Quarkonia Production in Heavy Ion Collisions: Coupled Boltzmann Transport Equations

Xiaojun Yao

- Collaborators: Berndt Mueller, Steffen Bass, Weiyao Ke, Yingru Xu
 - **Duke University** May 16 2018, Quark Matter 2018



Introduction

- Debye (static) screening on heavy quark bound state, not enough explain quarkonia production suppression
- Production complicated by many factors:
 - Cold nuclear matter (CNM) initial production
 - Static screening (real part potential suppressed) v.s. dynamical screening (imaginary part potential, related to dissociation)
 - In-medium evolution (dissociation and recombination)
 - Feed-down, etc.
- Include all factors consistently













Dynamical Evolution: Recombination

melting temperature: above which a specific bound state 1) ill defined (thermal width too large) 2) not exists (potential not supports bound state)



in-medium formation

RL. Thews, M. Schroedter, J. Rafelski Phys.Rev.C 63, 054905 (2001)



Coupled Boltzmann Equations

heavy quark

anti-heavy quark

each quarkonium state nl = 1S, 2S, 1P etc. $(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \dot{x} \cdot \nabla_t)$

 $(rac{\partial}{\partial t} + \dot{x} \cdot \nabla$ $(rac{\partial}{\partial t}+\dot{x}\cdot
abla_{\omega})$

$$(7_{\boldsymbol{x}})f_Q(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{p},t) = -\mathcal{C}_Q^+ + \mathcal{C}_Q^- + \mathcal{C}_Q$$

 $(7_{\boldsymbol{x}})f_{\bar{Q}}(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{p},t) = -\mathcal{C}_{\bar{Q}}^+ + \mathcal{C}_{\bar{Q}}^- + \mathcal{C}_{\bar{Q}}$
 $(7_{\boldsymbol{x}})f_{nl}(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{p},t) = +\mathcal{C}_{nl}^+ - \mathcal{C}_{nl}^-$

Coupled Boltzmann Equations

phase space evolution of distribution function

heavy quark

anti-heavy quark

each quarkonium state nl = 1S, 2S, 1P etc.

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \dot{\boldsymbol{x}} \cdot \nabla_{\boldsymbol{x}}\right) f_Q(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{p}, t) = -\mathcal{C}_Q^+ + \mathcal{C}_Q^- + \mathcal{C}_Q \\ & \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \dot{\boldsymbol{x}} \cdot \nabla_{\boldsymbol{x}}\right) f_{\bar{Q}}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{p}, t) = -\mathcal{C}_{\bar{Q}}^+ + \mathcal{C}_{\bar{Q}}^- + \mathcal{C}_{\bar{Q}} \\ & \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \dot{\boldsymbol{x}} \cdot \nabla_{\boldsymbol{x}}\right) f_{nl}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{p}, t) = +\mathcal{C}_{nl}^+ - \mathcal{C}_{nl}^- \end{aligned}$$

Xiaojun Yao (Duke)

heavy Q energy loss

recombination dissociation quarkonium gain quarkonium loss heavy quark loss heavy quark gain





Heavy Quark Energy Loss: Linearized Boltzmann $\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \dot{\boldsymbol{x}} \cdot \nabla_{\boldsymbol{x}}\right) f_Q(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{p}, t) = -\mathcal{C}_Q^+ + \mathcal{C}_Q^- + \mathcal{C}_Q$

- (LPM effect)
- Specific implementation: Duke LBT describe open heavy R_{AA} and v_2 developed by Weiyao Ke, Yingru Xu, Steffen Bass
- See posters for details ID: 288 (W. Ke) 300 (Y. Xu)

Collision terms: gQ—>gQ, qQ—>qQ, gQ—>gQg, qQ—>qQg, gQg—>gQ, qQg—>qQ

Gossiaux, Aichelin Phys.Rev.C78,014904(2008) Gossiaux, Bierkandt, Aichelin Phys.Rev.C79,044906(2009) Uphoff, Fochler, Xu, Greiner J.Phys.G42, no.11, 115106(2015)









$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{mbination, pNRQCD} \\ \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t) = & +\mathcal{C}_{nl}^{+} - \mathcal{C}_{nl}^{-} \\ H_{o}) \mathbf{O} + V_{A}(\mathbf{O}^{\dagger}\mathbf{r} \cdot g\mathbf{ES} + \text{h.c.}) + \frac{V_{B}}{2}\mathbf{O}^{\dagger}\{\mathbf{r} \cdot g\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{O}\} + \\ M \gg Mv \gg Mv^{2}, T, m_{D} \end{aligned}$$

Approach Equilibrium

Setup: QGP box, 1S state, b quark Total b flavor = 50 (fixed) Initial momenta thermal or uniform

Recombination from QCD effective field theory

Dissociation-recombination interplay drives to detailed balance

Heavy quark energy loss necessary to drive kinetic equilibrium of quarkonium







Initial production:

PYTHIA 8.2 Sjostrand, et al, Comput. Phys.Commun.191 (2015) 159

Eskola, Paukkunen, Salgado, Nuclear PDF (cold nuclear matter effect) JHEP 0904 (2009) 065

Bernhard, Moreland, Bass, Liu, Heinz, Trento, sample position, hydro. initial condition Phys.Rev.C94,no.2,024907(2016)

- Medium background: 2+1D viscous hydrodynamics
- Include 1S 2S, 2S feed-down 1S ~ 26% (from PDG)

Collision Event Simulation

Song, Heinz, Phys.Rev.C77,064901(2008) Shen, Qiu, Song, Bernhard, Bass, Heinz, Comput. Phys. Commun. 199, 61 (2016)





Upsilon in 2760 GeV PbPb Collision









Upsilon in 200 GeV AuAu Collision





STAR Talks at QM 17&18



Upsilon(1S) Azimuthal Anisotropy in 2760 GeV PbPb





Doubly Charmed Baryon

- LHCb observed a new baryon $\Xi_{cc}^{++}(ccu)$: u bound around cc core
- Pair of heavy Q in anti-triplet forms bound state (diquark)



 $Q\bar{Q}$ singlet color neutral exist in vacuum

- color, energy loss different from quarkonium
- Hadronize into doubly charmed baryon

LHCb, Phys. Rev. Lett. 119, no.11,112001 (2017)



QQ anti-triplet colored not exist in vacuum exist in QGP

cc diquark (1S)

Heavy diquark in QGP: dissociation, recombination (similar to quarkonium), carry

14





Doubly Charmed Baryon Production in Heavy Ion Collisions

Setup:

coupled Boltzmann for charm quark and diquark (add energy loss of diquark) assume only charm quark produced initially, diquark comes from (re)combination

Predicted production rate in 2760 GeV PbPb, -1<y<1, 0<pT<5 GeV, Ξ_{cc}^{++} 0.02 per collision with melting temperature = 250 MeV: Ξ_{cc}^{++} 0.0125 per collision

Study recombination from measurements







- Describe both open and hidden heavy flavors: coupled Boltzmann equation
- Consistent dissociation and recombination from pNRQCD
- Extract potential and melting temperature from data
- Future: include 1P 2P 3S states, temperature-dependent potential, systematic extraction procedure (e.g. Bayesian)
- Heavy diquarks and doubly heavy baryons / tetraquarks





- Bernhard, J. Scott Moreland
- Research funded by U.S. Department of Energy

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank my collaborators and colleagues: Berndt Mueller, Steffen Bass, Weiyao Ke, Yingru Xu, Jean-Francois Paquet, Jonah





Backup: Thermal Equilibrium

$$N_{i}^{eq} = g_{i} \operatorname{Vol} \int \frac{d^{3}p}{(2\pi)^{3}} \lambda_{i} e^{-E_{i}(p)/T}$$

$$E_{i}(p) = \sqrt{M_{i}^{2} + p^{2}}, \qquad M_{i} + \frac{p^{2}}{2M_{i}}$$

$$g_{\overline{b}} = 3 \times 2 = 6 \qquad \lambda_{b} = \lambda_{\overline{b}}$$

$$S_{j} = 3 + 1 = 4 \qquad \lambda_{b}^{2} = \lambda_{\Upsilon(1S)}$$

$$N_{i}^{eq} = g_{i} \operatorname{Vol} \int \frac{d^{3}p}{(2\pi)^{3}} \lambda_{i} e^{-E_{i}(p)/T}$$

$$E_{i}(p) = \sqrt{M_{i}^{2} + p^{2}}, \qquad M_{i} + \frac{p^{2}}{2M_{i}}$$

$$g_{\overline{b}} = 3 \times 2 = 6 \qquad \lambda_{b} = \lambda_{\overline{b}}$$

$$S_{j} = 3 + 1 = 4 \qquad \lambda_{b}^{2} = \lambda_{\Upsilon(1S)}$$

$$N_i^{\text{eq}} = g_i \text{Vol} \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} \lambda_i e^{-E_i(p)/T}$$

$$E_i(p) = \sqrt{M_i^2 + p^2}, \qquad M_i + \frac{p^2}{2M_i}$$

$$g_b = g_{\bar{b}} = 3 \times 2 = 6 \qquad \lambda_b = \lambda_{\bar{b}}$$

$$g_{\Upsilon(1S)} = 3 + 1 = 4 \qquad \lambda_b^2 = \lambda_{\Upsilon(1S)}$$

$$\begin{split} N_i^{\text{eq}} &= g_i \text{Vol} \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} \lambda_i e^{-E_i(p)/T} \\ E_i(p) &= \sqrt{M_i^2 + p^2}, \qquad M_i + \frac{p^2}{2M_i} \\ g_b &= g_{\bar{b}} = 3 \times 2 = 6 \qquad \lambda_b = \lambda_{\bar{b}} \\ g_{\Upsilon(1S)} &= 3 + 1 = 4 \qquad \lambda_b^2 = \lambda_{\Upsilon(1S)} \end{split}$$

hyperfine splitting not considered

$$N_b^{\mathrm{eq}} + \Lambda$$

 $V_b^{\rm eq} + N_{\Upsilon(1S)}^{\rm eq} = N_{b,\rm tot}$

Backup: Thermal Equilibrium





Backup: Initial Production

- Quarkonium production in pp collisions NRQCD factorization
 - short-distance production of heavy quarks ~ 1/M
 - long-distance coalescence into quarkonium ~ 1/E



Bodwin, Braaten, Lepage Phys. Rev. D 51, 1125 (1995)



Backup: Initial Production



Initially no quarkonium enters QGP quarkonium is formed (recombined) inside QGP or later (re)combination dominates

Initially quarkonium is generated and enters QGP suppressed due to screening dissociation dominates

QGP

Backup: Numerical Implementation

- Test particle Monte Carlo $f(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{p})$
- Each time step: consider diffusion, dissociation, recombination
- particles conserving energy momentum
- Recombination term contains f_{c}

Two delta at same x ill-defined, almost never at same point

Enhance sampling for recombination

$$f_Q(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{p}_1, t) f_{\bar{Q}}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{p}_2, t) \to \sum_{i,j} \frac{e^{-(\boldsymbol{y}_i - \boldsymbol{y}_j)^2 / 2a_B^2}}{(2\pi a_B^2)^{3/2}} \delta^3 \left(\boldsymbol{x} - \frac{\boldsymbol{y}_i + \boldsymbol{y}_j}{2} \right) \delta^3(\boldsymbol{p}_1 - \boldsymbol{k}_i) \delta^3(\boldsymbol{p}_2 - \boldsymbol{k}_j)$$

$$(t,t) = \sum_{i} \delta^{3}(\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{y}_{i}(t))\delta^{3}(\boldsymbol{p} - \boldsymbol{k}_{i}(t))$$

• If specific process occurs, sample incoming medium particles and outgoing

$$_{Q}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{p}_{1}, t) f_{\bar{Q}}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{p}_{2}, t)$$

Backup: Imaginary Part More Important





C. Miao, A. Mocsy, P. Petreczky arXiv:1012.4433



Backup: Diquark pNRQCD, Rates

$$\mathcal{L}_{pNRQCD} = \int d^3 r Tr \Big\{ T^{\dagger} (iD_0 - H_T) T + \Sigma \Big\}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}^{+} &\equiv \frac{1}{2}g_{+} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^{3}p_{1}}{(2\pi)^{3}} \frac{\mathrm{d}^{3}p_{2}}{(2\pi)^{3}} \frac{\mathrm{d}^{3}k_{1}}{(2\pi)^{3}} \frac{\mathrm{d}^{3}q}{(2\pi)^{3}2q} \left(1 + n_{B}^{(q)}\right) \\ \mathcal{F}^{-} &\equiv \frac{1}{2}g_{-} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^{3}k_{1}}{(2\pi)^{3}} \frac{\mathrm{d}^{3}k_{2}}{(2\pi)^{3}} \frac{\mathrm{d}^{3}p_{\mathrm{rel}}}{(2\pi)^{3}} \frac{\mathrm{d}^{3}q}{(2\pi)^{3}2q} n_{B}^{(q)} f_{cc}(q) \end{aligned}$$



 $\mathcal{C}_{c}^{+} \equiv \Gamma_{f}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{p}, t) f_{c}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{p}, t)$ $\mathcal{C}_{cc}^{-} \equiv \Gamma_{d}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{p}, t) f_{cc}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{p}, t).$ $\Sigma^{\dagger}(iD_0 - H_{\Sigma})\Sigma + T^{\dagger}\boldsymbol{r} \cdot g\boldsymbol{E}\Sigma + \Sigma^{\dagger}\boldsymbol{r} \cdot g\boldsymbol{E}T \Big\} + \cdots$

 $egin{aligned} & (m{x},m{p}_1,t)f_c(m{x},m{p}_2,t)(2\pi)^4\delta^3(m{k}_1+m{q}-m{k}_2)\delta(\Delta E)|\mathcal{M}|^2 \ & (m{x},m{k}_1,t)(2\pi)^4\delta^3(m{k}_1+m{q}-m{k}_2)\delta(\Delta E)|\mathcal{M}|^2 \,, \end{aligned}$

$$\frac{\delta}{\partial \boldsymbol{p}_{i}} \int \prod_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\mathrm{d}^{3} \boldsymbol{p}_{j}}{(2\pi)^{3}} h(\boldsymbol{p}_{1}, \boldsymbol{p}_{2}, \cdots, \boldsymbol{p}_{n}) \Big|_{\boldsymbol{p}_{i} = \boldsymbol{p}}$$

$$\frac{\delta}{\partial a(\boldsymbol{p})} \int \prod_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\mathrm{d}^{3} \boldsymbol{p}_{j}}{(2\pi)^{3}} h(\boldsymbol{p}_{1}, \boldsymbol{p}_{2}, \cdots, \boldsymbol{p}_{n}) a(\boldsymbol{p}_{i})$$

$$\int \prod_{j=1, j \neq i}^{n} \frac{\mathrm{d}^{3} \boldsymbol{p}_{j}}{(2\pi)^{3}} h(\boldsymbol{p}_{1}, \boldsymbol{p}_{2}, \cdots, \boldsymbol{p}_{i-1}, \boldsymbol{p}, \boldsymbol{p}_{i+1}, \cdots, \boldsymbol{p}_{n}),$$
(20)