Heavy quark mass effects in associated production

Davide Napoletano, DIS2018, 17/04/2018

$$a \times b = c$$

$$a \times b = c$$

Calculation

Exp's result

$$a \times b = c$$

Extract

Calculation

Exp's result

Example: Factorisation (not the only one!)

$$a \times b = c$$

Extract

Calculation

Exp's result

$$\sum_{ab} \int \mathrm{d}x_1 \int \mathrm{d}x_2 \boxed{f_a(x_1)\, f_b(x_2)} \times \boxed{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{ab}} = \sigma$$

$$\sum_{ab} \int \mathrm{d}x_1 \int \mathrm{d}x_2 \, f_a(x_1) \, f_b(x_2) \times \mathrm{d}\sigma_{ab} = \sigma$$

- Def of *a* and *b*, is arbitrary as long as it is compensated in $\mathrm{d}\sigma$

- Extreme ex: only gluons in the proton, compute Drell-Yan

$$\int \mathrm{d}x_1 \int \mathrm{d}x_2\, f_g(x_1)\, f_g(x_2) \times$$



$$\int \mathrm{d}x_1 \int \mathrm{d}x_2\, f_g(x_1)\, f_g(x_2) \times$$



$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}$$

$$\int \mathrm{d}x_1 \int \mathrm{d}x_2\, f_g(x_1)\, f_g(x_2) \times$$



$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}$$

What if they're not massless? $\longrightarrow$ $\alpha_s^2 \log^2\left(\frac{\eta^2}{m^2}\right)$

$$\eta = p_T \sim 10 \text{ GeV}; \quad m = 2 \times 10^{-6} \text{ GeV}; \quad \log \sim 16 \sim \frac{1}{\alpha_s}$$



$\Longrightarrow$ $\alpha_S \log \frac{\eta^2}{m_b^2}$ $\times$



$\otimes$



$$\lim_{m_b^2/\eta^2 \to 0} f_g \otimes \hat{\sigma}_{Xg \to b\bar{b}Y} = \underbrace{\alpha_S \log \frac{\eta^2}{m_b^2} P_{qg} \otimes f_g}_{= \widetilde{b}(x,\mu^2)} \sigma_{Xb \to Y}$$

DGLAP equations:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d} f_b(x,\mu^2)}{\mathrm{d} \log \mu^2} = \alpha_s P_{qg} \otimes f_g \quad \rightarrow \quad f_b(x,\eta^2) = \alpha_s \log \frac{\eta^2}{m^2} P_{qg} \otimes f_g$$

at LL...

$$\int \mathrm{d}x_1 \int \mathrm{d}x_2 \, f_a(x_1, \mu^2) \, f_b(x_1, \mu^2) \, \mathrm{d}\sigma_{ab}(\mu^2) = \sigma$$

- Varying the scale simply shuffles terms around

- expansion in coupling makes everything more complicated

4F Scheme:



- LO more complicated
- possible log problems
- exact mass dep

5F Scheme:



- LO and HO easy, but not much info
- no log problems
- no mass dep…

4F Scheme:



- LO more complicated
- possible log problems
- exact mass dep

Better for differential observables

5F Scheme:



- LO and HO easy, but not much info
- no log problems
- no mass dep…

Better for inclusive ones

4F Scheme:



$$\alpha_s^2 \left[c^{(2)} \left(\frac{m^2}{\eta^2} \right) L^2 + c^{(1)} \left(\frac{m^2}{\eta^2} \right) L + \frac{m^2}{\eta^2} c^{(0)} + K \right]$$

5F Scheme:



$$\left(1 - e^{-\alpha_s^2 \left[c^{(2)} L^2 + c^{(1)} L^1 + K \right] + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^3)} \right)$$



4F and 5F have actually the same contributions…

Z prod … matched result





$$\sigma^{\text{matched}} = \sigma^{(5F)} + \sigma^{(4F)} - d.c.$$

$$\sigma^{\mathrm{FONLL}} - \sigma^{5F} = A\,\frac{m^2}{m_A^2} + K$$



- Inclusive XS, it does seem like 5F better approximation…

- Can we something on more differential obs?

- What to do for more complicated procs?

Massive 5F (5FMS):





Differences can be huge in some critical regions of phase-space



Not so much in more inclusive observables (now CKKW merging)



- 4F vs 5F scheme a fight on the rise again

- Neutral boson + HF seem to prefer the 5F

- 5F massive scheme to include mass effects

- Need further theoretical study…