

# **ADT AC-Dipole mode: results of parameter validation measurements**

Björn Lindström (TE-MPE-PE)

**Acknowledgements:** D. Wollmann, D.Valuch, P. Belanger, M. Valette,



149th MPP Meeting – June 23rd 2017

### **Motivation**

- ADTs are strong transversally acting electric kickers
	- Damp injection oscillations
	- Stabilize beam
- ADTs have a few special modes; AC-Dipole mode allows resonant excitation of the beam
	- Requested to be used for tune measurements and x-y coupling measurements during standard operations, with full beam in



#### **Motivation**

- ADTs are strong transversally acting electric kickers
	- Damp injection oscillations
	- Stabilize beam
- ADTs have a few special modes; AC-Dipole mode allows resonant excitation of the beam
	- Requested to be used for tune measurements and x-y coupling measurements during standard operations, with full beam in



3

# **Goals of Experiment**

#### Goals:

- Validation of the limits to put in place for the AC-Dipole mode
- Verify the equilibrium between excitation of beam and damping of beam
	- Damping is always active in the machine
- **EXE** Benchmarking of the simulation models against measurements

#### ▪ **Parameters of Interest:**

- Kick amplitude/Excitation speed (voltage, number of turns, bandwidth of excitation signal)
	- Time from detection until potential damage
- Reproducibility of excitation (hor / ver, pilot /INDIV, re-excitation)
- **•** Intensity/number of bunches
- MD committed on 21/5/2017

#### **Measured orbit excursion examples**



## **Voltage Dependence**

- **EXA** Kick strength is proportional to voltage
	- Measurements agree except for highest voltage (9.5 kV)
	- Power supply believed to be saturated actual max voltage around 7.5 kV



### **Turn dependence**

**• Analytical formula:** OrbE $x_{norm}$   $\frac{\sigma}{kV}$  $\left[ \frac{b}{kV} \right] = a(1 - e^{-b\cdot n}),$ 

where  $OrbEx_{norm}$  is the voltage-normalized orbit excursion vs  $n$  number of turns



#### **Measurements vs Simulations**

- Simulations currently underestimate the orbit excursion
	- To be understood
- For now, ADT parameters can be derived directly from the measurements instead



8

- Losses (as ratio of the excited bunches) are summarized with damage limits and BLM thresholds (TCPC / TCPD, 9.3 Gray/s)
- **Different voltage curves from 0.5 kV to 7.5 kV**
- 6.5 TeV



- Losses (as ratio of the excited bunches) are summarized with damage limits and BLM thresholds (TCPC / TCPD, 9.3 Gray/s)
- **Different voltage curves from 0.5 kV to 7.5 kV**
- 6.5 TeV



▪ Losses (as ratio of the excited bunches) are summarized with damage limits and BLM thresholds (TCPC / TCPD, 9.3 Gray/s)



#### **Loss-induced dump**

▪ Dump caused by losses at 6.5 TeV (7.5 kV excitation, dump on turn 47, two pilots)  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$  blm\_blmlhc >> Version: 1.0.15 Responsible: Fabio Follin



### **Requested Parameters**

- Normalized emittance 2.5 µm
- **Horizontal/Vertical excitation**
- 450 GeV, 6.5 TeV

#### ▪ **Coupling measurements**:

- 50, 100 or 200 µm displacement (beta=174 m)
- 1x INDIV excitation
- 10000's turns (equilibrium)

#### ▪ **Tune measurements**:

- 100 µm displacement (beta=174 m)
- 1-3 x INDIV excitation in parallell
- **3-10 turns**

# **ADT Settings Proposal**



*\* During the MD a ~13 % stronger excitation was measured in the vertical plane, is possibly due to a lower damping*

*\*\* Error margin derived from measurements, emittance increase not taken into account*

# **Conclusions**

- The ADT AC-Dipole mode verification measurements were performed successfully
- Voltage and excitation length dependence as expected
	- => Orbit excursion can be extrapolated from the ADT settings
- From the MD, parameters for the tune and coupling measurements have been derived, pending approval by the MPP
- Excitation speed slow enough that dump should occur before losses reach dangerous levels
- Damping gives an inherent safety measure
	- => If damping lost for one bunch, coupling measurement would quickly excite the bunch into aperture
- **Measurements show good reproducibility**
- No apparent dependence on intensity was observed
- Emittance was blown up for all excited bunches by how much not known *(BSRT was not calibrated) to be taken into account for coupling and tune measurements*
- No difference was observed between on-tune and off-tune measurements (off-tune was 1.01\*Q\_frac), since the precision in the ADT excitation is not better than this

#### Unresolved Question

▪ Discrepancy between simulations and measurements (~factor 1.6)

#### **Extra Slides**

#### **Emittance Growth**

**Example of emittance growth, exciting with 5 kV for 60 turns horizontally** 



#### **Voltage dependence 450 GeV**



### **Fit of 450 GeV measurements**



- Losses (as ratio of the excited bunches) are summarized with damage limits and BLM thresholds (TCP.C / TCP.D, 9.3 Gray/s)
- Different voltage curves from 0.5 kV to 8 kV
- 450 GeV  $288$  bunches -  $\frac{8}{28}$  kV<br>288 bunches - Damage 6 kV. 23  $4$  kV $\cdot$ 2.3<br>2.3<br>0.23<br>0.23<br>0.0023<br>0.0023<br>0.0023 12 bunches - BLM Interlock 2808 bunches - Damage  $2$  kV  $\cdot$  $0.100$ 2.3  $0.010$   $288$  bunches Ratio lost Interlock 0.23 1 kV  $0.001\overline{2808}$ 0.023 bunche: 0.5 kV  $10^{-4}$  $0.0023$ 20 40 60 80 100 0 20 Excitation for n LHC turns