

# DQW HOM Measurements, Analysis and Application

**J. A. Mitchell** <sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Engineering Department, Lancaster University

<sup>2</sup>BE-RF Section, CERN

*Graeme Burt*


*Rama Calaga*

*7th HL-LHC Collaboration Meeting  
CIEMAT, Madrid, 13-16 November 2016*

- Tests of the DQW with HOM couplers.
  - Measurements taken.
  - Measurement deviation from simulations.
- Impedance and power for HL-LHC.
  - Current scenario.
  - Mode tolerance study.
- HOM coupler design re-visited.
  - Damping the impedance below the threshold.
  - New spectral power.

# DQW Tests with HOM Couplers



No of HOM Couplers	Cavity	Helium Vessel?
→ 1	NWV-DQW-001	N
→ 3	CERN-DQW-001	Y
1	NWV-DQW-002	N



# DQW Tests with HOM Couplers

## *JLAB Spectral Measurements*



- $S_{21}$  measurements between HOM coupler and cold test Power Coupler (PC).
- Temperatures: 300, 4.5 and 2 K.
- Discrete frequency bands taken and stitched for increased resolution.



# DQW Tests with HOM Couplers

## *CERN Spectral Measurements*



- 5 ports with couplers (PC, PU, HOMC1, HOMC2, HOMC3).
- 8 port configurations measured to measure all modes.
- Temperatures: 300 and 2 K.
- Discrete frequency bands taken and stitched for increased resolution.



# DQW Tests with HOM Couplers

## *HOM Measurements*


- Narrow frequency bands measured at centre frequency of mode.
- Frequency and Q-factor recorded using Lorentzian fit function.



# DQW Tests with HOM Couplers


## *HOM Measurements*

- Narrow frequency bands measured at centre frequency of mode.
- Frequency and Q-factor recorded using Lorentzian fit function.



*JLAB cavity is not tuned to the correct fundamental frequency.*

$$f_0 = 403 \text{ MHz}$$



*Cavity has +5mm retraction on all HOM couplers (non-conformity error) [1].*



*Simulation takes this into account.*

*Possible source of error:*

- *Non-conformity is not exactly +5mm on all ports.*
- *Cold test power coupler and pick-up may perturb some modes.*

# Frequency and Q Spread

- Deviation in measured mode parameters from simulated for CERN-DQW-001 partially dressed test.
- Mode tolerances for impedance, power and beam stability simulations.



For reference

At 0.5 GHz:  $\Delta f = 1.12 \text{ MHz}$

At 1.5 GHz:  $\Delta f = 3.36 \text{ MHz}$

$$\bullet \frac{f_{\text{meas}} - f_{\text{sim}}}{f_{\text{meas}}} = 0.00224 \pm 0.00294$$


$$\bullet \frac{Q_{\text{meas}} - Q_{\text{sim}}}{Q_{\text{meas}}} = 0.711 \pm 3.12$$

*The spread should be applied as a tolerance study. To do this the current impedance and power spectra should be noted.*



# Analytical Tolerance Study

## *Cavity Impedance Spectra*

- Impedance spectra calculated in order to calculate the power.
- Currently some modes are above thresholds.





**Impedance Thresholds**  
 Longitudinal: 200 k $\Omega$ /cavity  
 Transverse: 1 M $\Omega$ /m/cavity




- Resulting longitudinal power from HL-LHC parameters calculated.

Parameter	Value
Machine	HL-LHC
Bunch profile	Gaussian
Number of bunches, $M$	2748
Particles per bunch, $N_p$	2.2E11
Harmonic number, $h$	35640
RF frequency, $f_{RF}$	400.8 MHz
Bunch length ( $4\sigma$ ), $\sigma_t$	1.2 ns
Bunch spacing, $t_{bb}$	24.95 ns



$$P_{(x,y,z)} = 2 \times I^2 Z_{(x,y,z)} \times |J_k|$$





# Analytical Tolerance Study

## Problematic Mode

- Applying a frequency offset to the modes shows that there is one mode solely capable of substantially increasing the power.

Frequency shift of 0.3% of 958.87 MHz mode




- From the spread quantified, this corresponds to: = 0.00317
  - This value is within the measured spread of  $0.00224 \pm 0.00294$
- The frequency of this mode in CERN-DQW-001 was 962.25 MHz
  - 330 kHz above spectral line frequency.
- From the cold  $\rightarrow$  warm shift of -1.45 MHz observed the current predicted frequencies at cold are: **962.034 and 962.253 MHz** respectively for **SPS cavity 1 and 2** using warm measurements on the string assembly.

# Analytical Tolerance Study

## Problematic Modes

- From the cold → warm shift of -1.45 MHz observed the current predicted frequencies at cold are: **962.034 and 962.253 MHz** respectively for **SPS cavity 1 and 2** using warm measurements on the string assembly.

Integrated Power Evolution with frequency change of 958.87 MHz Mode



**What power would result in HL-LHC?**


962.034 MHz  
**1727 W**

962.253 MHz  
**309 W**

\*The mode frequencies have risen past the worst case scenario – showing feasibility of the 11 kW power generation.

# HOM Coupler Design Changes


- HOM coupler improvements:
  - **Improve the ease of manufacture.**
  - Damp impedance thresholds to below the documented thresholds.
  - Further damp the mode at 959 MHz → reduce power below 1 kW.



# HOM Coupler Design Changes







## RF Improvements

- HOM coupler improvements:
  - Improve the ease of manufacture.
  - **Damp impedance thresholds to below the documented thresholds.**
  - **Further damp the mode at 959 MHz → reduce power below 1 kW.**
  
- By altering the geometries of the HOM coupler, the transmission response was altered to better damp modes above the impedance threshold.



# HOM Coupler Design Changes

## *New Cavity Impedance Spectra*



- 959 MHz mode:
  - $Q: 1E4 \rightarrow 0.057E4$
  - $R_i: 1E5 \rightarrow 0.056E5$


**All modes apart from one (1920 MHz) are below threshold.**

# HOM Coupler Design Changes


## *New Cavity Power Spectra*

*Current HOM coupler*



*Nominal*



*Detrimental mode shifted*




*New HOM Coupler*




## *New power with 960 MHz mode deviation*

- Power generated with deviation of the mode at  $\sim 960$  MHz is much lower for the new HOM coupler.
- Frequency has changed slightly meaning that the shift necessary for maximum power has reduced from 0.3% to 0.2%.
- However, the power produced has reduced by a factor of 15.

Integrated Power Evolution with Frequency Change of 958.87 MHz Mode




Integrated Power Evolution with Frequency Change of 960.12 MHz Mode




# HOM Coupler Design Changes

## *Further Analysis*

- The integrated power with the mode exactly on 960 MHz has reduced from:
  - 11 kW to 742 W
- However, the mode frequency has shifted from 958.87 MHz to 960.1 MHz.
  - At 960 MHz:
  - $\frac{\lambda}{2} = 15.6 \text{ cm}$



- Coupler geometries which effect the frequency of this mode are being investigated.





- In addition, it was commented that the distance between the hook and capacitive jacket should be increased. This has now been performed and has a minimal effect on the mode damping.

# Power Directionality

- It is important to take into account that mode power is not equally split through each coupler.
- Using the ratio of the external Q-factors corresponding to individual port simulations it is possible to see how the extracted power is distributed.
  - The simulations assume no power is extracted by the FPC or beam pipes and do not take into account Ohmic losses in the cavity.
- Multiplying the mode impedance by the percentage will give a power spectrum for each coupler.

## Using current HOM coupler design:



# Conclusion

- Tests of the DQW crab cavity with HOM couplers
- Mode parameter measurements
  - Analysis – deviation from simulated  $\rightarrow \frac{\Delta f}{f} = 0.00224 \pm 0.00294$
- Analysis for HL-LHC
  - Impedance and power calculations.
  - Tolerance study and problematic scenario  $\rightarrow$  Mode at 960 MHz can produce large power.
- HOM Coupler Re-design
  - Manufacture improvements.
  - Meeting the impedance threshold.
  - Reducing the power  $\rightarrow$  11 kW to 742 W
- Further HOM coupler investigations
  - Shifting the frequency of the 960 MHz mode whilst keeping the spectral damping sufficient.
- Taking into account the power does not ‘split’ evenly.

# Questions?

## References

1. *Paula Freijedo*, “HL-LHC: Quality - Non Conformity Report”. EDMS 1759686:  
<https://edms.cern.ch/ui/#!/master/navigator/document?P:1771791600:1242869639:subDocs>
2. I. Karpov, Beam dynamics studies for FCC-ee, 30 May 2017.
3. F. Caspers et al., IMPEDANCE MEASUREMENT OF THE SPS MKE KICKER BY MEANS OF THE COAXIAL WIRE METHOD, PS/RF/Note 2000-004.