THE UNIVERSITY of York 

Probing isospin non-conserving interactions in nuclei through study of isobaric triplets

David Jenkins



THE UNIVERSITY of York



#### For T=1 triplets:

$$
MED_J = E_{J,T_z=-1}^* - E_{J,T_z=+1}^*.
$$

where states are characterised by the same isospin quan-term is the same isospin quan-term is the same isospin<br>The same isospin quan-term is the same is the same

tum number *T*. The simplest case are *T* = 1 triplets, in

**forces are isovector and sensitive MIrror energy differences are isovector and sensitive** single-particle Coulomb shifts, electromagnetic spin-orbit interaction, changes of shape/radius of nuclei  $b = 1$ *f777/2 Shell Mirror energy differences are isovector and sensitive to:* 

$$
TED_J = E_{J,T_z=-1}^* + E_{J,T_z=+1}^* - 2E_{J,T_z=0}^*.
$$

TEDs are in the interesting are in the interest and probe and probe and probe and probe and probe a differences and probe a d

Isotensor energy differences reflecting differences between nn, pp and pn force. Not sensitive to one-body terms but only two-body i.e. sensitive to Coulomb multipole and isospin-nonconserving forces and the np interaction. The np interaction  $\mathcal{L}_\text{max}$  is a special property and  $\mathcal{L}_\text{max}$ 

interaction and so TEDs have the capability to shed light to shed light to shed light to shed light to shed li

on the balance between the balance between the balance between the noted between the  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{S}}$ 

that this interpretation of the TED assumes perfect sym-

metry between the wavefunctions of the IAS.

#### Shapes of N=Z nuclei

#### Very Prolate Oblate Triaxial

#### Very, very sensitive to underlying quantum structure…

The original phenomonological "M-M" theory, 42 (Microscopic Macroscopic) was very sound. 40 PROTON NUMBER  $|38|$ P. Moller and J.R. Nix. At. Nuc. Data Tables, 26 (1981) 1965 36 S. Aberg. Phys Scr. 25 (1982) 23 W. Nazarewicz. Nucl. Phys A435 (1985) 397. 34 R. Bengtsson. Conf on the structure in the zirconium region, 1988 32 {Classic "Potential Energy Surface" calculations  $30<sub>l</sub>$  …. BUT 28 34 36  $38<sup>1</sup>$  $40$  $42$  $46$ 32 44 **NEUTRON NUMBER** 

> The whole concept of isolated "shapes" is naive: there are multiple shapes with lots of mixing, as the barriers between shapes are not high.

## Recoil-decay tagging





#### RDT Instrumentation at JYFL



Triggerless data acquisition system with 10 ns time stamping



#### Identification of  $T = 0$  and  $T = 1$  Bands in the  $N = Z = 37$  Nucleus <sup>74</sup>Rb

D. Rudolph,<sup>1,\*</sup> C.J. Gross,<sup>2,3</sup> J.A. Sheikh,<sup>4</sup> D.D. Warner,<sup>5</sup> I.G. Bearden,<sup>6</sup> R.A. Cunningham,<sup>5</sup> D. Foltescu,<sup>7</sup> W. Gelletly,  $\hat{\delta}$  F. Hannachi, 5, † A. Harder, <sup>1</sup> T. D. Johnson, <sup>1, ‡</sup> A. Jungclaus, <sup>1</sup> M. K. Kabadiyski, <sup>1</sup> D. Kast, <sup>1</sup> K. P. Lieb, <sup>1</sup> H. A. Roth,<sup>7</sup> T. Shizuma,<sup>6</sup> J. Simpson,<sup>5</sup> Ö. Skeppstedt,<sup>7</sup> B. J. Varley,<sup>9</sup> and M. Weiszflog<sup>1</sup>

#### Proof-of-principle

- $\bullet$  natCa (36Ar, pn) 74Rb
- $\bullet$  E<sub>beam</sub> = 103 MeV
- $\tau_{\frac{1}{2}}$ (<sup>74</sup>Rb) = 65 ms
- $\cdot$   $\beta$ <sup>+</sup><sub>endpoint</sub> ~ 10 MeV
- σ ~ 10 µb



#### Proof-of-principle

- $\bullet$  natCa (36Ar, pn) 74Rb
- $\bullet$  E<sub>beam</sub> = 103 MeV
- $\tau_{\frac{1}{2}}$ (<sup>74</sup>Rb) = 65 ms
- $\cdot$   $\beta$ <sup>+</sup><sub>endpoint</sub> ~ 10 MeV
- σ ~ 10 µb



74Rb



### Unknown case:78Y

- Nothing known about <sup>78</sup>Y except 0+ superallowed decay and (5+) betadecaying isomer
- RBT technique applied using 40Ca(40Ca,pn)<sup>78</sup>Y reaction
- **Cross-section should be very similargent** to <sup>74</sup>Rb
- 90% of flux proceeds to low-lying isomer
- Isomer is too long-lived for effective tagging

![](_page_11_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Figure_0.jpeg)

B.S. Nara Singh et al., Phys. Rev. C 75, 061301 (2007).

![](_page_13_Figure_0.jpeg)

B.S. Nara Singh et al., Phys. Rev. C 75, 061301 (2007).

## Crossing the line of N=Z

![](_page_15_Picture_0.jpeg)

- Designed to suppress events associated with cp evaporation channels.
- Consists of 96 20 x 20 mm CsI crystals (Hamamatsu) divided into 6 flanges (8 x 2) crystals in each flange).
- Signal chain: Mesytech preamplifiers -> "GObox" -> Lyrtech ADCs.
- Measured detection efficiency for 1 charged particle is 80-90 %.

![](_page_15_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_6.jpeg)

#### New DSSD

- As RITU is designed to operate on heavy mass regions, recoil separation is not anymore optimal in the  $A^{\sim}70$  region.
- Recoil distribution is focused on the right hand side of the DSSD (beam and scattered components follow closely the recoil distribution so it can not be centered).
- 8 kHz rate is impinged only on the half of the active area of the DSSD which in turn increases risk of random correlations!
- Device was tested with  $^{28}Si + ^{40}Ca$  reaction at  $E_b = 75$  MeV with various different beam intensities (simultaneously with phoswich or planar ge set-up). New DSSD design

![](_page_16_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_6.jpeg)

- Only right hand side works as an active detector.
- Consists of  $120 \times 80$  strips with strip pitch of 0.480 mm
- 500 mm thick
- In total ~10000 pixels!
- $\rightarrow$  0.8 Hz recoil rate / pixel.

#### Slides from Panu Ruotsalainen

### Phoswich scintillator

- High/low energy beta-particle detection and discrimination: Direct energy & full pile-up discrimination
- Beta/gamma discrimination
- Discriminations can be done on the basis of pulse shape analysis.

![](_page_17_Picture_4.jpeg)

- BC-404: rise time  $\sim$  0.7 ns, decay time  $\sim$  1.8 ns, light output 68 % of anthracene
- BC-444: rise time  $\sim$  19.5 ns, decay time  $\sim$  285 ns, light output 41 % of anthracene

![](_page_18_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_101.jpeg)

First spectroscopy of <sup>66</sup>Se and <sup>65</sup>As: Investigating shape coexistence beyond the  $N = Z$  line

A. Obertelli<sup>a,\*</sup>, T. Baugher<sup>b</sup>, D. Bazin<sup>b</sup>, S. Boissinot<sup>a</sup>, J.-P. Delaroche<sup>d</sup>, A. Dijon<sup>e</sup>, F. Flavigny<sup>a</sup>, A. Gade<sup>b,c</sup>, M. Girod<sup>d</sup>, T. Glasmacher<sup>b,c</sup>, G.F. Grinyer<sup>b</sup>, W. Korten<sup>a</sup>, J. Ljungvall<sup>a</sup>, S. McDaniel<sup>b,</sup>

<sup>a</sup> CEA, Centre de Saday, IRFU/Service de Physique Nucléaire, F-91191 Gif-sur-Yvette, France <sup>2</sup> Len, centre are satury, two service are rinyalge viaceance, r-91191 Gp<br><sup>b</sup> Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI, USA<br><sup>c</sup> Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI, USA<br><sup>d</sup> CEA, DAM, DIF, F-91297 Arpajon, Franc

ar National d'Ions Lourds, CEA/DSM-CNRS/IN2P3, BP 55027, F-14076 Caen Cedex 5, France

![](_page_18_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Figure_1.jpeg)

breaking in the upper *fp* shell. To reproduce the experimental

74

66, 70, 74, and 78. Data are taken from Refs. [19– 22].

MED for the 6<sup>+</sup> state, the *J* = 2 INC pairing interaction

alone may be used, as discussed in Refs. [9,34]. As seen as discussed in Refs. [9,34]. As seen as seen as seen

*J* = 2 and TED for *J* = 4, although it reproduces the MED

data for *J* = 6. From Fig. 2(b), it is clear that the experimental

FIG. 3. (Color online) Calculated CED for mass numbers *A* =

TED patterns are quite nicely reproduced by the *J* = 0 INC

![](_page_21_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### Prospects with MARA

![](_page_22_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Figure_0.jpeg)

 10 a)

-100 -80 -60 -40 -20 0 20 40 60 80 100 x at mass slit 1 [mm]

 $0 \nightharpoonup$  100

5

15

20

25

30

![](_page_23_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_0.jpeg)

## New UoYtube

![](_page_24_Picture_2.jpeg)

Change from CsI(Tl) to fast plastic plus silicon photomultiplier (SiPM)

No need to preamplify signals significant simplification to electronics chain

Very fast counting rates possible

![](_page_24_Picture_6.jpeg)

# Future directions

- Using MARA should be significantly cleaner for 2n channel:
	- A/q selection can remove 3p channel completely and this was the largest contaminant of our spectra
	- New UoYtube may provide improved vetoing
	- MARA is optimised for symmetric reactions
	- Scattered beam may be much less limiting
	- We can carry out a real excitation function as we were limited before by which recoils RITU could transport
	- Focal plane silicon detector is large at least two charged states may be collected
- Accelerated ISOL beams:
	- Unsafe Coulomb excitation to populate levels for mirror symmetry comparison (IOP workshop of Nara Singh - 16/1/18 Manchester)
	- Safe Coulomb excitation to obtain and compare EM matrix elements across isospin multiplets

## **Conclusions**

New techniques developed to study structure of nuclei beyond the line of N=Z:

- Beta-tagging
- Charged particle veto
- Highly-pixellated silicon detectors

Results obtained on excited states of N=Z-2 nuclei: <sup>66</sup>Se, <sup>70</sup>Kr and <sup>74</sup>Sr

TED extracted and compared with shell model calculations

TED appear to need additional isospin-nonconserving component to reproduce them as earlier shown in  $f_{7/2}$  shell

TED can be reproduced using 100 keV INC term irrespective of orbitals involved e.g. fp for <sup>66</sup>Se and g<sub>9/2</sub> for <sup>74</sup>Rb

What is the origin of this INC component in terms of nuclear force?

Prospects to extend studies to e.g. 78Zr are very favourable with MARA