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Girls are less likely than boys to take up science subjects in high school, in western countries.

At age 15, 60% of the lowest achievers in mathematics, reading and science are boys, 40% are girls.

In 6 out of 10 countries boys continue to perform better in mathematics than their female peers.

Scientific fact: “Perceptions and expectations influence the performance of students.”

Girls - even high achievers - lack confidence in mathematics.

2 in 3 girls vs 1 in 2 boys report often worrying that it will be difficult for them in mathematics classes.

Four times the number of boys as girls consider a career in engineering and computing.
Students expecting a career in science
by performance and enjoyment of learning

![Graph showing the percentage of students expecting a career in science by performance and enjoyment of learning.](image)

- Low enjoyment of science
- Moderate enjoyment of science
- High enjoyment of science

Score points in science vs. Percentage of students expecting a career in science.
Boys and girls’ expectation of a science career

Students who expect to work as...

- science and engineering professionals
- health professionals
- information and communication technology (ICT) professionals
- science-related technicians or associate professionals

Boys
- 12.0% science and engineering professionals
- 5.8% health professionals
- 4.7% information and communication technology (ICT) professionals
- 2.1% science-related technicians or associate professionals

Girls
- 5.2% science and engineering professionals
- 17.0% health professionals
- 0.4% information and communication technology (ICT) professionals
- 0.8% science-related technicians or associate professionals

PISA Study 2015, Excellence and Equity in Education
Education choices

Gender parity across disciplines: still a long way to go
% of women entering tertiary-level studies in OECD countries (2015)

- Education: 78%
- Health and welfare: 76%
- Social sciences, journalism and information: 64%
- Arts and humanities: 63%
- Business, admin. and law: 54%
- Natural sciences, maths and stats: 50%
- Engineering: 24%
- ICT: 19%
Education choices

- Secondary education programmes graduates: 45% male – 55% female
- Bachelor's degree graduates: 58% Female – 42% female
  - BSc. in education, humanities and social sciences: 64% Female
  - BSc. in sciences and engineering: 31% Female
Gender distribution in various professions at CERN

- Science and Engineering:
  - Female: 16%
  - Male: 21%

- All professions:
  - Female: 21%
  - Male: 21%
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Brain plasticity

In the 19th century, the difference in brain sizes was a major argument to explain the hierarchy between men and women, and was supposed to reflect innate differences in mental capacity.

Nowadays, our understanding of the human brain has progressed dramatically with the demonstration of cerebral plasticity. The new brain imaging techniques have revealed the role of the environment in continually re-shaping our brain all along our lifetimes as it goes through new experiences and acquires new knowledge.
How does a scientist look like?

Stereotypes in science

Looking up the word “physicist” on the web

“Sketching scientists!”

In the 1960s and 1970s, 1% of students would draw a woman scientist. Today, roughly 1 out of 3 does.

C. Vidal, 2005:
“A human being is firstly a product of his / her own social & cultural history

Image: CERN

→ Remember: science textbooks and resources matter!
Stereotypes

- Stereotypes are ready-made representations to filter our experience of the world.
- Stereotypes can be positive or negative, accurate or not, justified or unjustified.
- Many stereotyped beliefs are acquired at an early age.
- They are called up almost automatically.
- Persons may experience anxiety knowing that they are a target of prejudice and stereotypes.
- Capable individuals within a group may unconsciously conform to their group’s negative stereotype.
Unconscious bias

Refers to a bias that we are unaware of, and which happens outside of our control.

It is a bias that happens automatically and is triggered by our brain making quick judgments and assessments of people and situations, influenced by our background, cultural environment and personal experiences.

• Halo Effect: our overall impression of a person influences performance evaluation

• Confirmation Bias: individuals tend to search for, interpret, focus on and remember information in a way that confirms their preconceptions

Take the Harvard University Implicit Association Test!
How do we make decisions?

**SYSTEM 1 THINKING**
Automatic system

- Effortless
- Impression, feelings
- Uncontrolled, Fast
- Prone to visual illusions
- Jump to conclusions

**SYSTEM 2 THINKING**
Reflective system

- Effortful
- Conscious decision
- Problem solving
- Thinks statistically
- Can be invoked to control biases

Daniel Kahneman, Thinking fast and slow

System 1 thinking involves associating new information with existing patterns, or thoughts, rather than creating new patterns for each new experience.
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Creating an enabling environment

Equitable = not treating everyone the same,  
But creating an environment which allows everyone to give of their best…

Picture taken from https://www.getsmartoregon.org/about-smart/equity/
Gender effects

In STEM context, female students are:

• More likely than men to attribute success to hard work or luck rather than skill

• More likely to blame themselves for a lack of success than are male students

• Require higher grades to persist in a field than men do

• Tend to feel less comfortable in public debate, are more likely to be interrupted

• Tend to phrase their comments in a hesitant manner
An investigation into the impact of question structure on the performance of first year physics undergraduate students at the University of Cambridge

The Department investigated the impact of exam question style on the performance of first year Natural Sciences students who took physics as one of their options.

Their findings? **Scaffolded type questions significantly improve the performance of both men and women from all school backgrounds**, with the women benefiting more than men. In a group of 77 female students, the average exam mark increases by 13.4% for scaffolded questions.

**Traditional university question:**

A potential difference of $2.1 \pm 0.1 \text{ V}$ is applied across a resistor of resistance $4.7 \pm 0.1 \text{ \Omega}$ for $55 \pm 1 \text{ s}$. Calculate the energy dissipated, together with its uncertainty. [5 points]

**Scaffolded question (taking students through a progression):**

(a) Write down an expression for the power dissipated in a resistor when a voltage is applied across it. [1 point]

(b) A potential difference of $2.1 \pm 0.1 \text{ V}$ is applied across a resistor of resistance $4.7 \pm 0.1 \text{ \Omega}$ for $55 \pm 1 \text{ s}$. Calculate the energy dissipated. [2 points]

(c) Find an expression for the fractional uncertainty in the energy dissipated and hence calculate the uncertainty in your previous result. [2 points]
Science engagement
and gender equality

People from all over the world
celebrate the Girls in ICT Day every year
organising events, workshops & programs
to encourage women to consider careers in ICT

“Could you take part?”

To date, over 300,000 girls and young women have taken part in more than 9,000 celebrations of International Girls in ICT Day in 166 countries worldwide.
Science engagement and gender equality

Numerous initiatives are held locally and globally; to spark the interest of female students in science. A few examples below:

- Girls in ICT Day:

- International Day of Women and Girls in Science:

- Expanding your Horizons:
  [http://eyhn.org](http://eyhn.org)

Find out what’s happening in your country / region!
How can we do better?

Re-thinking teaching methods

Find out more on building an inclusive classroom:

• Institute of Physics resources, on the matter: http://www.iop.org/education/teacher/support/girls_physics/resources/page_63821.html

• A leaflet with advice for teachers, on the topic http://diversity.web.cern.ch/2016/08/gender-inclusive-teaching-2016-high-school-teacher-programme

Join the Inclusive Physics group, a community of practice: https://www.facebook.com/groups/2014703878781663/

Contribute in one of CERN’s four core missions: “training the scientists of tomorrow”
Thank you!

Questions ?
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