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## Abstract

The measurement of conserved charge distributions have generated considerable interest in understanding the cumulants of conserved quantum numbers in the QCD phase diagram, in particular the behavior near a possible critical end point and hadronization near chemical freeze-out line. Net - protons have been used as a proxy for net - baryons. In this poster, we show a first measurement of the efficiency-corrected cumulant ratios $\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} / \mathrm{C}_{1}, \mathrm{C}_{3} / \mathrm{C}_{2}\right)$ of net $-\Lambda$, which are subject to strangeness and baryon number conservation, for five beam energies ( $\sqrt{ } \mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{NN}}=19.6,27,39,62.4$ and $200 \mathrm{GeV} \mathrm{Au}+\mathrm{Au}$ collisions) as a function of centrality and rapidity. We compare our results to the previous STAR results, the Poisson and negative binomial expectations, as well as predictions from the UrQMD model and hadron resonance model. We deduce chemical freeze-out parameters ( $\mu, \mathrm{T}$ ) and discuss the deviations of the cumulant ratios from Poisson.

## 1. Motivation

Several observations from lattice and thermal model calculations show different freeze-out (FO) conditions depending on the particle quark content.


## 3. Uncertainty estimation, efficiency correction \& baselines.



Efficiency correction is done as explained in [6] using $p_{T}$-integrated efficiency in each centrality bin in the range $0.9<p_{\mathrm{T}}(\mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c})<2.0$.

## $\int \epsilon_{x}\left(p_{T}\right) f\left(p_{T}\right) p_{T} d p_{T} \quad$ where, $f\left(p_{T}\right)$ is the

 $\int f\left(p_{T}\right) p_{T} d p_{T} \quad$ corrected $p_{T}$ spectraCentrality bin width correction (CBWC) is applied. Statistical uncertainties are calculated using delta theorem and following error propagation as explained in [7]. Systematic uncertainty estimation is done varying the selection criteria and including the effect of efficiency variation.
Baselines and models
Poisson: Moments as a function of positive $\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$and negative ( $\mathrm{M}^{-}$) particle distributions.
$>$ Negative binomial expectation (NBD): Moments as a function of both the mean (M) and the variance $\left(\sigma^{2}\right)$.
The ultra relativistic molecular dynamic model (UrQMD) : For transport model predictions [5].
$>$ The hadron resonance gas model (HRG) : Calculating cumulant ratios with different FO assumptions [1].

## 2. Method ( $\Lambda$ reconstruction)

Data sets:

| Energy <br> $($ Au + Au) $)$ | Statistics <br> (millions) |
| :---: | :---: |
| 19.6 GeV | 34 |
| 27 GeV | 74 |
| 39 GeV | 97 |
| 62.4 GeV | 54 |
| 200 GeV | 320 |


$\Lambda$ decays into : $\wedge \rightarrow p+\pi$; Invariant mas is calculated using relativistic kinematics. Protons and pions are identified by using STAR time projection chamber (TPC). $V^{0}$ s were reconstructed via decay topology.
Tight topological cuts were used to obtain a pure ( $\sim 94 \%$ ) sample of $V^{0}$ s. Centrality: Based on the multiplicity of kaons and non $-\wedge$ daughter pions


Event/track cuts:
$\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{r}}<2 \mathrm{~cm}$ and $\left|\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{z}}\right|<$
$\left|\mathrm{V}_{-}-\mathrm{V}_{\text {moon }}\right|<3 \mathrm{~cm}$

vo rapidity: $|y|<0.5$
Topological cuts: (* wide topological cuts in square brackets) DCA of $\mathrm{V}^{0}$ to $\mathrm{PV}<0.5 \mathrm{~cm}[0.95 \mathrm{~cm}]$
DCA of p to $\mathrm{PV}>0.5 \mathrm{~cm}[0.2 \mathrm{~cm}]$ DCA of p to PV $>0.5 \mathrm{~cm}[0.2 \mathrm{~cm}]$
DCA of $\pi$ to $\mathrm{PV}>1.5 \mathrm{~cm}[1.0 \mathrm{~cm}]$ DCA of $\pi$ to PV $>1.5 \mathrm{~cm}[1.0 \mathrm{~cm}]$
DCA of p to $\pi<0.6 \mathrm{~cm}[0.9 \mathrm{~cm}]$


## 4. Results - I (Centrality dependence.)

## 5. Results - II (Centrality dependence).



$\mathrm{C}_{2} / \mathrm{C}_{1}$ increases with increasing collision energy which is mostly driven by $\mathrm{C}_{1}$. Both NBD and Poisson have an agreement with data except in the most central collisions of 200 GeV . UrQMD deviates in all cases.
>For $\mathrm{C}_{3} / \mathrm{C}_{2}$, statistical uncertainties dominate and the effect is largest in the most central collisions. NBD, Poisson and UrQMD predictions are within error bars in the most central collisions
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6. Results - III (Energy dependence).
 the FO surface obtained from the net - kaon are close to the measured net $-\Lambda, C_{2} / C_{1}$ ratio.
$C_{1}$ decreases with increasing
collision energy. $C_{2}$ is larger and 200 GeV . $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ is larger at 62.4 energies.
Both NBD and Poisson expectations agree with data within the uncertainties. URQMD has the largest deviations in $\mathrm{C}_{2}$.
Systematic uncertainties of $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ are larger at 62.4 and 200 GeV than for the other energies. Statistical and systematic uncertainties both become larger in $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ compared to $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ at 62.4 and 200 GeV .
$\mathrm{C}_{1}, \mathrm{C}_{2}$, and $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ increase with
increasing $\left\langle\mathrm{N}_{\text {part }}\right\rangle$.
7. Results - IV
(Rapidity dependence).


Systematic uncertainties are assumed to be independent rapidity.
$>$ For $C_{2} / C_{1}$, systematic uncertainties are large in most central collisions.
$>\mathrm{C}_{2} / \mathrm{C}_{1}$ slightly decreases with increasing rapidity.

- For $\mathrm{C}_{2} / \mathrm{C}_{1}$, NBD expectations have larger deviations from data at peripheral collisions than at central ones
$>\mathrm{C}_{3} / \mathrm{C}_{2}$ is independent of rapidity. Statistical uncertainty dominates.


## 8. Summary / Conclusion.

$\Rightarrow$ Efficiency corrected net $-\wedge C_{1}, C_{2}, C_{3}$, and $C_{1} / C_{2}, C_{3} / C_{2}$ are presented with Poisson, NBD and UrQMD expectations as a function of collision centrality, energy and rapidity with a comparison to net - proton [4] and net - kaon [3] data.
> Poisson expectations show slight deviations in most central collisions at 200 GeV . NBD expectations show better agreement with data
$>$ UrQMD expectations deviate from data mostly in $C_{2}$. This propagates to $C_{2} / C_{1}$ and the deviations increase as collision energy increases. $C_{1}$ and $C_{3}$ agree with UrQMD.
$>$ HRG model results [1] based on the assumption that the $\Lambda$ freezes-out at the same surface as the kaons are closer to the experimental values for net $-\Lambda C_{2} / C_{1}$ than the results based on the proton freeze-out surface.

