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## Latest Jet Results in PbPb w/ CMS



- Jets in heavy-ions require underlying-event (UE) subtractions
- In boson+jets results, CMS employs iterative pedestal (PU) subtraction
- Zero-suppression algorithm, destroys constituent resolution
- All measurements employ $R=0.3$ to minimize impact of UE


## Latest Jet Results in PbPb w/ CMS



- In substructure results, CMS employs constituent subtraction (CS)
- Preserves resolution of constituents (particle-like)
- All measurements employ $R=0.4$
- No CMS measurement in PbPb currently goes beyond $\mathrm{R}=0.5$


## Scanning Jet Radius to Study Quenching



- Experimental Results: Measured jet production in R-scans
- Some effect at low- $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{T}}$, converges at high- $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{T}}$
- Restricted to low-pt by sample size
- Limited systematically by pp reference being taken during different data-taking periods
- Limited in R by underlying-event (UE) at low-рт


## Scanning Jet Radius to Study Quenching

## - THEORY

- More ambitious than experiments
- Scanning $\mathrm{R}=0.2$ thru $\mathrm{R}=1.0$
- Magnitude of R dependence varies with model
- Sensitive to:
- Angular redistribution of energy
- Medium Response





# Highlighting One Prediction (JEWEL) 

JEWEL+PYTHIA $\mathrm{Pb}+\mathrm{Pb}(0-5 \%)(2.76 \mathrm{TeV})$


Roughly 25\% difference between $R=0.4$ and $R=0.8$ (Standard CMS cones)

- Roughly flat, persistent suppression at high-pт
- Increasing with increasing jet cone radius
- Changes if energy lost to medium is removed from event
- A ratio of RAA will be sensitive with reduced systematics


## Viability of Large Cone in Heavy-Ions

Fluctuations in $\rho$ contribute to jet resolution as N term:

$$
\sigma\left(\frac{p_{T}^{R E C O}}{p_{T}^{G E N}}\right)=\sqrt{C^{2}+\frac{S^{2}}{p_{T}^{G E N}}+\frac{N^{2}}{\left(p_{T}^{G E N}\right)^{2}}}
$$

Typically HI chooses small cone

- Limits UE contribution
- (GeV/Area)
- Alternatively, scale away UE by рт
- $N / p_{\text {t }}$ in quadratic sum
- Possible with size of 2015 PbPb and pp samples
- pp: $27.4 \mathrm{pb}^{-1}$ at 5.02 TeV

CMS Preliminary
Counts


- PbPb: $404 \mu \mathrm{~b}^{-1}$ at 5.02 TeV


## Constituent Subtraction



SIGNAL: Hard-scattering in PbPb collision producing jets UNDERLYING EVENT: Uncorrelated particles from other nucleon-nucleon interactions

## Constituent Subtraction



GHOST PARTICLES: Artificial particles added to the event on an $\eta-\varphi$ grid. Ghosts are given a $р_{т}$ according to $\rho$ times the area the inhabit, $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{g}}$

## Constituent Subtraction



- Add "ghost" particles on $\eta-\varphi$ grid according to: $p_{\mathrm{T}}^{g}=A_{g} \cdot \rho$,

$$
m_{\delta}^{g}=A_{g} \cdot \rho_{m} .
$$

UNDERLYING EVENT
GHOST PARTICLES

## Constituent Subtraction



- Combine iteratively with real particles by minimizing metric:

$$
\Delta R_{i, k}=p_{T i}^{\alpha} \cdot \sqrt{\left(y_{i}-y_{k}^{g}\right)^{2}+\left(\phi_{i}-\phi_{k}^{g}\right)^{2}} .
$$

## UNDERLYING EVENT

GHOST PARTICLES

## Constituent Subtraction



## Constituent Subtraction



## Constituent Subtraction



## Constituent Subtraction



## Constituent Subtraction



- Cluster remaining event into jets


## Estimating Flow Event-by-Event

CMS Preliminary $2015 \mathrm{PbPb} \sqrt{\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{NN}}}=5.02 \mathrm{TeV}$


CMS Preliminary $2015 \mathrm{PbPb} \sqrt{\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{NN}}}=5.02 \mathrm{TeV}$


Following Example Of:
Phys.Lett. B 753 (2016)
511-525

- Extract an event-by-event $\mathrm{v}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{v}_{3}$ by fitting particle flow candidates
- Charged Hadron candidates, $0.3<\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{T}}<3$ and $|\eta|<1$
- Fit is employed over all $\eta$ to model flow
- Extracted $\mathrm{v}_{2}\left(\mathrm{v}_{3}\right)$ are used to modulate $\mathrm{CS} \rho$ to add ghost particles


## Incremental Improvements in CS at CMS

CMS Preliminary $2015 \mathrm{PbPb} 0-5 \%, \sqrt{\mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{NN}}}=5.02 \mathrm{TeV}$

$\eta$


CS as employed in:
PRL 120 (2018) 142302 and:
Jet Mass (ARXIV NOW)
Using unsubtracted $\mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{t}}$ clustered jets to extract $\rho$
$\langle\rho\rangle\left[\frac{\mathrm{GeV}}{\text { Area }}\right]$

- Unwrapped detector in coordinates $\boldsymbol{\eta}-\left(\boldsymbol{\varphi}-\boldsymbol{\Psi}_{\mathrm{Hf}, 2}\right)$
- Average subtracted constituent sum
- $\boldsymbol{\varphi}-\boldsymbol{\Psi}_{\mathrm{HF}, 2}$ is azimuth relative the event plane
- Features:
- Strong modulation in $\boldsymbol{\varphi}$ w.r.t $\Psi_{\text {HF, } 2}$
- Mid-rapidity $\rho$ inconsistent with forward
- Hence $|\eta|$ restriction of measurements


## Incremental Improvements in CS at CMS

CMS Preliminary
$2015 \mathrm{PbPb} 0-5 \%, \sqrt{\mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{NN}}}=5.02 \mathrm{TeV}$
$\langle\rho\rangle\left[\frac{\mathrm{GeV}}{\text { Area }}\right]$


CS as employed in:
PRL 120 (2018) 142302
and:
ARXIV
Using unsubtracted $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{t}}$ clustered jets to extract $\rho$


Comparing in and out-plane $\rho$, there remains significant modulation in $\varphi$ relative $\boldsymbol{\Psi}_{\mathrm{Hf}, 2}$

## Reduces the forward $\rho$

 post-subtraction to levels consistent with mid- $\eta$
## Incremental Improvements in CS at CMS

CMS Preliminary
$2015 \mathrm{PbPb} 0-5 \%, \sqrt{\mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{NN}}}=5.02 \mathrm{TeV}$
$\langle\rho\rangle\left[\frac{G e V}{\text { Area }}\right]$
 post-subtraction to levels consistent with mid- $\eta$
CS as employed in:
PRL 120 (2018) 142302
and:
ARXIV
Using unsubtracted $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{t}}$ clustered jets to extract $\rho$

## Projection of Detector on Azimuth



CMS Preliminary
$2015 \mathrm{PbPb} 0-5 \%, \sqrt{\mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{NN}}}=5.02 \mathrm{TeV}$


- CS Updated w/ Flow correction shows reduced modulations when projected onto azimuth compared to previous iterations
- Reduction in jet energy scale dependence on event plane


# Jet Energy Scale at R=0.4 and R=0.8 



- Scale closure of $\mathrm{R}=0.4$ (Left) and $\mathrm{R}=0.8$ (Right) jets over all centrality
- In large cone, oversubtract at lower $p_{T}$ in peripheral events
- Identical corrections applied to all centrality
- Derived from unsubtracted jets in PYTHIA events


## Jet Energy Resolution at $\mathrm{R}=0.4$ and $\mathrm{R}=0.8$



- Energy resolution of $\mathrm{R}=0.4$ (Left) and $\mathrm{R}=0.8$ (Right) jets over all centrality
- In large cone, UE drives high resolution at low-pT
- JER ~18\% at $200 \mathrm{GeV}(\mathrm{R}=0.8)$


# Scale Closure vs. Event Plane (R=0.8) 

CMS Preliminary Simulation


CMS Preliminary Simulation


- Jet energy scale closure as function of event plane for $\mathrm{R}=0.8 \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{o}$ flow correction (Left) and with flow correction (Right)
- Significant flattening of scale translates directly to resolution reduction
- Compare to $\mathrm{R}=0.4$ (backup)


## Conclusions



- An alternative view of how to handle UE subtraction in jets is presented
- Instead of exploring tight cone R at low- $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{T}}$, consider large R at high- $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{T}}$
- Jet reconstruction is updated for forward $-\eta$ and to account for flow modulations
- Perform Jet Nuclear Modification Factor Radius Scan up to R=1 for $p_{T}>200$
- Extend CMS jet substructure measurements to large cone size


## Backup

## The CMS Detector and Particle Flow

```
JINST 12
    (2017)
    P10003
```



- CMS combines all subdetectors via the particle-flow algorithm
- Particle-flow objects serve as jet constituents


## Jet Reconstruction with CMS in pp



- Particle-flow constituents combine tracks, ECal and HCal
- Strong improvement at low- $\boldsymbol{p}_{\mathbf{T}}$ with addition of tracks


## Iterative Pedestal Subtraction

- $\rho$ or $\left\langle\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{T}}\right\rangle$ is calculated in strips of rapidity
- Follows HCal tower geometry
- A second iteration is run excluding "jetty" regions of the detector from each $\eta$-strip extraction
- UE estimation that naturally follows a changing detector geometry


1. $\left\langle E_{T}\right\rangle$ calculated in strips of $\eta$. Subtract $\left\langle\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{T}}\right\rangle+\sigma$

2. Exclude reconstructed jets and re-estimate background

3. Run anti- $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{T}}$ algorithm on background-subtracted tower

4. Re-run anti- $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{T}}$ algorithm to get final jets

# Scale Closure vs. Event Plane (R=0.4) 



- Jet energy scale closure as function of event plane for $\mathrm{R}=0.4 \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{o}$ flow correction (Left) and with flow correction (Right)
- Some flattening of scale less than corresponding $\mathrm{R}=0.8$ case

