





SMASH A new Hadronic Transport Approach

Hannah Petersen

15.05.18, Quark Matter 2018, Venice, Italy







HELMHOLTZ RESEARCH FOR GRAND CHALLENGES

Why a new Approach?

- Hadronic transport approaches are successfully applied for the dynamical evolution of heavy ion collisions
- Hadronic non-equilibrium dynamics is crucial for
 - Full/partial evolution at low/ intermediate beam energies
 - Late stage rescattering at high beam energies (RHIC/LHC)



- New experimental data for cross-sections and resonance properties is available (e.g. COSY, GSI-SIS18 pion beam etc)
- Philosophy: Flexible, modular approach condensing knowledge from existing approaches
- Goal: Baseline calculations with hadronic vacuum properties essential to identify phase transition

The SMASH Team*

- in Frankfurt:
 - Feng Li
 - Sangwook Ryu
 - Vinzent Steinberg
 - Jean-Bernard Rose
 - Jan Staudenmaier
 - Anna Schäfer
 - Justin Mohs
 - Jan Hammelmann
 - Jonas Rothermel
 - Markus Mayer

*only people whose results appear in this presentation

- in US/Serbia:
 - Juan M. Torres-Rincon
 - Dmytro Oliinychenko
 - LongGang Pang
 - Jussi Auvinen



Subset of the group in November 2016

SMASH*

Hadronic transport approach:

J. Weil et al, PRC 94 (2016)

- Includes all mesons and baryons up to $\sim 2 \text{ GeV}$
- Geometric collision criterion
- Binary interactions: Inelastic collisions through resonance/string excitation and decay
- Infrastructure: C++, Git, Redmine, Doxygen, (ROOT)



* Simulating Many Accelerated Strongly-Interacting Hadrons

Degrees of Freedom

Ν	Δ	٨	Σ	Ξ	Ω		Strange			
N ₉₃₈	Δ ₁₂₃₂	Λ_{1116}	Σ ₁₁₈₉	Ξ ₁₃₂₁	Ω ⁻ ₁₆₇₂	π ₁₃₈	f _{0 980}	f _{2 1275}	π _{2 1670}	K ₄₉₄
N_{1440}	Δ ₁₆₂₀	Λ_{1405}	Σ ₁₃₈₅	Ξ ₁₅₃₀	Ω ⁻ 2250	π_{1300}	f _{0 1370}	f _{2 1525}		K* ₈₉₂
N ₁₅₂₀	Δ_{1700}	Λ_{1520}	Σ ₁₆₆₀	Ξ ₁₆₉₀		π_{1800}	f _{0 1500}	f _{2 1950}	$ ho_{3\ 1690}$	K _{1 1270}
N ₁₅₃₅	Δ_{1905}	Λ_{1600}	Σ ₁₆₇₀	Ξ ₁₈₂₀			f _{0 1710}	f _{2 2010}		K _{1 1400}
N_{1650}	Δ ₁₉₁₀	Λ_{1670}	Σ ₁₇₅₀	Ξ ₁₉₅₀		η_{548}		f _{2 2300}	ϕ_{31850}	K* ₁₄₁₀
N ₁₆₇₅	Δ ₁₉₂₀	Λ_{1690}	Σ ₁₇₇₅	Ξ ₂₀₃₀		η' ₉₅₈	a _{0 980}	f _{2 2340}		K ₀ * ₁₄₃₀
N_{1680}	Δ ₁₉₃₀	Λ_{1800}	Σ ₁₉₁₅			η_{1295}	a _{0 1450}		a _{4 2040}	K ₂ * ₁₄₃₀
N ₁₇₀₀	Δ_{1950}	Λ_{1810}	Σ ₁₉₄₀			η_{1405}		f _{1 1285}		K* ₁₆₈₀
N ₁₇₁₀		Λ_{1820}	Σ ₂₀₃₀			η_{1475}	Φ_{1019}	f _{1 1420}	f _{4 2050}	K _{2 1770}
N ₁₇₂₀		Λ_{1830}	Σ ₂₂₅₀				$\varphi_{\rm 1680}$			K ₃ * ₁₇₈₀
N ₁₈₇₅		Λ_{1890}				σ_{800}		a _{2 1320}		K _{2 1820}
N ₁₉₀₀		Λ_{2100}					h _{1 1170}			K ₄ * ₂₀₄₅
N ₁₉₉₀		Λ_{2110}				ρ ₇₇₆		π_{11400}		
N ₂₀₈₀		Λ_{2350}				$ ho_{1450}$	b _{1 1235}	π_{11600}		
N ₂₁₉₀		•lse	ospin sv	mmetrv		ρ_{1700}				
N ₂₂₂₀		•Pe	erturbati	ive treat	ment		a _{1 1260}	η_{21645}		
N ₂₂₅₀		of	non-had	Ironic pa	articles	ω_{783}				
		(pł	notons.	dilepton	s)	ω_{1420}		ω_{31670}		
		(12.			-1	ω_{1650}				

• Easily configurable by human-readable input files

Hannah Petersen	QM 2018	5
	15.05.18	

Elementary Cross Sections



J. Weil et al, PRC 94 (2016)

- Total cross section for pp/ pπ collisions
- Parametrized elastic cross section
- Many resonance contributions to inelastic cross section
- Reasonable description of data up to 4.5/2 GeV
- String excitation by PYTHIA: work in progress

Detailed Balance

- Inverse absorption cross section calculated from production cross section
- Conservation of detailed balance (only 1 <--> 2 or 2 <--> 2 processes)



Infinite matter calculations —> Important cross-check

QM 2018 15.05.18 J. Weil et al, PRC 94 (2016)

Analytic Solution

 Comparison to analytic solution of Boltzmann equation within expanding metric



 Perfect agreement proves correct numerical implementation of collision algorithm

Pion Production in Au+Au

- Potentials decrease pion production, while Fermi motion increases yield
- Nice agreement with SIS experimental data







J. Weil et al, PRC 94 (2016)

Collective Flow -v₂

 Directed and elliptic flow are compared to available data from FOPI and HADES



 SMASH agrees well with previous UrQMD calculation for v₂ excitation function

Strangeness Production

K⁺ production

$$\overline{NN \to NN^*} / \Delta^* \to NYK$$

K⁻ production

$$\pi Y \to Y^* \to \bar{K}N$$
$$V^* \to \phi N \to \bar{K}KN$$

 Elementary exclusive crosssections provide constraints on resonance properties

 Λ

resonance	branching PDG	g ratio N^* HADES	$\rightarrow \Lambda K$ SMASH
N(1650)	5-15%	$7\pm4\%$	4%
N(1710)	5 - 25%	$15\pm10\%$	13%
N(1720)	4 - 5%	$8\pm7\%$	5%
N(1875)	> 0	$4\pm2\%$	2%
N(1880)		$2\pm1\%$	
N(1895)		$18\pm5\%$	
N(1900)	2 - 20%	$5\pm5\%$	2%
N(1990)			2%
N(2080)			0.5%
N(2190)	0.2-0.8%		0.8%
N(2220)			0
N(2250)			0.5%



Strangeness Production

Kaons and Lambdas in heavy ions:



 Ongoing work: Centrality dependence, predictions for pion beam and hyperon potentials



Effective N-particle Scattering

- At higher densities multi-particle scattering becomes important -> here: extreme limit
- Above 0.3 GeV/fm³ local kinetic equilibrium is enforced by replacing the distribution function with a thermal one



Spectra are more "thermal" and strangeness enhanced

Dmytro Oliinychenko, HP, JPG 44, 2017

EoS and Hydro Comparison

Equation of state fits lattice hadron gas



Interpolation between transport and hydrodynamics

Dmytro Oliinychenko, HP, JPG 44, 2017

Shear Viscosity of the Hadron Gas



Long standing question: Why are the results so different from each other?

See talk by Jean-Bernard Rose today at 3.20 PM (Thermodynamics and Hadron Chemistry I)

J.-B. Rose, J. M. Torres-Rincon, A. Schäfer, D. Oliinychenko and HP, arXiv: 1709.00369 and 1709.03826

Dileptons in SMASH

- Dileptons produced by resonance decays
- Direct and Dalitz dilepton decay channels
- Electromagnetic decays are rare —> Time-Integration-Method / Shining

Phys.Lett. B259 (1991) 162-168

- Continuously perform dilepton decays and weight them by taking their decay probability into account (better statistics)
- Detailed constraints on resonance properties

J. Staudenmaier et al., arXiv: 1711.10297

$$\begin{array}{c} \mbox{Dilepton Decays} \\ \rho \rightarrow e^+ e^- \\ \omega \rightarrow e^+ e^- \\ \phi \rightarrow e^+ e^- \\ \hline \pi \rightarrow e^+ e^- \gamma \\ \eta \rightarrow e^+ e^- \gamma \\ \eta' \rightarrow e^+ e^- \gamma \\ \omega \rightarrow e^+ e^- \pi^0 \\ \phi \rightarrow e^+ e^- \pi^0 \\ \Delta^+ \rightarrow e^+ e^- p \\ \Delta^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^- n^0 \end{array}$$

Elementary Collisions

 Contributions of vector meson spectral functions below hadronic thresholds

HADES, Eur.Phys.J. A48 (2012)



J. Staudenmaier et al., arXiv: 1711.10297

Very nice agreement with HADES measurement

Dilepton Production

HADES, PRL 98 (2007)



J. Staudenmaier et al, arXiv: 1711.10297

S. Endres et al., J.Phys.Conf.Ser. 426 (2013)

- SMASH and UrQMD compare very similar to data
- Different vector meson thresholds at low masses
- Adjusted branching ratios of N* and Δ resonances for ρ peak

Medium Modifications

• Dynamical collisional broadening is included in default SMASH calculation J. Staudenmaier et al., arXiv: 1711.10297



- Coarse-grained transport evolution allows for full mediummodified spectral function
 S. Endres et al., PRC 92, 2015 R. Rapp et al, EPJA 6, 1999, PRC 63, 2001
- First time: Comparison of both approaches based on the same medium evolution

Photons

- Perturbative photon production in hadronic scatterings of pions and ρ mesons
 Turbide et al.: Int.J.Mod.Phys. A19 (2004)
- Cross-sections calculated within effective field theory



- Rates in thermal box nicely reproduced
- Next: Photons from late non-equilibrium stage at RHIC/LHC including bremsstrahlung

Afterburner

• Optional: Global conservation laws and broad mass distributions for resonances at particlization

MUSIC+UrQMD from S. Ryu et al, PRC 97 (2018) by Sangwook Ryu



- Results for bulk observables are similar as within UrQMD
- Work in progress: Annihilation and AQM cross-sections

Summary and Outlook

- SMASH has been developed as a new hadronic transport approach
 - Bulk observables are in reasonable agreement with experimental data
 - Strangeness production based on cross-sections from elementary reactions
 - Electromagnetic radiation is incorporated
 - First results for afterburner calculation look promising
- Electromagnetic radiation from the non-equilibrium hadronic stage
- Multi-particle scattering and improved interfaces to hydrodynamic evolution
- Publication of the source code in 2018!

Posters and Talks

- Poster Session, today at 5PM:
 - #266. Benchmark of microscopic hadronic direct photon emission in thermal equilibrium by Anna Schäfer, Jonas Rothermel
 - #236. Dilepton production and resonance properties within a new hadronic transport approach by Jan Staudenmaier
 - #342. Bulk observables within hybrid approach for heavy ion collisions with SMASH afterburner by Sangwook Ryu
 - #637. Electric conductivity of a hadron gas by Jan Hammelmann
 - #677. Can Baryon Stopping be understood within the String Model? by Justin Mohs
 - #764. Strangeness production at SIS energies by Vinzent Steinberg
- Talk, today at 3.20 PM:
 - Shear viscosity and resonance lifetimes in the hadron gas by Jean-Bernard Rose, Thermodynamics and Hadron Chemistry I
- Unrelated to SMASH: Parallel talk, Monday, May 14th at 5.50 PM:
 - Correlated gluonic hot spots meet symmetric cumulants data at LHC energies by Alba Soto-Ontoso

Fun Facts and Figures

- 1 PhD, 5 MSc, 9 BSc thesis completed so far
- 1033 active days, 5605 commits by 21 authors

Language						f	iles			bla	nk	comment						С	ode								
C- C/ CN P)	++ /C+- lake /the	⊢ H e on	ead	der						126 2823 5885 2 95 2191 10953 2 2 44 58 1 1 9 16 3 15 32					29 8	855 730 474 44 30											
SUM:							227			50	82		1	694	4			39	133								
day	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	Day	Tota	l (%)
n	4	1			2		1	4	9	40	56	86	55	71	82	99	125	107	51	21	26	19	25	16	Mon	900 (16	5.06%)

					-			1		~~	ŬŬ	~~	· •	Ŭ 2		120	107	~ 1	~ 1	~~					>00 (10.0070)
Tue	6	3	9	1	1	1	5	4	41	57	104	65	69	110	116	123	120	61	34	24	21	24	15	Tue	1014 (18.09%)
Wed	11	8	1	1				18	41	113	120	86	108	130	115	150	130	58	41	19	31	30	28	Wed	1239 (22.11%)
Thu	12	5	6	2	3	2		5	24	103	134	82	99	155	162	141	134	86	23	25	19	20	20	Thu	1262 (22.52%)
Fri	7	3	1	1			5	4	25	46	84	78	83	127	110	139	111	83	24	27	11	7	9	Fri	985 (17.57%)
Sat	5	6	2	2	1		1	2	1	2	5	10	8	6	8	5	8	4	6	9	2	4	5	Sat	102 (1.82%)
Sun	3	2						2	2	3	4	4	3	15	18	11	14	5	7	3	3	3	1	Sun	103 (1.84%)

extracted by git statistics on April 27 2018, commits per day and time

• Estimated total cost of SMASH so far: 1,25 Mio USD

generated using David A. Wheeler's ,SLOCCount' on April 27 2018



General Setup

Transport models provide an effective solution of the relativistic Boltzmann equation

$$p^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}f_i(x,p) + m_i F^{\alpha}\partial^p_{\alpha}f_i(x,p) = C^i_{\text{coll}}$$

- Particles represented by Gaussian wave packets
- Geometric collision criterion

$$d_{\rm trans} < d_{\rm int} = \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_{\rm tot}}{\pi}} \qquad d_{\rm trans}^2 = (\vec{r_a} - \vec{r_b})^2 - \frac{((\vec{r_a} - \vec{r_b}) \cdot (\vec{p_a} - \vec{p_b}))^2}{(\vec{p_a} - \vec{p_b})^2}$$

• Test particle method

$$\sigma \mapsto \sigma \cdot N_{\text{test}}^{-1}$$
$$N \mapsto N \cdot N_{\text{test}}$$

Resonances

Spectral function

- All unstable particles ("resonances") have relativistic Breit-Wigner spectral functions
- Decay widths
 - Particles stable, if
 width < 10 keV

 $(\pi, \eta, K, ...)$

 Treatment of Manley et al

$$\Gamma_{R \to ab} = \Gamma^0_{R \to ab} \frac{\rho_{ab}(m)}{\rho_{ab}(M_0)}$$

$$\mathcal{A}(m) = rac{2\mathcal{N}}{\pi} rac{m^2\Gamma(m)}{(m^2 - M_0^2)^2 + m^2\Gamma(m)^2}$$





Treatment of Manley

D. M. Manley and E. M. Saleski, Phys. Rev. D 45, 4002 (1992)

Scaling of on-shell decay width:

$$\Gamma_{R \to ab} = \Gamma^0_{R \to ab} \frac{\rho_{ab}(m)}{\rho_{ab}(M_0)}$$

Definiton of rho-function:

$$egin{aligned} & o_{ab}(m) = \int dm_a dm_b \mathcal{A}_a(m_a) \mathcal{A}_b(m_b) \ & imes rac{|ec{p_f}|}{m} B_L^2(|ec{p_f}|R) \mathcal{F}_{ab}^2(m) \end{aligned}$$

Blatt Weisskopf functions

 $B_0^2 = 1$ $B_1^2(x) = \frac{x^2}{(1+x^2)}$

M. Post, S. Leupold, U. Mosel, Nucl. Phys. A 741, 81 (2004)
Hadronic Form Factor:

$$\mathcal{F}_{ab}(m) = \frac{\lambda^4 + 1/4(s_0 - M_0^2)^2}{\lambda^4 + (m^2 - 1/2(s_0 + M_0^2))^2}$$

decay	$\lambda \; [{\rm GeV}]$
πho	0.8
unstable mesons (e.g. ρN , σN)	1.6
unstable baryons (e.g. $\pi\Delta$)	2.0
two unstable daughters (e.g. $\rho\rho$)	0.6

Resonance Dynamics

- Energy-dependence of cross-sections is modelled via resonances
- Point-like in analytic calculation and finite lifetime in transport approach





 Agreement recovered by decreasing ρ meson lifetime