Cosmology – Experimental Status

Mathieu de Naurois LLR – IN2P3 – CNRS – Ecole Polytechnique denauroi@in2p3.fr

History of the Universe







Observational Pillars I – Expansion

Mathieu de Naurois

Redshift

During the propagation of a photon, the universe gets diluted and the wavelenght increases by the same amount:



Mathieu de Naurois

What distance?









Reception

Distance at emission time? At reception time?

Best definition: distance travelled by photon as Universes expands (Comoving distance)

Calibrated using several types of objects (Distance Ladder)

$$d = a_0 \int_{t_e}^{t_r} \frac{dt}{a(t)} = \int_{a_0/(1+z)}^{a_0} \frac{a_0 da}{a \dot{a}} \propto \int \frac{dz}{H(z)}$$

 $\frac{dz}{H(z)}$ Depends on expansion evolution of the Universe => Probe for expansion 4

Hubble Law

Galaxies are separating apart at a speed proportional to their distance

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}R}{\mathrm{d}t} = H_0 R + v_p$$

Hubble flow

Proper Motion



Mathieu de Naurois

Observational Pillar II – Dark Matter

Mathieu de Naurois

Rotation Curve



 \Box Dark Matter represents ~ 85% of matter, and ~ 25% of total energy

Mathieu de Naurois

Other evidences

Gravitational Lensing

Temperature distribution of hot gas in galaxies and clusters of galaxies
 CMB

Mathieu de Naurois

Observational Pillars III – Cosmic Microwave Background

Mathieu de Naurois

Recombination & Decoupling (z = 1100)

Universe becoming suddenly transparent to light!



10

Predicted in the 1950 s, detected in 1964

□ Thermal emission emitted at the time of decoupling (transition from an nucleielectron plasma to neutral atoms, z ~ 1100, 380 000 yr after Big Bang

Diluted and redshifted by the expansion of the Universe



Mathieu de Naurois

Penzias and Wilson, 1964 ASP V – Windhoek - Namibia - 2018

CMB Detection

Discovered 1965 (Penzias & Wilson)
2.7 K blackbody
Isotropic (<1%)
Relic of hot "big bang"
1970's and 1980's
3 mK dipole (local Doppler)
δT/T < 10⁻⁵ on arcminute scales





COBE 1992
 Blackbody 2.728 K
 \$\emplies
 \$\emplies

Milky Way

COBE



Raw Temperature Map

Dipole (movement w/o Big Bang frame)

Foreground Galactic Emission + Cosmological fluctuations

Mathieu de Naurois

CMB Spectrum



AŊ.

Mathieu de Naurois

14

From COBE to Planck

COBE 1994



WMAP 2004



PLANCK 2009





Is CMB Homogeneous?

Plank temperature Map



Mathieu de Naurois

Spherical Harmonics



The lower WMAP harmonics... Mathieu de Naurois



CMB Angular Spectrum - 2015



Mathieu de Naurois

Acoustic Oscillation

 Oscillations due to coupling between matter and radiation (radiation pressure)

□ Wave travelling at

 $c/\sqrt{3}$

Small fluctuations oscillate faster

At the time of decoupling, situation is frozen => characteristic angular scale appear

 Density fluctuation translate into temperature variations





Mathieu de Naurois

Matter Content



Coupling between matter and radiation affects oscillation pattern

 \Box No matter = no oscillations



Mathieu de Naurois

Hubble Constant

Large expansion speed makes larger redshifts correspond to smaller distance. Structures appear larger



Cosmological Constant

Cosmological constant increases expansion speed, structures appear larger



The CMB is polarized!

- Thomson Scattering is polarized
- On last scattering surface, quadripolar anisotropies generate polarization of CMB (~ 10%)
- Would bring a lot of information on the early Universe (grav. waves)
- Different polarisation "modes" (scalar E, tensor B)



Polarisation @ Planck

(a 2002)

Predictions

ASP V – Wind

 \Box 2015 results

- Polarisation of E field consistent with expectations (generated by CMB anisotropies)
- No evidence for grav. Waves (" B modes")

First results (@2015)





Mathieu de Naurois



Observational Pillars IV – Formation of large structures

Mathieu de Naurois

Distribution of matter



SDSS 2D Map, of galaxies

3D Map contains 930 000 Galaxies

Baryonic Oscillations

□ The acoustic peak of the CMB is also visible in the Galaxy distribution



position of baryon sound wave at recombination

position of initial CDM–baryon perturbation

Mathieu de Naurois

Simulated Universe

Dark matter is the driver for structure formation

1 Gpc/h

Millennium Simulation 10.077.696.000 particles

> Millennium Simulation, Springel et al. (2005), ASP V – Windhoek - Namibia - 2018

Mathieu de Naurois

(z = 0)

Mass Function

Observed mass distributions well reproduced when incorporating dark matter
 In the absence of dark matter, predicted structures are too small



Mathieu de Naurois

Observational Pillars V – Type 1A Supernova,

Mathieu de Naurois

Type Ia Supvernova

- Accreting white dwarf exploding when reaching the Chandrasekhar mass
- Almost Standard Candles
- Luminosity redshift relation is related to history of the Universe



ASP V – Windhoe





Hubble Diagram



Mathieu de Naurois

redshift

-log(flux) ~ 2 log(distance)

SNI1a: Universe in accelerated Expansion



Mathieu de Naurois

CFHTLS / SNLS

Toward a FLAT universe, with cosmological constant (only using supernova and baryonic oscillations)



Mathieu de Naurois

Observational Pillars VI – Big Bang Nucleosynthesis

Mathieu de Naurois

Baryogenesis

The observed abundances of light elements according to mass fraction are:

Hydrogen 75%
Helium 24%
Heavier ("Metals") ~1%
Why?

Big Bang Nucleosynthesis (BBN) happens on small scales at energies below 10 MeV, hence we should have complete control over the physics (unlike the very early Universe).

BBN predictions are very sensitive to ambient conditions at t ~ 1 sec (T~ 1 MeV). Hence the constraints on new physics are some of the best available...

Summary of Baryogenesis

Baryogenesis starts by formation of neutrons (mandatory for deuterium):

- In competition with Universe expansion
- Number of neutrons frozen at
- Neutrons number decreases due to decay, until temperature is low enough that Deuterium is stable

Using all available neutrons, fraction of formed Helium is:

Consistent with observations

$$n + v_e \Leftrightarrow p + e^-$$

$$T_c \approx 0.8 \,\text{MeV} \quad \Rightarrow n/p \approx 5$$

$$\tau_n = 885.7 \pm 0.8 \,\text{s}$$

$$t \approx 200 \,\text{s} \quad \Rightarrow n/p \approx 0.125$$

$$X_{^{4}\mathrm{He}} \approx 2 \times (n/p) = 0.25$$

Mathieu de Naurois

Baryogenesis – Starting Point

As in the Sun, first reaction is Deuterium production
 Availability of neutrons is essential! (in the sun, produced by β+ reaction)



Baryogenesis

Relative abundances under thermal equilibrium:

$$M_i \propto (m_i T)^{3/2} \exp\left(\frac{-m_i}{T}\right)$$

Equilibrium ratio of neutrons to protons (weak interactions)

$$\frac{n}{p} \approx \exp\left(-\frac{Q}{T}\right)$$
 where $Q = m_n - m_p \approx 1,29 \text{ MeV}$

• At high T, $n \sim p$, whereas at low T, $n/p \rightarrow 0$

Need tuning of baryogenesis temperature
 In competition with expansion of the Universe
 In competition with neutron decay

Equilibrium?

Equilibrium condition valid only when reaction rate is large enough

production rate

$$\Gamma > H = \left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\right)$$

expansion of Universe

$$n + v_e \Leftrightarrow p + e^-$$

□ Freeze-out temperature

$$\Gamma = G_F^2 T^5 \sim 10^{-10} \text{ GeV}^4 T^5$$

$$H \sim T^2 / M_{\text{pl}} \text{ where } M_{\text{pl}} = 10^{19} \text{ GeV}$$



40

Predicted Ratio

□At freeze-out

$$\frac{n}{p} \approx \exp\left(-\frac{Q}{T_c}\right) = \exp\left(-\frac{1,29}{0,8}\right) \approx 1/5$$

With time, n/p decreases due to neutron decay:

$$\tau_n = 885, 7 \pm 0, 8 \,\mathrm{s}$$

Hence, at most we could form 33% of ⁴He by mass(using all available neutrons) which is significantly larger than the observed 24%. Why is there only 24% helium?

Mathieu de Naurois

Deuterium Bottleneck

Production of Deuterium is at equilibrium at ~ 1 MeV

$$p + n \Leftrightarrow D + \gamma$$

Equilibrium depends on the photon to baryon ratio. This is the only free parameter in the model:

$$\eta = n_B / n_\gamma \sim 10^{-8} \Omega_B h^2$$

The theory then predicts, at T ~ 1 MeV, the following abundances:

$$X_D \sim \eta X_p X_n \quad 10^{-12} \quad \text{where} \quad X_D = 2 n_D / n_B$$

While Universe is still too hot, Deuterium is immediately destroyed by encounter with high energy photons

Mathieu de Naurois

Deuterium formation

 \Box D stops being destroyed at t ~ 156 s (T = 0.08 MeV) \Box At that time and until t ~ 200 s, all neutrons are used to produce Deuterium U What is the neutron fraction at this time? □ Neutron decay decreased the number: $\tau_n = 885, 7 \pm 0, 8 \text{ s}$ \Box At t = 200 s, the neutron ratio decreased to $\frac{n}{p} = \frac{n_0}{p_0} \times \exp\left(-\frac{t}{\tau}\right) \approx \frac{1}{6} \exp\left(-\frac{200}{886}\right) = 0.125$ $X_{^{4}\mathrm{He}} \approx 2 \times (n/p) = 0.25$ So we expect:

Mathieu de Naurois

Going to Heavy Elements



Subsequent production of elements up to Lithium can be calculated
 Heaver elements not produced in Big Bang, but in stars

Mathieu de Naurois

Predicted Relative Abundances

- Evolution of abundances as function of baryonic content of the Universe
- When taking everything into account, observed abundances match well the predictions
- □ They are self-consistent and give $\Omega_{\rm B} \sim 0.04$ -0.05, consistent with other measurements
- Only free parameter: photon to baryon ration
 Measured abundances



Mathieu de Naurois

The Miracle

The abundance of light elements is very sensitive to two things:

The age of the universe when the temperature drops to 0.08 MeV (neutron decay – D production)
 The expansion rate of the cosmos at T ~ 1 MeV (freezeout)

Why does the expansion rate permits freeze-out at T = 1 MeV? Later freeze out would result in no neutrons at all, thus no life

□ Why is the neutron life time such as the fraction at T = 0.08 MeV is still significant? Shorter life-time will result in no matter

A-CDM Paradigm (Dark Energy – Cold Dark Matter)

Mathieu de Naurois

Composition of the Universe

- Robust model based on several pillars:
 - Expansion measurement (Supernova, ..)
 - Astronomical observation of dark matter (rotation curves, ...)
 - CMB
 - Formation of large structures
 - Big bang nucleosynthesis
- □ Dark energy dominated (now)
- □ Was matter dominated in the past
- Was radiation dominated in early times



Mathieu de Naurois

ACDM model @ 2016

ASP V – Windhoek - Namibia - 2018



Mathieu de Naurois



Brief Thermal History



Mathieu d Copyright C Addison Wesley.

Remaining Problems

Mathieu de Naurois

Cosmic Problem 1 : Isotropy & Horizon



The Universe is surprisingly homogeneous at large scale, though the horizon at decoupling time corresponds to ~ 1 degree

How is it possible?

Mathieu de Naurois

Cosmic Problem 2: flatness

We know that our universe is flat to within a few percent...
 But gravity generate curvature... So the flatness of the cosmos is a mystery

 $\epsilon = \Omega_{tot} - 1$

$$\dot{\epsilon} = -2 \epsilon \left(\frac{\ddot{a}}{\dot{a}}\right)$$

Mathieu de Naurois

ASP V – Windhoek - Namibia - 2018

 $\ddot{a} > 0$

 $\ddot{a} < 0$

Flatness problem

Today $\epsilon = 0.01 \pm 0.02$

\Box (*a*) t = 10⁻⁴³ s, this requires

 $\epsilon < 10^{-60}$

Such a precise tuning seems completely unlikely



Mathieu de Naurois

Cosmic Problem 3: Birth of fluctuations

- The simple big-bang Model does not provide enough seeds for the formation of structures
- One need to assume seed fluctuation much larger than simple quantum fluctuation at decoupling time



Cosmic Problem 4: the baryonic universe

There must have been a tiny matter - anti-matter asymmetry in the early universe: 10 000 000 001 protons produced for 10 000 000 000 anti-protons.

- Anti-protons annihilated with protons, leaving ~1 proton per ~ 10¹⁰ photons today
 - why and how did this happen?
- □ We should expect no baryons at all... since they should have annihilated with an equal number of anti-baryons...
- To get an asymmetry requires non-equilibrium physics and violation of CP and B conservation
 - CP Violation in Standard Model (K & B mesons) is not sufficient, need physics beyond SM

Several mechanisms proposed (neutrino-induced CP violation, leptogenesis, ...)

Inflation – The solution?

Mathieu de Naurois

Inflation – the solution?

□ If the universe was in accelerated expansion it would become flat...





Acceleration: Raychaudhuri equation (c=1) $\left(\frac{\ddot{a}}{a}\right) = -\frac{4}{3}\pi G \sum_{i} \left(\rho_{i} + 3 p_{i}\right)$

□ Inflation requires negative pressure: $\rho_i + 3 p_i < 0$ □ But cosmological constant in negligible in early Universe

Mathieu de Naurois

Inflation field

Inflation

• A scalar field, the $V(\phi)$ so-called inflaton, dominates the early Universe

 □ Inflation is produced by slow-roll of the field Flat potential → Uniform energy density → acts as a slowly decreasing cosmological constant

Inflation stops around minimum of potential, released energy by inflaton decay reheats the medium and gives rise to particle production

Then follows a classical Universe

Mathieu de Naurois

Inflation

Inflation begins around 10⁻³³ seconds after the big bang and expands the Universe by a factor 10³⁰ to solve the cosmological problems

The quantum seeds for structures are expanded by the same factor

Inflation naturally leads to a flat Universe

Inflation increases naturally the size of homogeneous regions

It could be related to the Higgs field

Still need to be proved!

Mathieu de Naurois

ASP V – Windhoek - Namibia - 2018

Classical no inflation

Acceleration

Inflation



Conclusion

- □ The A-CDM hot big-band model is well established by a large number of observations, relying on several consistent pillars
- The Universe has entered an accelerated expansion phase
- BUT the very early days of the Universe remains mysterious. Several problems point toward an inflation.
 - What is the inflation field? What is its potential form? Where does it come from? Do we actually need inflation?
 - What is the Dark Matter?
 - What is the Dark Energy?