Nonequilibrium viscous correction to the phase space density and bulk viscosity in the relaxation time approximation

Alina Czajka

in collaboration with S. Hauksson, C. Shen, S. Jeon, C. Gale

based on: Phys. Rev. C97 (2018) no. 4, 044914

Quark Confinement and the Hadron Spectrum, Maynooth University, Ireland, 1-6 August 2018

Hydrodynamics and heavy ion collisions

 Relativistic fluid dynamics describes very well the evolution of matter produced in heavy ion collisions after it achieves approximate local thermal equilibrium

✓ Hydrodynamics - macroscopic description of a system; transport coefficients - parameters

$$T^{\mu\nu} = \epsilon u^{\mu}u^{\nu} - \Delta^{\mu\nu}(P + \Pi) + \pi^{\mu\nu} \qquad \qquad \mathcal{E} \text{- energy density}$$
$$\partial_{\mu}T^{\mu\nu} = 0 \qquad \qquad \qquad P \text{- pressure}$$
$$u^{\mu} \text{- four-velocity}$$

✓ Viscous corrections – determined by transport coefficients



Role of bulk viscosity in nuclear matter dynamics

 Bulk viscosity plays an important role in hydrodynamic modelling of strongly interacting matter

Ryu et al: PRL 115 (2015) 132301, PRC 97 (2018) 034910

Simultaneous description of the multiplicity and the mean transverse momentum

- Bulk viscosity over entropy density is large $\zeta/s \simeq 0.3$
- Relevant for IP-Glasma initial conditions

Schenke, Shen, Tribedy: arXiv:1807.05205



Motivation

- need for consistent, well-defined equations of hydrodynamics which can provide reliable phenomenological estimates
- QCD complex, multi-scale system
- lack of systematic microscopic methods to compute the bulk viscosity in the phase transition region

Physics of bulk viscosity can be understood by studying "simpler" systems in the perturbative regime where analytical methods can be applied

Need for a consistent inclusion of mean field effects into hydrodynamics (Many attempts undertaken before, but none of them was able to fully capture all subtleties related to the mean field inclusion)

Outline

> Nonequilibrium deviation from the equilibrium distribution function

- > Equations of hydrodynamics with mean field effects
- Equilibrium hydrodynamics
- Nonequilibrium hydrodynamics: Landau condition and viscous corrections
- Bulk viscosity computation
- Anderson-Witting model of the Chapman-Enskog approach
- 14-moment approximation
- Summary and conclusions

The system is made of scalar quasi-particles with zero-temperature mass m_0

We consider both the Boltzmann (classical) gas and the Bose-Einstein (quantum) gas

It is a weakly interacting system with the coupling constant λ

The coupling is running so $\beta_{\lambda} = T d\lambda/dT$

and no running coupling \longrightarrow the system is conformal \longrightarrow bulk viscosity vanishes

Nonequilibrium vs. equilibrium

Dictionary

Equilibrium (well defined state)

Quasiparticle thermal mass

Quasiparticle mass

Quasiparticle energy

Quasiparticle four-momentum

Lorentz invariant measure

Distribution function

 $m_{eq} \equiv m_{eq}(x)$ $m_x = \sqrt{m_0^2 + m_{eq}^2}$ $E_k = \sqrt{\mathbf{k}^2 + m_x^2}$ $k^\mu \equiv (k_0, \mathbf{k}) = (E_k, \mathbf{k})$ $dK = d^3 \mathbf{k} / [(2\pi)^3 E_k]$ $f_0 = 1 / [e^{\beta E_k} - 1]$

Nonequilibrium

(small deviations, perturbative corrections to equilibrium quantities) $m_{\rm th} \equiv m_{\rm th}(x)$ $\tilde{m}_x = \sqrt{m_0^2 + m_{\rm th}^2}$ $\mathcal{E}_k = \sqrt{\mathbf{k}^2 + \tilde{m}_x^2}$ $\tilde{k}^{\mu} \equiv (\tilde{k}_0, \mathbf{k}) = (\mathcal{E}_k, \mathbf{k})$ $d\mathcal{K} = d^3 \mathbf{k} / [(2\pi)^3 \mathcal{E}_k]$ $f = f_0 + \Delta f$

Nonequilibrium deviation from the equilibrium distribution function

Boltzmann equation with the mean field effect

$$(\tilde{k}^{\mu}\partial_{\mu} - \underbrace{\mathcal{E}_{k}\nabla\mathcal{E}_{k}\cdot\nabla_{k}}_{\text{term involving force}})f = \underbrace{C[f]}_{\text{collision kernel}}$$

All quantities entering the equation are x-dependent

$$f(x,k) = f_{\rm th}(x,k) + \delta f(x,k) = f_0(x,k) + \delta f_{\rm th}(x,k) + \delta f(x,k) \qquad \Delta f(x,k) = \delta f_{\rm th}(x,k) + \delta f(x,k)$$

retains equilibrium form

$$f_{\rm th}(x,k) \equiv f_0(x,k)|_{m_0^2 + m_{\rm eq}^2(x) \to m_0^2 + m_{\rm eq}^2(x) + \Delta m_{\rm th}^2(x)} = \left[\exp\left(\sqrt{\mathbf{k}^2 + m_0^2 + m_{\rm eq}^2(x) + \Delta m_{\rm th}^2(x)}\beta(x)\right) - 1 \right]^{-1}$$

correction from the nonequilibrium thermal mass

$$\Delta f = \delta f - \beta f_0 (1 + f_0) \frac{\Delta m_{\rm th}^2}{2E_k}$$

Nonequilibrium deviation from the equilibrium distribution function

Equilibrium vs. nonequilibrium thermal mass

1

Equilibrium:

Nonequilibrium:

$$m_{\rm eq}^2 = \frac{\lambda(q_0)}{2}q_0$$

$$m_{\rm th}^2(q) = m_{\rm th}^2(q_0 + \Delta q) = m_{\rm eq}^2(q_0) + \Delta m_{\rm th}^2 \qquad \Delta m_{\rm th}^2 = \frac{dm_{\rm eq}^2}{dq_0}\Delta q$$

$$q_0 = \int dK f_0 \qquad \qquad q = \int d\mathcal{K} f \qquad \qquad \Delta q = \int dK \delta f + \frac{\partial q_0}{\partial m_{\rm eq}^2} \Delta m_{\rm th}^2$$

Solving self-consistently:

Full form of the correction to the distribution function:

$$\Delta m_{\rm th}^2 = 2T^2 \frac{dm_{\rm eq}^2}{dT^2} \frac{\int dK \delta f}{\beta \int dK E_k f_0 (1+f_0)}$$

$$\Delta f = \delta f - T^2 \frac{dm_{eq}^2}{dT^2} \frac{f_0(1+f_0)}{E_k} \frac{\int dK \delta f}{\int dK E_k f_0(1+f_0)}$$

1 9

Nonequilibrium deviation from the equilibrium distribution function

Thermal mass – temperature dependence

For any theory with $m_{
m eq}^2 = \mathcal{O}(\lambda T^2)$ $\lambda \ll 1$

$$\beta_{\lambda} \equiv \beta(\lambda) = T \frac{d\lambda(q_0)}{dT} \qquad \qquad \beta_{\lambda} = \mathcal{O}(\lambda^2)$$

Temperature dependence of the thermal mass in weakly interacting systems:

$$T^2 \frac{dm_{\rm eq}^2}{dT^2} = m_{\rm eq}^2 + aT^2 \beta_\lambda$$

Local equilibrium hydrodynamics

Energy-momentum tensor:

$$T_0^{\mu\nu} = \int dK k^{\mu} k^{\nu} f_0 - g^{\mu\nu} U_0$$

- thermodynamic consistency of hydrodynamic equations
- conservation of energy and momentum

$$dU_0 = \frac{q_0}{2} dm_e^2$$

Energy density and pressure:

 $T_0^{\mu\nu} = \epsilon_0 u^\mu u^\nu - P_0 \Delta^{\mu\nu}$

$$\epsilon_{0} = \bar{\epsilon}_{0} - U_{0}, \qquad \bar{\epsilon}_{0} = \int dK (u_{\mu}k^{\mu})^{2} f_{0}$$
$$P_{0} = \bar{P}_{0} + U_{0} \qquad \bar{P}_{0} = -\frac{1}{3} \int dK \Delta^{\mu\nu} k_{\mu} k_{\nu} f_{0}$$

- Enthalpy not changed: $\bar{\epsilon}_0 + \bar{P}_0 = \epsilon_0 + P_0$
- Thermodynamic relation satisfied: $Ts_0 = T \frac{dP_0}{dT} = \epsilon_0 + P_0$

Nonequilibrium hydrodynamics

Energy-momentum tensor:

Non-equilibrium mean-field contribution $T^{\mu\nu} = \int d\mathcal{K}\tilde{k}^{\mu}\tilde{k}^{\nu}f - g^{\mu\nu}U$

$$U = U_0 + \Delta U \qquad \Delta U = \frac{q_0}{2}$$

All quantities contain nonequilibrium thermal mass correction

 $T^{\mu\nu} = T_0^{\mu\nu} + \Delta T^{\mu\nu}$

Particular components:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta T^{00} &= \int dK E_k^2 \Delta f \\ \Delta T^{0i} &= \int dK E_k k^i \Delta f \\ \Delta T^{ij} &= \int dK k^i k^j \Delta f - \frac{\Delta m_{\rm th}^2}{2} \int dK \frac{k^i k^j}{E_k^2} f_0 + \delta^{ij} \frac{\Delta m_{\rm th}^2}{2} \int dK f_0 dK f_0 \end{aligned}$$

 $\Delta m_{\rm th}^2$

Nonequilibrium hydrodynamics – local rest frame

Landau matching is defined by the eigenvalue problem $u_{\mu}T^{\mu\nu} = \epsilon u^{\nu}$

Landau matching conditions:

$$\int dK E_k k^i \delta f = 0 \qquad \qquad \int dK \left[E_k^2 - T^2 \frac{dm_{\rm eq}^2}{dT^2} \right] \delta f = 0$$

Contains the medium correction

Viscous corrections:

$$\Delta T^{ij} = \int dK k^i k^j \delta f$$

$$\pi^{ij} = \int dK k^{\langle i} k^{j \rangle} \delta f$$
$$\Pi = \frac{1}{3} \int dK \mathbf{k}^2 \delta f$$

Known structures but x-dependent mass enters the equations

Nonequilibrium hydrodynamics – general frame

Energy-momentum tensor:

$$T^{\mu\nu} = \int dK k^{\mu} k^{\nu} f_0 - g^{\mu\nu} U_0 + \int dK \left[k^{\mu} k^{\nu} - u^{\mu} u^{\nu} T^2 \frac{dm_{\rm eq}^2}{dT^2} \right] \delta f$$

Landau matching condition:

$$\int dK \left[(u_{\mu}k^{\mu})k^{\nu} - u^{\nu}T^2 \frac{dm_{\rm eq}^2}{dT^2} \right] \delta f = 0$$

Viscous corrections:

$$\pi^{\mu\nu} = \int dK k^{\langle\mu} k^{\nu\rangle} \delta f$$
$$\Pi = -\frac{1}{3} \int dK \Delta_{\mu\nu} k^{\mu} k^{\nu} \delta f$$

$$A^{\langle\mu\nu\rangle} \equiv \Delta^{\mu\nu}_{\alpha\beta} A^{\alpha\beta}$$
$$\Delta^{\mu\nu}_{\alpha\beta} \equiv (\Delta^{\mu}_{\alpha}\Delta^{\nu}_{\beta} + \Delta^{\mu}_{\beta}\Delta^{\nu}_{\alpha} - 2/3\Delta^{\mu\nu}\Delta_{\alpha\beta})/2$$

Methods

QUANTUM FIELD THEORY

Kubo formulas

Jeon: PRD 52 (1995) 3591 Moore, Sohrabi: PRL 106 (2011) 122302, JHEP 1211 (2012) 148

KINETIC THEORY

• Chapman-Enskog approach $f=f_0+f_1+f_2+\dots$ Jeor

Solving Boltzmann equation with a full collision kernel

Anderson-Witting model (relaxation time approximation)

methods of moments (14-moment approx.)

Jeon, Yaffe: PRD 53 (1996) 5799 Arnold, Moore, Yaffe: JHEP 0011 (2000) 001, JHEP 0301 (2003) 030, JHEP 0305 (2003) 051, York, Moore: PRD 79 (2009) 054011

Denicol et al: PRL 105 (2010) 162501, EPJA 48 (2012) 170, PRD 85 (2012) 114047, PRC 90 (2014) 024912

In this work we employ kinetic theory approaches in the relaxation time approximation

On the way to compute bulk viscosity (and other transport coefficients)

Anderson-Witting model

Boltzmann equation in the Anderson-Witting model

$$\left(\tilde{k}^{\mu}\partial_{\mu} - \mathcal{E}_{k}\nabla\mathcal{E}_{k}\cdot\nabla_{k}\right)f = -\frac{(u\cdot\tilde{k})}{\tau_{R}}\Delta f$$

LHS of the Boltzmann equation dictates the form of RHS

$$\begin{split} \delta f(k) &= f_0(k)(1 + f_0(k))\phi(k) \\ \Delta f(k) &= f_0(k)(1 + f_0(k)) \left(\phi(k) - \frac{T^2}{E_k} \frac{dm_{\rm eq}^2}{dT^2} \frac{\int dK \phi(k) f_0(k)(1 + f_0(k))}{\int dK E_k f_0(k)(1 + f_0(k))}\right) \\ \phi &= \phi_{\rm s} + \phi_{\rm b} \quad \text{(shear part + bulk part)} \end{split}$$

Anderson-Witting model: shear viscosity

Solution of the A-W model for the shear part: $\phi_s(k) = -\frac{\tau_R}{TE_k} k^{\langle j} k^{i \rangle} \partial_j u_i$ $\delta f = f_0 (1 + f_0) \phi$

Shear viscosity can be computed using: $\pi^{ij} = 2\eta \sigma^{ij}$ $\pi^{ij} = \int dK k^{\langle i} k^{j \rangle} \delta f$

 $\frac{\eta}{\tau_R} = \beta J_{3,2} \qquad \frac{\eta}{\tau_R} = \frac{\epsilon_0 + P_0}{5} \qquad \begin{array}{l} \text{Shear viscosity is not influenced by} \\ \text{the mean field in the leading order} \end{array}$ For quantum gas: $J_{n,q} = a_q \int dK (u \cdot k)^{n-2q} (-\Delta_{\mu\nu} k^{\mu} k^{\nu})^q f_0(k) (1 + f_0(k))$ For classical gas: $J_{n,q} \to I_{n,q} \qquad I_{n,q} = a_q \int dK (u \cdot k)^{n-2q} (-\Delta_{\mu\nu} k^{\mu} k^{\nu})^q f_{0,c}(k)$

17

A. CZAJKA, 3 AUG 2018, MAYNOOTH UNIVERSITY

Anderson-Witting model: bulk viscosity

Solution of the A-W model for the bulk part:

$$\phi_{\rm b}(k) = \beta \tau_R(\partial_i u^i) (c_s^2 - 1/3) \left(E_k - \frac{1}{E_k} \frac{J_{3,0} - T^2 (dm_{\rm eq}^2/dT^2) J_{1,0}}{J_{1,0} - T^2 (dm_{\rm eq}^2/dT^2) J_{-1,0}} \right) \qquad \delta f = f_0 (1 + f_0) \phi$$

Bulk viscosity can be computed using:
$$\Pi = -\zeta \partial_i u^i$$
 $\Pi = M \int dK \delta f$

Nonconformality parameter:

Microscopic:
$$M = -\frac{1}{3} \left(m_0^2 - a\beta_\lambda T^2 \right)$$
 $a - \text{const}$
Macroscopic: $c_s^2 = \frac{dP_0/dT}{d\epsilon_0/dT}$ $ightarrow \frac{1}{3} - c_s^2 = -\frac{MJ_{1,0}}{J_{3,0} - T^2(dm_{eq}^2/dT^2)J_{1,0}}$

Anderson-Witting model: bulk viscosity

Bulk viscosity of the Boltzmann (classical) gas:

$$\frac{\zeta_{\text{Boltz}}}{\tau_R} \approx T^4 \left(\frac{1}{3} - c_s^2\right)^2 \left(\frac{60}{\pi^2} - \frac{36m_x}{\pi T}\right)$$

Bulk viscosity of the Bose-Einstein (quantum) gas:

$$\frac{\zeta}{\tau_R} \approx T^4 \left(\frac{1}{3} - c_s^2\right)^2 \left(\frac{2\pi^3 T}{25m_x} - \frac{4\pi^2}{75} \left(1 - \frac{9m_{\rm eq}^2}{8m_x^2}\right)\right)$$

Effect of the cut-off of infrared divergencies

Relaxation time approximation can be too crude to obtain a reliable form of bulk viscosity

14-moment approximation

We need equation of motion for Π

- Boltzmann equation dictates the form of $\, u^\mu \partial_\mu \Delta f$
- Collision term in relaxation time approximation: $C[f] = -(u \cdot k) \frac{\Delta f}{\tau_R}$
- 14-moment approximation needed to close the equation in terms of $\,\Pi\,$ and $\,\pi^{\mu
 u}$

$$\int dK (u^{\alpha} k_{\alpha})^{n} \delta f \rightarrow \gamma_{n}^{(0)} \Pi$$
$$\int dK (u^{\alpha} k_{\alpha})^{n} k^{\langle \mu} k^{\nu \rangle} \delta f \rightarrow \gamma_{n}^{(2)} \pi^{\mu \nu}$$

 $\gamma_n^{(0)}, \; \gamma_n^{(2)}$ - combinations of thermal integrals

14-moment approximation

The structure of EoM:

$$\dot{\Pi} + \frac{\Pi}{\tau_R} = -\frac{\zeta\theta}{\tau_R} - \frac{\delta_{\Pi\Pi}}{\tau_R}\theta\Pi + \frac{\lambda_{\Pi\pi}}{\tau_R}\pi^{\mu\nu}\sigma_{\mu\nu}$$

 $rac{\zeta}{ au_R}$ - the same as evaluated within Anderson-Witting model

$rac{\delta_{\Pi\Pi}}{ au_R}$ - has a compilcated structure but strongly affected by factor T/m_x coming from IR cut-off for Bose-Einstein gas

$$\frac{\lambda_{\Pi\pi}}{\tau_R} \propto 1/3 - c_s^2$$

Conclusions

- Fully consistent incorporation of thermal mean field in the hydrodynamical description of the dynamics of one-component systems
- The form of the nonequilibrium correction to the distribution function found
- The physics of bulk viscosity studied for the Boltzmann and Bose-Einstein gases
- Bulk viscosity is of the expected parametric form for the classical gas in the relaxation time approximation
- Relaxation time approximation can be too crude to study bulk viscosity of the quantum gases with Bose-Einstein distribution