole structure is not manifest and there are manifest and there are manifest and there are many cancellations between  $U_{\rm eff}$ 

 $\{1\}$  + *R* + spurious +  $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon)$ 

expansion around 
$$
d=4
$$
  
\n $A_5^{(1)} = \sum_{r=1}^{5}$   
\n $45^{(1)} = \sum_{r=1}^{5}$   
\n $A_5^{(1)} = \sum_{r=1}^{5}$ 



cancellations between rational term and bubble coefficients] [still not quite as good as BDK '93…additional spurious pole

# an attempt at 2-loops

the pentagon-box sector of our planar integrand has the most complicated integrals

standard gauge theory power counting: 76 integrand coefficients

 $\{k_1, p_1, k_2, p_2, k_2, p_3, \mu_{11}, \mu_{12}, \mu_{22}\}$ 

28 non-zero coeffs. at  $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon)$ 

 $(k_2.p_2)^4 \mu_{11}$ worst case: (rank 4, 1 dot)



 $k_2.n_2 = \langle 5k_21 \rangle$  $k_1.n_1 \sim (k_1 - n_1)^2 \propto \text{tr}_+(p_2 k_1 (k_1 - p_{23}) p_4)$ 

 $\{1, k_1..n_2, k_2..n_2^*, \mu_{11}, \mu_{12}, \mu_{22}\}$ (over complete set) old version new version local numerators

worst case:

(rank 2)  $k_2.n_2k_1.n_1$ 

## summary

- two-loop amplitudes from on-shell building blocks:
	- generalised unitarity cuts and integrand reduction in d-dimensions
	- reconstruction of rational functions over finite fields
	- first results for realistic processes. Lots more to do for NNLO

a local integrand basis? ['prescriptive unitarity' Bourjaily, Herrmann, Trnka (2017)] non-planar? [Arkani-Hamed Bourjaily, Cachazo, Postnikov, Trnka (2015)]

[Bern, Herrmann, Litsey, Stankowicz, Trnka (2016)] [Bern, Enciso, Ita, Zeng (2017)]

### backup

#### $n_{\text{A}}$  $\overline{\phantom{0}}$ -loop ◆  $\cup$  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ *d*+ *d*  $\frac{1}{2}$ *i*=1 ◆ *s*12*, s*23*, s*34*, s*45*, s*<sup>15</sup> *dpp*!2*<sup>j</sup> dp<sup>T</sup>* one-loop box example **box example** one loop how evening UITE-TUUP DUX EXAI



*<sup>W</sup>i,a*˙ = (˜*µa*˙ *,* ˜*a*˙) = ✏*a,b,c,d* ˙ *<sup>Z</sup><sup>i</sup>*1*,bZi,cZi*+1*,d*  $c$ *continue* reduction with subtractions

 $\Lambda_{2,122}(k(\tau_1,\tau_2)) = N(k(\tau_1,\tau_2), \eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_2, \eta_4) - \frac{\Delta_4(k(\tau_1,\tau_2))}{\Delta_4(k(\tau_1,\tau_2))}$ *N*(*k*(*s*) (⌧*<sup>j</sup>* )) = (*k<sup>s</sup>*  $\Delta_{3;123}(k(\tau_1,\tau_2))=N(k(\tau_1,\tau_2),p_1,p_2,p_3,p_4)-\frac{\Delta_4(k(\tau_1,\tau_2))}{(k(\tau_1,\tau_2)+p_4)^2}$  $(k(\tau_1, \tau_2) + p_4)^2$ 

# numerator construction

FDH scheme at two-loops

[Bern, De Freitas, Dixon, Wong (2002)]



$$
g^{\mu}{}_{\mu}=d_s
$$

c.f. Feynman rules + Feynman gauge and ghosts (scalars)

[Cheung, O'Connell (2009)]

Tree-amplitudes using six-dimensional helicity method

[Bern, Carrasco, Dennen, Huang, Ita (2011)] need to capture  $\mu_{11}, \mu_{22}, \mu_{12}$  [Davies (2012)]

use momentum twistors to deal with the complicated kinematics at 2*→*3

[Hodges (2009)]

### momentum twistors Hodges [1] introduced momentum twistors as a natural extension of Penrose's twistor formalism for reciprocal space. In comparison with the spinor-helicity formalism with the spinor-helicity formalism where  $\frac{1}{2}$ *k*<sup>1</sup> \$ *k*<sup>2</sup> ) 8 integrals in 4 2✏ + 14 integrals in 6+2✏ where *x* is an arbitrary reference direction (i.e. point in position space). The space of  $\alpha$

(*p*) and ˜(*p*) are used to describe the kinematics, the momentum twistor *Z* is a four [Hodges (2009)]

(*i*) spinor the define

<sup>h</sup>*<sup>i</sup>* <sup>1</sup>*i*ih*ii* + 1<sup>i</sup> (51)

recall: spinor-helicity SU(2)×SU(2) ~  $p_i^{\mu} \leftrightarrow (\lambda_{\alpha i}, \tilde{\lambda}_i^{\dot{\alpha}})$ 

$$
Z_{iA}=(\lambda_\alpha(i),\mu^{\dot\alpha}(i))
$$

(*p*) and ˜(*p*) are used to describe the kinematics, the momentum twistor *Z* is a four

(*i*)) = "*ABCDZ*(*i*1)*BZiCZ*(*i*+1)*<sup>D</sup>*

kinematic variables with manifest momentum conservation or where the new two component object *µ*↵˙ (*i*) is used instead of the ˜↵˙ the kinematic variables with manifest momentum conservation<br>
or (*p*) and *p*) are used to describe the momentum twistor  $\mu$  and  $\mu$ component object that can do an equivalent value *<i>x x* =  $\frac{1}{2}$  *x* =  $\frac{1}{2}$  *x* =  $\frac{1}{2}$  +  $\$ 

*i*

Hodges [1] introduced momentum twistors as a natural extension of Penrose's twistor formalism for reciprocal space. In comparison with the spinor-helicity formalism where

the kinematics for the *n*-particle system *i* = 1*, n*. The ˜(*i*)↵˙ spinor is defined through

where the new two component object *µ* 

#### a rational phase space generator (*i*))*,* (50) d i defende pride opdee gene the kinematics for the *n*-particle system *i* = 1*, n*. The ˜(*i*)↵˙ spinor is defined through hase spac

*W<sup>A</sup>*

*<sup>i</sup>* = (˜*µ*↵(*i*)*,* ˜↵˙

$$
W_i^A = (\tilde{\mu}_{\alpha}(i), \tilde{\lambda}^{\dot{\alpha}}(i)) = \frac{\varepsilon^{ABCD} Z_{(i-1)B} Z_{iC} Z_{(i+1)D}}{\langle i - 1i \rangle \langle ii + 1 \rangle} \implies \tilde{\lambda}(i)^{\dot{\alpha}} = \frac{\langle i - 1i \rangle \mu^{\dot{\alpha}}(i+1) + \langle i + 1i - 1 \rangle \mu^{\dot{\alpha}}(i) + \langle ii + 1 \rangle \mu^{\dot{\alpha}}(i-1))}{\langle i - 1i \rangle \langle ii + 1 \rangle}
$$
\n
$$
\implies \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_{\alpha}(i) \tilde{\lambda}_{\dot{\alpha}}(i) = 0_{\alpha \dot{\alpha}}
$$

### manifest UV and IR poles at the *integrand level* This basis is a few features which are not in the universal IR and UV POLE STRUCTURE STRUCTURE IS NOT MANY CANCELLATIONS BETWEEN AND THE MANUFACTURE ARE MANY CANCELLATIONS BETWEE rational terms in the *d*-dimensional amplitude manifest we will construct manifort UV and IR poloc at the For and the some extra-dimensional bubble integrals to the some extra-



*rational numerators manage IR divergences*  $\overline{\mathsf{r}}$   $\mathsf{r}$   $\$  $\mathcal{L}$  for example, by adding some extra-dimensional bubble integrals to the dimensional bubble integrals to th one-mass box the *µ2* integral can be shifted to an integral that vanishes at the shifted local numerators manage IR divergences [Arkani-Hamed, Bourjaily, Cachazo, Trnka (2012)] ✓ *<sup>µ</sup>*<sup>11</sup> <sup>+</sup> *<sup>µ</sup>*<sup>11</sup> *<sup>µ</sup>*11◆ = *O*(✏) <sup>2</sup><br>
<sup>M</sup><br>
<sup>M</sup><br>
<sup>M</sup><br>
<sup>M</sup><br> *X*<br> *M*<br> *M***</del><br>
<b>***M*<br>

remove d-dimensional  $\mu$ <sup> $\mu$ <sub>1</sub></sup> integrals with UV counter-<br> $\begin{bmatrix} \mu_{11}^2 \\ \mu_{21}^2 \end{bmatrix}$ IR Singularities. In order the cancellation of the sources of the source

1

*µ*2 <sup>11</sup> + 1 *u* ✓ *<sup>µ</sup>*<sup>11</sup> <sup>+</sup> *<sup>µ</sup>*<sup>11</sup> *<sup>µ</sup>*11◆ = *O*(✏) *µ*2 <sup>11</sup> <sup>1</sup> *s* ✓ *<sup>µ</sup>*11◆ = *O*(✏) (5) where *ki*⇤ are the two solutions to the quadruple cut in four dimensions. of the rational terms is from cancellations of ✏*/*✏ for UV poles only. In this only IR poles. The equivalence of these two representations is up to higher transverse space and then discard any box and triangle integrals that have A completely finite box integrand parametrisation can now be written

$$
\Delta\left(\prod_{k=1}^{k} \left\{ (k-k^{*,(1)})^2, (k-k^{*,(2)})^2 \right\} + \text{spurious} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon) \right)
$$

the amplitude *O*(✏). As a result of using finite integrals in the top sector

the poles in the triangle will be constrained to take the unversal form.

 $\rightarrow$   $+$ 







$$
\Delta\left(\sum_{i=1}^{i+1}\right)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{i}\right)\left\{1-\frac{(k)^2(k-p_{i,i+1})^2}{(k+p_{1,i-1})^2(k+p_{1,i-1}-p_{1,2})^2}\right\}+\text{spurious}+\mathcal{O}(\epsilon).
$$
\n
$$
\Delta\left(\sum_{i=1}^{i}\right)\left\{1,\mu_{11}\right\}+\text{spurious}+\mathcal{O}(\epsilon).
$$

