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## Outline

- The POWLANG transport setup (hard event + transport + in-medium hadronization)
- Event-by event fluctuations and development of HF azimuthal anisotropies ( $v_{2}$ and $v_{3}$ ) in heavy-ion collisions
- Event-shape engineering and HF observables: preliminary studies


## The POWLANG setup

- $Q \bar{Q}$ production;
- HQ transport;
- HQ hadronization.


## HQ production: NLO calculation + Parton Shower



- A convenient automated tool to simulate the initial $Q \bar{Q}$ production (the POWHEG-BOX package) interfaces the output of a NLO event-generator for the hard process with a parton-shower describing the Initial and Final State Radiation and modeling other non-perturbative processes (intrinsic $k_{T}$, MPI, hadronizazion)
- This provides a fully exclusive information on the final state


## Transport theory: the Boltzmann equation

Time evolution of HQ phase-space distribution $f_{Q}(t, \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{p})^{1}$ :

$$
\frac{d}{d t} f_{Q}(t, \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{p})=C\left[f_{Q}\right]
$$

- Total derivative along particle trajectory

$$
\frac{d}{d t} \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\boldsymbol{v} \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}}+\boldsymbol{F} \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{p}}
$$

Neglecting $\boldsymbol{x}$-dependence and mean fields: $\partial_{t} f_{Q}(t, \boldsymbol{p})=C\left[f_{Q}\right]$

- Collision integral:

$$
C\left[f_{Q}\right]=\int d \boldsymbol{k}[\underbrace{w(\boldsymbol{p}+\boldsymbol{k}, \boldsymbol{k}) f_{Q}(\boldsymbol{p}+\boldsymbol{k})}_{\text {gain term }}-\underbrace{w(\boldsymbol{p}, \boldsymbol{k}) f_{Q}(\boldsymbol{p})}_{\text {loss term }}]
$$

$w(\boldsymbol{p}, \boldsymbol{k}): \mathrm{HQ}$ transition rate $\boldsymbol{p} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{p}-\boldsymbol{k}$
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## From Boltzmann to Fokker-Planck

Expanding the collision integral for small momentum exchange ${ }^{2}$ (Landau)

$$
C\left[f_{Q}\right] \approx \int d \boldsymbol{k}\left[k^{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial p^{i}}+\frac{1}{2} k^{i} k^{j} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial p^{i} \partial p^{j}}\right]\left[w(\boldsymbol{p}, \boldsymbol{k}) f_{Q}(t, \boldsymbol{p})\right]
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$$

The Boltzmann equation reduces to the Fokker-Planck equation

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} f_{Q}(t, \boldsymbol{p})=\frac{\partial}{\partial p^{i}}\left\{A^{i}(\boldsymbol{p}) f_{Q}(t, \boldsymbol{p})+\frac{\partial}{\partial p^{j}}\left[B^{i j}(\boldsymbol{p}) f_{Q}(t, \boldsymbol{p})\right]\right\}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
A^{i}(\boldsymbol{p})=\int d \boldsymbol{k} k^{i} w(\boldsymbol{p}, \boldsymbol{k}) \longrightarrow \underbrace{A^{i}(\boldsymbol{p})=A(p) \boldsymbol{p}^{i}}_{\text {friction }} \\
B^{i j}(\boldsymbol{p})=\frac{1}{2} \int d \boldsymbol{k} k^{i} \boldsymbol{k}^{j} w(\boldsymbol{p}, \boldsymbol{k}) \longrightarrow \underbrace{B^{i j}(\boldsymbol{p})=\left(\delta^{i j}-\hat{p}^{i} \hat{p}^{j}\right) B_{0}(p)+\hat{p}^{i} \hat{p}^{j} B_{1}(p)}_{\text {momentum broadening }}
\end{gathered}
$$

Problem reduced to the evaluation of three transport coefficients, directly derived from the scattering matrix
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## The relativistic Langevin equation

The Fokker-Planck equation can be recast into a form suitable to follow the dynamics of each individual quark arising from the pQCD Monte Carlo simulation of the initial $Q \bar{Q}$ production: the Langevin equation

$$
\frac{\Delta p^{i}}{\Delta t}=-\underbrace{\eta_{D}(p) p^{i}}_{\text {determ. }}+\underbrace{\xi^{i}(t)}_{\text {stochastic }}
$$

with the properties of the white $\left(\sim \delta_{t t^{\prime}}\right)$, multiplicative noise encoded in

$$
\left\langle\xi^{i}\left(\boldsymbol{p}_{t}\right)\right\rangle=0 \quad\left\langle\xi^{i}\left(\boldsymbol{p}_{t}\right) \xi^{j}\left(\boldsymbol{p}_{t^{\prime}}\right)\right\rangle=b^{i j}(\boldsymbol{p}) \frac{\delta_{t t^{\prime}}}{\Delta t} \quad b^{i j}(\boldsymbol{p}) \equiv \kappa_{L}(p) \hat{p}^{i} \hat{p}^{j}+\kappa_{T}(p)\left(\delta^{i j}-\hat{p}^{i} \hat{p}^{j}\right)
$$
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Transport coefficients related to the FP ones:

- Momentum diffusion: $\kappa_{T}(p)=2 B_{0}(p)$ and $\kappa_{L}(p)=2 B_{1}(p)$
- Friction term, in the lto pre-point discretization scheme, fixed by the Einstein fluctuation-dissipation relation

$$
\eta_{D}^{\mathrm{Ito}}(p)=A(p)=\frac{B_{1}(p)}{T E_{p}}-\left[\frac{1}{p} \frac{\partial B_{1}(p)}{\partial p}+\frac{d-1}{p^{2}}\left(B_{1}(p)-B_{0}(p)\right)\right]
$$

## A first check: thermalization in a static medium




If the Einstein relation is imposed, for $t \gg 1 / \eta_{D} \mathrm{HQ}$ 's approach kinetic equilibrium, with momenta described by a Maxwell-Jüttner distribution

$$
f_{M J}(p) \equiv \frac{e^{-E_{p} / T}}{4 \pi M^{2} T K_{2}(M / T)}, \quad \text { with } \int d^{3} p f_{M J}(p)=1
$$

The larger $\kappa\left(\kappa \sim T^{3}\right)$, the faster the approach to thermalization.

## Transport coefficients: weak-coupling vs I-QCD

## Weak-coupling (beauty shown)



Obtained accounting for $Q q \rightarrow Q q$ and $Q g \rightarrow Q g$ scattering, with resummation of medium effects for soft $\left(|t|<|t|^{*}\right)$ collisions (Hard Thermal Loop approximation)

Lattice QCD $(M=\infty)$


$$
\kappa=\frac{1}{3} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d t\left\langle\xi^{i}(t) \xi^{i}(0)\right\rangle_{\mathrm{HQ}}
$$

given by electric-field correlator, available only for imaginary times

## From quarks to hadrons

In the presence of a medium, rather then fragmenting like in the vacuum (e.g. $c \rightarrow c g \rightarrow c \bar{q} q$ ), HQ's can hadronize by recombining with light thermal partons from the medium.
In-medium hadronization may affect the $R_{A A}$ and $v_{2}$ of final D-mesons due to the collective flow of light quarks. We tried to estimate the effect through this model interfaced to our POWLANG transport code:

- At $T_{\text {dec }} \mathrm{c}$-quarks coupled to light $\bar{q}$ 's from a local thermal distribution, eventually boosted $\left(u_{\text {fluid }}^{\mu} \neq 0\right)$ to the lab frame;
- Strings are formed and given to PYTHIA 6.4 to simulate their fragmentation and produce the final hadrons ( $D+\pi+\ldots$ )


## From quarks to hadrons

Breaking of factorized description of hadronization $d \sigma^{h}=d \sigma_{f} \otimes D_{f \rightarrow h}$ in terms of independent fragmentation functions already observed in hadronic collisions at Fermilab and at SPS


Second endpoint boosts the string along the direction of the beam-remnant (beam-drag effect), leading to an asymmetry in the rapidity distribution of $D^{+} / D^{-}$mesons

$$
A=\frac{\sigma_{D^{-}}-\sigma_{D^{+}}}{\sigma_{D^{-}}+\sigma_{D^{+}}}
$$

## New results at 5.02 TeV : $D$-meson $v_{2}$ and $v_{3}$ in $\mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{Pb}$

The study of higher flow-harmonics in AA collisions requires a modeling of initial-state event-by-event fluctuations. We perform a Glauber-MC sampling of the initial conditions, each one characterized by a complex eccentricity

$$
s(\boldsymbol{x})=\frac{K}{2 \pi \sigma^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{\text {coll }}} \exp \left[-\frac{\left(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}_{i}\right)^{2}}{2 \sigma^{2}}\right] \quad \longrightarrow \quad \epsilon_{m} e^{i m \psi_{m}} \equiv-\frac{\left\{r^{2} e^{i m \phi}\right\}}{\left\{r^{2}\right\}}
$$

with orientation and modulus given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Psi_{m} & =\frac{1}{m} \operatorname{atan} 2\left(-\left\{r^{2} \sin (m \phi)\right\},-\left\{r^{2} \cos (m \phi)\right\}\right) \\
\epsilon_{m} & =\frac{\sqrt{\left\{r_{\perp}^{2} \cos (m \phi)\right\}^{2}+\left\{r_{\perp}^{2} \sin (m \phi)\right\}^{2}}}{\left\{r_{\perp}^{2}\right\}}=-\frac{\left\{r^{2} \cos \left[m\left(\phi-\Psi_{m}\right)\right]\right\}}{\left\{r^{2}\right\}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Exploiting the fact that, on an event-by-event basis, for $m=2,3 v_{m} \sim \epsilon_{m}$ we consider an average background obtained summing all the events of a given centrality class, each one rotated by its event-plane angle $\psi_{m}$, depending on the harmonics one is considering.
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- CMS (and ALICE) data for $D$-meson $v_{2,3}$ satisfactory described;
- Recombination with light quarks provides a relevant contribution.
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## Time development of azimuthal anisotropies



- Most of the HQ's decouple quite late ( $\sim 50 \%$ after $8 \mathrm{fm} / \mathrm{c}$ );
- Final elliptic flow from a complex interplay of contributions from the whole medium history;
- HQ $v_{2}$ correlated with the one of the fluid cell;
- supplementary information from $p_{T}$-differential analysis;


## Eccentricity fluctuations



Events within the same centrality class can be characterized by very different eccentricities. Eccentricity distributions of different classes display a significant overlap, in particular for $\epsilon_{3}$, which is less correlated with the impact parameter. It is interesting to study in each class the $0-20 \%$ high- $\epsilon_{n}$ and the $0-60 \%$ low $-\epsilon_{n}$ events. We want to extend this program, usually carried out for soft-hadrons (ALICE Coll. PRC 93 (2016), 034916), to HF particles.
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## ESE and HF observables: elliptic flow




First results obtained also for the triangular flow

- Dependence of the HQ v/3 on the transport coefficients;
- Strong dependence on $\epsilon_{3}$ fluctuations;
- HF $v_{3}$ increased by in-medium hadronization (recombination)


## Conclusions

- With initial conditions accounting for event-by-event fluctuations it is possible to provide a consistent description of even and odd harmonics of HF azimuthal anisotropies ( $v_{2}$ and $v_{3}$ );
- The way is open to perform the study of HF observables within an Event-Shape-Engineering analysis: the potential to extract information on the initial conditions and on the transport coefficients of the medium has to be explored;
- More results going to become available soon.
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