

130

DESY

0

MINATV 0596

CA.MT

CLEAR

**Plasma Lens Experiment** 

A scientific collaboration between





# The CLEAR plasma lens experiment – overview and results

CLIC Workshop - Jan 24, 2018

Carl A. Lindstrøm

PhD Student University of Oslo, Department of Physics



Active plasma lenses



## CERN

#### What is an active plasma lens?

- Focusing in both planes simultaneously.
- Maxwell equations require a **longitudinal current density** to have azimuthal focusing.
- We can use a plasma to conduct a large current parallel to the beam as it passes.
  - => Uniform current density = an ideal/linear lens
- Can be up to 100 times stronger than conventional quadrupoles! (3500 T/m vs ~30 T/m)











#### Aberrations and emittance growth



# **1. Uneven plasma heating** => Non-uniform current density

- Large currents heat the plasma, but unevenly (colder close to the walls).
- High temperature plasma conducts current better
  => more current in the center.



#### **2. "Passive" plasma lensing** => Additional beam self-focusing

- Typically the beam transverse size is much larger in the lens than in the PWFA cell.
- However, if the electron beam is too intense, there will be a strong plasma wakefield.



The CLEAR\* plasma lens experiment<sup>+</sup>

- Several groups worldwide are investigating the active plasma lens (LBNL, INFN, DESY and CERN)
- We<sup>+</sup> are conducting an experiment at the CLEAR\* user facility at CERN.
- Three experimental goals:
  - Demonstrate successful lensing with a new "low-cost" design\*
  - Measure directly any spherical aberration from plasma heating
  - Probe limits set by plasma wakefields

\* CERN Linear Electron Accelerator for Research

<sup>+</sup> C. A. Lindstrøm, K. N. Sjøbæk, <u>E. Adli (PI)</u> from the University of Oslo and CERN (W. Farabolini, D. Gamba, R. Corsini), with collaborators from DESY (J.-H. Röckemann, L. Schaper, J. Osterhoff) and Uni Oxford (A. Dyson, S. Hooker)





UNIVERSITY





Experimental setup



#### The CLEAR user facility at CERN





- Photocathode with S-band RF structures
- Previously used as the CTF3 witness injector
- Provides a tightly focused beam to the plasma lens experiment.

Range
50–220 MeV
1–1500 pC
~3 µm (for 50 pC), ~20 µm (for 400 pC)
300–1200 µm







#### Small size, but many subsystems

Beam direction



Beam direction

## 5 subsystems:

Capillary and mount

provided by

Gas flow Vacuum and beam windows

High voltage source

Beam diagnostics





#### Sapphire capillary and mount

- 1 mm diameter half-tubes milled from two blocks of sapphire (3 x 15 x 20 mm<sup>3</sup>)
- Polyether ether ketone (PEEK) UVH compatible, insulating plastic used for mount.
- Rubber gaskets for leak-tight internal gas flow.
- Folded Kapton-sheet inside the gas inlet to stop internal discharging.
- Copper electrodes connected to HV source.









#### Gas flow

- Argon/helium bottles outside the accelerator hall.
- Remotely controlled needle valve (1-1000 mbar):
   typically operated at 5-30 mbar capillary pressure
- Buffer volume with pressure gauge in feedback loop.
- Long (2 m) polyurethane pipe inside vacuum for electrical insulation (to avoid spark to ground).







#### Vacuum and beam windows

- A large turbo pump (700 l/s) installed on top of the chamber. Usually achieves a vacuum of 10<sup>-8</sup> mbar.
- A scroll pump is connected in series to keep a fore vacuum of 0.1 mbar.
- 8 µm polymer foil (Kapton) installed in an insertable gate valve just upstream (20 cm) to spare the photocathode.









#### High voltage, high current source

- Compact Marx Bank, provided by collaborators at Uni Oxford.
- 10 rungs of 45 nF capacitors, discharging via spark gaps.
- Supplies a  $\sim$ 300 ns pulse of  $\sim$ 20 kV and  $\sim$ 500 A.
- Current ingoing and outgoing measured using two current pulse transformer (Pearson probes)











#### Beam diagnostics

- OTR screen downstream (30 cm) to measure beam size and offset, with blinder foil to stop plasma light.
- Mini-OTR wedge mounted on capillary to measure incoming beam size (destructive measurement).
- YAG screen just before the dump (~3 m downstream), as well as BPMs upstream and downstream.









15



Experimental results

. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .

16



#### Successful lensing



17



18

UNIVERSITY





#### Evidence of passive plasma lensing





Used an offset beam in the lens to decouple passive and active plasma lensing



#### (preliminary data analysis)





#### Evidence of passive plasma lensing





Used an offset beam in the lens to decouple passive and active plasma lensing



#### (preliminary data analysis)

CLEAR plasma lens experiment (overview and results) - Carl A. Lindstrøm - Jan 24, 2018



Outlook and future work



#### Good news and bad news

• Good news: Less geometric aberration from plasma heating than feared.



- Bad news: Plasma wakefields will distort intense beams non-ideal for collider parameters.
- However, OK if beam size is large enough (but low emittance implies huge beta functions of 10<sup>4</sup>-10<sup>6</sup> m)
  ⇒ May (?) be used as an alternative for the final doublet with focusing in both planes, low chromaticity





### Ongoing experiments in CLEAR (2018)

- The CLEAR beam line is currently being upgraded to allow new measurements
- **Goal #1:** verify negligible emittance growth with quad scans
- Goal #2: scan large parts of the beam/ plasma parameter space for wakefield distortion



"Full" 4D beam+plasma parameter space







#### In summary

- The promising active plasma lenses is under study at the CLEAR User Facility.
- Although small, a plasma lens requires several subsystems to work together.
- Preliminary results show no evidence of aberrations due to plasma heating, and clear evidence of plasma wakefield distortion.
- Further experiments at CLEAR in 2018 are underway.





**Thanks for listening!** 

.....