



MW & experimentware survey

- Service map
 - <http://gridmap.cern.ch/ccrc08/servicemap.html>
 - Tick List
 - Lots of red
 - Improve software, procedures, deployment
 - Test Status
 - Lots of blue (no test results), white (no data source)
 - Add tests (or run the ones that exist already)
 - What can we learn from the common middleware?
- WLCG Service Coordination Meeting Dec. 20, 2005 (!)
 - <http://indico.cern.ch/conferenceDisplay.py?confId=a056628>
 - “Availability Issues and Middleware Components”



“The song remains the same”

- How does the current middleware address service availability issues?
 - Or does not...
- Service availability from user perspective
 - Robustness
 - Maturity of single components
 - Redundancy
 - Avoid single points of failure
 - Avoid bottlenecks
 - Fail-over
 - Automatic
 - Or manual...
- Issues with basic components
 - Node types
 - Standard services
- Issues with high-level services



User Interface

- Runs no service daemons, but is a service itself, as grid entry point
- Its proper working may depend on peripheral services being up
 - CERN Ixplus UI depends on AFS
- In case of problems the user often can switch to another UI



BDII (Berkeley DB Information Index)

- Without BDII jobs etc. only can refer to “hard-coded” gLite services
 - Can use “-r” option in job submission
 - No requirements, no matchmaking
 - Can set LFC_HOST explicitly
- LCG_GFAL_INFOSYS now is an ordered list of BDII endpoints
 - GFAL automatically fails over to the next BDII
- A WMS/RB is statically configured to use a certain BDII
 - Flexibility might reduce performance
- Information system not safe against pollution
 - Any site can define central LFC for any VO...

- Deployment recommendations
 - Put the BDII hosts behind a (load-balanced) rotating alias
 - lcg-bdii.cern.ch is an alias for bdii101, bdii102, ...
 - Do not mix BDII with node types that can experience high loads
 - BDII response time displays a non-linear dependency on the load
- BDII improvements
 - Indices
 - Flexibility with GIP
- Further GFAL improvements
 - Query timeout has been increased to 60 seconds
 - Queries have been made smarter
- List of sites (certified/production/monitored) updated hourly from GOC DB
 - Depends on connectivity of CERN and RAL

- WMS deployment recommendations
 - LB should be on different machine
 - Sandbox area should be put on its own file system
 - MySQL DB ditto, other work directories ditto
- Client selects random WMS out of a list
 - If the chosen WMS does not accept the request, another is tried
 - WMS will refuse new jobs e.g. when load too high or file system too full
- 3.1 WMS can sit behind a load-balanced alias, but...
 - Client needs smarter retry algorithm
- LB cannot yet sit behind a load-balanced alias
 - WMPProxy code to be adapted



WMS and LB (cont.)

- User cannot indicate which BDII(s) the WMS should consult
 - Flexibility might reduce performance
- WMS should support multiple PX servers
 - MyProxy RFE
- For a user-defined configuration the user must ensure the chosen WMS is trusted by the chosen PX server
- If the WMS is rebooted, jobs in steady state will not be affected
 - Jobs in transit may be lost
- If the WMS is unreachable, jobs that are finishing may be lost
 - Job wrapper script will try for a while to deliver the output sandbox
 - By default up to 5h 15min
 - Not important for pilot jobs

- WMS does not yet support CEs behind load-balanced aliases
 - Considered for CREAM, but not the LCG-CE
- Proxy renewal only tries VOMS server found in original proxy
- Proxy renewal daemon may hang when VOMS server has a problem
 - To be fixed in VOMS client code
 - Workaround available: periodic restart
- Purger is unreliable
 - Workaround available
- Output sandbox size cannot be limited
 - Fixed in 3.1
- Collection nodes may end up with empty input sandbox
 - Fix scheduled for first 3.1 update
- Workload Manager request input file can grow to very large size
 - New code uses a directory instead, to be certified



WMS and LB (cont.)

- SAM test to be reenabled
 - Disabled because of:
 - Reference CE overload (fixed)
 - Globus bug – bad WMS would cause process pile-up on reference CEs (fixed)
- Test should also be run by experiments



- The weakest link in the job submission chain
 - Relies on the grid_monitor to avoid high loads, but each job still needs a few processes at submission and cleanup
- Load spikes when:
 - Multiple users submit jobs destined to the same CE
 - Not an issue with multi-user pilot jobs
 - Many jobs finish at the same time
 - E.g. all exiting when some external service for the VO went down
 - Many jobs are canceled at the same time
- Patch 1752 (on PPS) provides significant performance improvements
 - Real scalability will come with CREAM (patch just entered certification)
- Deployment recommendations
 - Put site BDII on different node
 - Busy VOs should have dedicated CEs → fewer users per CE



LCG-CE (cont.)

- If CE is rebooted, jobs in steady state will not be affected
 - Jobs in transit may be lost
- CE cannot sit behind a load-balanced alias
 - WMS/RB code (Condor-G) would have to be fixed
 - Should become possible with CREAM
 - Site can advertize multiple equivalent CEs

- Job may fail if the WN does not provide enough disk space or memory
 - On a multi-core node one job may hinder another
 - By filling a file system
 - By causing excessive paging
 - Other job could run out of wall-clock time
 - By killing unrelated processes owned by the same user
 - By filling the open file or socket table
 - Can only be avoided by using a virtual machine per job
 - No known examples (?)
 - Virtual machines have their own deployment issues
- On failure the WMS may resubmit the job, to another site if possible
 - If the job requirements allow it
 - Deep (full) resubmission usually disabled by client for various reasons
 - Shallow resubmission should help
 - Job already failed before user payload started
 - Not relevant for pilot jobs



Worker Node (cont.)

- Environment sanity tested by SAM
 - Basic tests run by OPS
 - Test suite evolves
 - VOs to implement their own tests
 - E.g. check desired access to local SE

MyProxy Server (PX)

- PX software is stable
- Vital for long jobs using short proxies
 - WMS/RB jobs often submitted with proxies valid for a few days
 - Avoids problems with proxy renewal, at a small decrease of security
 - In the future services will refuse long proxies
 - FTS legacy mode obtains user proxy from PX
 - Downtime can cause many jobs to fail
- Jobs currently can only have a single PX server
 - Allowing a list → MyProxy RFE (no news since Oct.)
- User must ensure the WMS/FTS/VOBOX used is trusted by the chosen PX
 - Many popular WMS/FTS/VOBOX have been added to popular PX instances...
- Deployment recommendation
 - Linux HA (used @ CERN)
 - DNS load balancing being studied



FTS, SE

- See other sessions during this workshop!



LCG File Catalog

- LFC software and deployment documentation essentially stable
- Unavailability can cause many jobs to fail
 - In particular if the LFC is central
- SAM test for local (non-central) LFC instances to be added
 - LFC @ T1: ATLAS (rw), LHCb (ro)
- LFC_HOST ought to be an ordered list too

- VOMS server should have read-only replicas off-site
 - ATLAS have replica at BNL
 - Except for the Roles ?!
 - CERN setup is robust
 - <https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/LCG/VomsNodes>
 - Recent problems due to middleware and sensor issues

- SAM test to be improved
 - Also run by experiments

- VOBOX common software is essentially stable
 - gsiopenssh facility, proxy renewal service
- VO-specific software issues and requirements only known to VO !
 - Requirements may not be implementable by all sites
 - To be negotiated between VO and site
 - Downtimes could cause significant amounts of job failures etc.
 - Certain services might be replicated on another instance (on-site)
- Define VO-specific SAM tests



Monitoring

- See other sessions during this workshop!

- Critical for Freedom of Choice of Resources
 - Allows VOs to avoid sites in bad shape
 - When SAM service is down, the selection of sites is not updated
 - No automatic exclusion of sites that have gone bad
 - No automatic inclusion of sites that have recovered
- Critical for CIC-on-duty and site admins to discover and fix problems
- Only a single instance
 - Depends on connectivity of CERN
 - Hot spare nodes standby for front-end
 - DB uses RAC



- Monitors availability and sanity of site GIISes (site BDIIs) for SAM
- Vital tool for CIC-on-duty and site admins to discover and fix problems
- Supplies SAM with list of sites to be monitored
 - Obtained from GOC DB, cached
- Two instances
 - Primary @ ASGC
 - Secondary @ CNAF



Meta Middleware

- If my jobs fail, who will notice and do something about it ?
- If the failures are due to generic problems at a site:
 - CIC-on-duty might have things fixed unprompted by users
 - Two instances of CIC Operations Portal
 - Primary @ IN2P3
 - Secondary @ CNAF
- Generally a ticket should be opened with GGUS
 - Two instances
 - Primary @ FZK
 - Secondary near FZK (?)

- Middleware availability issues on various levels
 - Software readiness
 - Deployment procedures
 - Monitoring, by site and by VO
- Some fixes “easy” for significant gain
 - Better deployment documentation
 - More tests
- Others still require significant effort
 - Development, integration, certification
- VO applications will have to keep dealing with middleware failures
 - The grid is not a local batch/storage system