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The original BOINC model

- Scientists
  - lots of them (100s or 1000s) will create BOINC projects
  - They’ll compete for volunteers by doing PR and making great web sites describing their research
The original model

- Volunteers
  - periodically survey the project web sites
  - rank projects based on
    - the importance of the science area to them
    - the credentials of the scientists
  - dynamically choose projects based on rankings
The original model

- Dynamic ecosystem of competing projects
- Project get computing power in proportion to their (apparent) merit
  - otherwise infeasible research gets done
  - high-risk research gets done
- The public learns about science
- A big fraction of global computing power does science
The original model

We’ve had considerable success, but:

- The set of projects has been small and static, and includes little mainstream U.S. science
- The set of volunteers
  - small, narrow, declining
  - project lock-in
  - motivated largely by credit
Why so few projects?

- High risk/reward
- Creating a BOINC project requires resources that few research groups have
- Few scientists know about volunteer computing
Why few/static volunteers?

- Evaluating projects is hard
- BOINC looks too complex in general
- Marketing volunteer computing is hard
  - too many brands
Other issues

- The HPC world views VC as a gimmick, and ignores it
- The computer science world ignores VC
- It’s hard (for me at least) to get funding
A new model

Goals

- Serve more scientists
- Get more volunteers
- Move VC toward the mainstream of computational science, HPC, computer science
  - get more smart people studying VC, developing BOINC, integrating BOINC
A new model

- **Scientists**
  - add VC to existing HPC facilities like supercomputer centers and portals

- **Volunteers**
  - create an interface to VC based on science goals rather than projects
  - create a unified brand (not ‘BOINC’)
Adding VC to HPC

- **Texas Advanced Computing Center (TACC)**
  - ~20% of jobs can run on VC
  - “launcher”: cmdline tool for running job batches

- **nanoHUB**
  - portal for nanoscience
  - web interface to ~30 standard apps
  - uncertainty quantification: lots of jobs
  - currently use small cluster and AWS
Adding VC to HPC

- BOINC “universal app”: vboxwrapper + Docker-player VM image
  - Docker image and input files are in workunit
- TACC and nanoHUB already package apps as Docker images
- We can support 1000s of apps and scientists with no incremental work!
Adding VC to HPC

- Remote job submission
  - each job in a batch can have its own templates
- Remote file management
- Identity mapping
Attributes of a job

- What area of research does it contribute to?
- Where (geographically and institutionally) are the researchers?

For projects like TACC, these attributes are per-job, not per app or project
What can we use these attributes for

- show project attributes in list
- show user info about jobs they’re running
- let users filter work from a given project
- do accounting of computing power per science area
- let users express preferences at the top level
Keyword architecture

- Keywords have:
  - short and long names (dynamic)
  - integer ID and symbol (static)
  - hierarchy level and parent ID (dynamic)
  - category
    - science area
    - location
Keywords

- There must be a single, authoritative keyword list
- boinc/doc/keywords.inc
- community-based selection of keywords and hierarchy
Keywords for job/project selection

- Each user can express a yes/maybe/no preference per keyword (e.g. in TBD or elsewhere)
- Each project has a list of (keyword, work fraction) and an optional list of keywords per job
- Don’t attach user to project with a “no” keyword with work fraction 1.
- Don’t send jobs with “no”keywords
TBD

- A volunteer interface based on keywords rather than projects.
- A resource allocation mechanism
- A brand for marketing volunteer computing
TBD Architecture

TBD
- Web site
- DB
- AM RPC
- Projects
TBD: accounting

- TBD maintains daily history for
  - total
  - project
  - user

- Tracked quantities
  - REC (CPU, GPU)
  - runtime (CPU, GPU)
  - jobs (success, fail)
TBD: Allocation

- Linear allocation model
  - supports both continuous and bursty demands
  - possibly supports QoS guarantees
TBD: Allocation

Goals

- Respect user preferences
- Respect project allocations
- Maximize total throughput
- Minimize projects per host
TBD: Project allocation

- Might involve
  - National Science Foundation (XSEDE)
  - EU commission
  - others as appropriate

- Possible criteria
  - # scientists served
  - merit of research
is a unified brand
  – for marketing
  – for co-promotion
  – endorsed by trusted government agencies

lets grant proposals include no-risk VC component

supports sporadic or 1-time computing needs
Names

- Not ‘BOINC’
- Sigma / Scigma \( \Sigma \)
- Sciphon
- Sciborg
- SCION
- Onboard
One-click install

New user scenario:

- Fill out account form (email, science prefs)
- Click “Join”
  - redirects to boinc.berkeley.edu/concierge.php
  - downloads appropriate client installer
  - encodes AM/account info in installer filename
  - BOINC client finds this
  - welcome dialog, no login dialog
- This mechanism can be used by projects too!
TBD Status

- Funded by NSF (UCB/Purdue/TACC) through 6/20
- Most of the technology has been developed
  - Github: davidpanderson/scienceunited
- UCB server/URL (scion.berkeley.edu)