## FCC week - Amsterdam - April 2018.

## Flavour studies at FCC-ee.

### Stéphane Monteil, Clermont University, LPC-IN2P3-CNRS.

S.Monteil



- 1) A kind of summary
- 2) FCC-ee machine specifics for Flavour Physics
- 3) Flavour anomalies and FCC-ee.
- 4) New results on *CP* violation studies.







- Given the available manpower to conduct the Flavour studies at FCC-ee, instead of trying to cover the whole Physics case, we focused on some outstanding subjects of the field by selecting a few places where the FCC-*ee* experiments will (most likely) be unique.
- We succeeded at getting:
  - The EWP dileptonic decays covered through their most difficult final state one can imagine ( $B^0 \rightarrow K^{*0} \tau^+ \tau^-$ ). On both phenomenology end experimental sides. It sets the scale. Qui peut le plus peut le moins.
  - The LFV Z decays studied both phenomenologically and experimentally. An exploration of the possible observation of the CP violation in B-meson mixings. Flavour Physics requirements (vertexing, tracking and hadron PID).

- We failed in this sequence of the Design Study at • having explored the infamous / important  $B_s \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^-$ getting a significant experimental community on board (yet).

S.Monteil









S.Monteil





Working point	Lumi. / IP $[10^{34} \text{ cm}^{-1}]$	$^{-2}.\mathrm{s}^{-1}$ ] Total	lumi. (2 IPs	) Run tim	ie Phy	vsics goal	
Z first phase	100	26	$ab^{-1}$ /year	2			
Z second phase	200	52	$52 \text{ ab}^{-1}$ /year		15	$150 \text{ ab}^{-1}$	
Particle product	$10^9 B^0 / \overline{B}^0$	$B^{+} / B^{-}$	$B^0_s \ / \ \overline{B}^0_s$	$\Lambda_b \ / \ \overline{\Lambda}_b$	$c\overline{c}$	$\tau^-/\tau^+$	
Belle II	[ 27.5	27.5	n/a	n/a	65	45	
FCC-ee	e 1000	1000	250	250	1000	500	

- b-hadrons and tau leptons assumed for the CDR.
- the time-integrated beauty and charm physics in fully charged modes.

S.Monteil

Flavours @ FCC-ee



The baseline parameters and the operation model yields the following production rates of

• A direct comparison with LHCb yields requires a more involved approach (mode by mode) to take into account trigger and reconstruction efficiencies. LHCb (50 /fb) will dominate





Decay mode/Experiment	Belle II $(50/ab)$	LHCb Run I	LHCb Upgr. $(50/fb)$	FCC-ee
EW/H penguins				
$B^0 \to K^*(892)e^+e^-$ $B^0 \to K^*(892)\tau^+\tau^-$ (B)	$\mathcal{O}(2000)\ \mathcal{O}(10)$	$150_{-}$	$\mathcal{O}(5000)$ _	$\mathcal{O}(200000) \ \mathcal{O}(1000)$
$B_s \to \mu^+ \mu^-$	n/a	20	$\mathcal{O}(500)$	$\mathcal{O}(800)$
$D^* \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu$	O(3)		$\mathcal{O}(50)$	$\mathcal{O}(100)$
CP-violating phases				
$B^0 \to J/\Psi K_S$	$O(2.10^{6})$	41500	$\mathcal{O}(10^6)$	$O(35.10^{6})$
$\sigma_{\sin(2\phi_d)}$	0.008	0.04	0.01	0.006
$B_s(B^0) \to J/\Psi\phi$	n/a	96000	$\mathcal{O}(2.10^6)$	${\cal O}(15.10^6)$
$\sigma_{\phi_s} \ (\mathrm{rad})$	n/a	0.049	0.008	0.003
$B_s \to D_s^{\pm} K^{\mp}$	n/a	6000	$\mathcal{O}(200000)$	$\mathcal{O}(30.10^6)$

Decay mode/Experiment	Belle II $(50/ab)$	LHCb Run I	LHCb Upgr. $(50/fb)$	FCC-ee
EW/H penguins				
$B^{0} \rightarrow K^{*}(892)e^{+}e^{-}$ $B^{0} \rightarrow K^{*}(892)\tau^{+}\tau^{-} (\mathcal{B})$ $B_{s} \rightarrow \mu^{+}\mu^{-}$ $B^{0} \rightarrow \mu^{+}\mu^{-}$	${egin{array}{c} {\cal O}(2000) \ {\cal O}(10) \ { m n/a} \ {\cal O}(5) \end{array}$	150  	${\cal O}(5000) \ - \ {\cal O}(500) \ {\cal O}(500) \ {\cal O}(500)$	${egin{array}{lll} {\cal O}(200000) \ {\cal O}(1000) \ {\cal O}(800) \ {\cal O}(100) \ {\cal O}(100) \end{array}$
CP-violating phases				
$B^{0} \rightarrow J/\Psi K_{S}$ $\sigma_{\sin(2\phi_{d})}$ $B_{s}(B^{0}) \rightarrow J/\Psi \phi$ $\sigma_{\phi_{s}} \text{ (rad)}$ $B_{s} \rightarrow D_{s}^{\pm} K^{\mp}$	O(2.10 <sup>6</sup> ) 0.008 n/a n/a n/a	$\begin{array}{c} 41500 \\ 0.04 \\ 96000 \\ 0.049 \\ 6000 \end{array}$	$egin{aligned} \mathcal{O}(10^6) \ 0.01 \ \mathcal{O}(2.10^6) \ 0.008 \ \mathcal{O}(200000) \end{aligned}$	$egin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$

species. The FCC-ee experiments will compete favourably everywhere.

S.Monteil



• The Belle II and LHCb experiments are complementary in their Physics reach. Belle II will mostly dominate the CP eigenstates measurements w B-mesons, LHCb's realm will be on fully charged final states for all b-hadron





- initial conditions energy-wise.
- hadron).
- feature for the Flavour Physics studies (beyond those presented here).



The energy spread of the beams (~ 50 MeV) to determine event-by-event the actual

 Amongst the main characteristics for Flavour Physics is the boost experienced at the Z pole (fragmentation of the b-quark provides is  $\sim 75\%$  of the beam energy to the b-

• Conversely, the excellent capacity of reconstructing detached vertices is a decisive





- The expected vertexing performance at FCC-*ee* (detector at < 2 cm from the inferred from the decay flight distances.
- Example:  $X \rightarrow Y(Y \rightarrow [a]b) Z$  with a not reconstructed.

- Three momentum components to be searched for:

  - The measurement of X momentum direction fixes 2 d.o.f. • An additional constraint closes the system:  $m_Y$  or a tertiary vertex. • Usually, quadratic form of the constraints: solution up to an ambiguity.

S.Monteil

Flavours @ FCC-ee



# interaction point) allows to reconstruct precisely the missing momentum in decays





### 2) Flavour anomalies and FCC-ee

S.Monteil







### 2) Flavour anomalies

- angular distributions of the mode  $B^0 \rightarrow K^{*0} \mu^+ \mu^-$  are concerned.
- QCD). The LU studies have experimental challenges ( $q^2$  dependence / double ratio).



S.Monteil



• There are persistent departures of the measurements of the FCNC decays  $b \rightarrow s \ell^+ \ell^-$  w.r.t. the SM / QCD predictions. They are consistent among experiments (Belle, LHCb and others) as far as the

• LHCb sees consistent departures to lepton universality (LU) in the ratios. Comments on theoretical and experimental challenges: the angular analyses do have theoretical uncertainties (long distance







### 2) Flavour anomalies and FCC-ee

- The companion decay modes  $B^0 \to K^{*0} e^+e^-$  (angular analysis) and mostly  $B^0 \to K^{*0} \tau^+\tau^$ are important ingredients to interpret the discrepancies, should they be confirmed: likely unique at FCC-ee.
- The available statistics for the former at FCC-ee is beyond competition.
- The latter requires partial reconstruction, *i.e.* the use of the production and decay vertices to solve the kinematics of the decay. But the SM branching fraction can likely only be attained at FCC-ee.
- Data-driven model-independent approaches provide very significant enhancement of <u>arXiv:1712.01919</u> of  $b \rightarrow s\tau^+\tau^-$  transitions. More generally, final states with  $\tau$  particles are model killers.
- The mode  $B^0 \rightarrow K^{*0} \tau^+ \tau^-$  has received a special attention in the FCC-*ee* context.

Flavours @ FCC-ee









### 2) The search for $B^0 \rightarrow K^{*0}\tau^+\tau^-$

- Makes use of partial reconstruction technique to solve the kinematics of the decay. Sensitivity relies on vertexing performance
- Conditions: baseline luminosity, SM calculations of signal and background BF, vertexing and tracking performance as ILD detector. Primary vertex  $\rightarrow$  3 um, SV  $\rightarrow$  7 um, TV  $\rightarrow$  5 um
- Backgrounds: (pink DsK\*taunu and DsDsK\*) [signal in red+green].

Comment in order: at baseline luminosity, under SM hypothesis, more than 10<sup>3</sup> events of reconstructed signal. Angular analysis possible.

S.Monteil











Performance / Conditions	ILD-like	ILD /2	ILD/4
Efficiency of the identification of the correct solution (%)	42,3	52,6	62
Invariant mass resolution (core) [MeV/ $c^2$ ]	42(1)	36(1)	27(1)

### S.Monteil







### 2) The search for $B^0 \rightarrow K^{*0}\tau^+\tau^-$ . Executive summary.

- It is likely unique ro FCC-*ee*.
- The study so far is considering the three-prongs decays of taus w/o neutrals. More than doubling the statistics w/ neutral pions, w/o loss of method generali
- Clean measurement of the branching fraction, even differential in  $q^2$  [O(5%) for SM-like decay].
- As soon as we go to angular observables, the angular resolution will provide additional constraints on the detector.
- The paper arXiv:1705.11106 suggests several observables, to be considered in the next steps of the design study, with full simulation embodied. S.Monteil



• Should the LFUV anomalies confirmed, the mode  $B^0 \rightarrow K^{*0}\tau^+\tau^-$  is a model kill

Flavours @ FCC-ee

ller.
ity.
or a

### 3) Hunting for new CP-violating phases

S.Monteil





- observed baryonic asymmetry in the Universe.
- for consistently by this single parameter (see next-to-next slide).
- All what follows is tagged preliminary.



• The 3x3 CKM mass mixing matrix exhibits a unique complex parameter. It is the only source of CP violation in the Standard Model. It fails at explaining the

• Yet, all CP asymmetries observed in the K and B meson systems are accounted

 The hunt for additional CP-violating phases can advantageously proceed through model-independent approaches, acknowledging the tremendous success of the CKM paradigm to describe the data (Minimal Flavour Violation hypothesis).









### 3) Hunting for new CP-violating phases. And the good old ones first?

quark pair production) is less efficient than at Belle II but mitigated by the statistics increase. Here luminosity scaling of the Physics reach.





 Given the cleanliness of the experimental environment, all CP eigenstates can be advantageously studied. The boost at the Z provides the versatility of precise decay-time dependent studies. The flavour tagging (because of the incoherent b-

)	LHCb Run I	LHCb Upgr. $(50/fb)$	FCC-ee
) 3	$\begin{array}{c} 41500\\ 0.04\end{array}$	${\cal O}(10^6)\ 0.01$	${\cal O}(35.10^6)\ 0.006$
l	$96000 \\ 0.049$	${\cal O}(2.10^6)\ 0.008$	${\cal O}(15.10^6)\ 0.003$
ł	6000	$\mathcal{O}(200000)$	$O(30.10^{6})$





### 3) Hunting for new *CP*-violating phases

### The unitarity triangle



S.Monteil

Flavours @ FCC-ee



- The focus was not these last years on the UT anymore (though Belle II and LHCb improvements on *CP* observables should revive the subject). Yet, there are firm statements that can be drawn and can't be escaped
- CKM is at work in weak charged current.
- The KM phase IS the dominant source of *CP* violation in *K* and *B* particle systems. Implications for what comes next.



$$egin{aligned} &\left\langle B_{q} \left| \left. \mathcal{H}_{\Delta B=2}^{\mathrm{SM}+\mathrm{NP}} \left| \left. ar{B}_{q} 
ight
angle 
ight. &\equiv \left\langle B_{q} \left| \left. \mathcal{H}_{\Delta B=2}^{\mathrm{SM}} 
ight. &\times \left( \mathrm{Re}(\Delta_{q}) + i \mathrm{Re}(\Delta_{q}) + i \mathrm{Im}(\Delta_{q}) = r_{q}^{2} e^{i 2 heta_{q}} = 1 + i \mathrm{Re}(\Delta_{q}) + i \mathrm{Im}(\Delta_{q}) = r_{q}^{2} e^{i 2 heta_{q}} = 1 + i \mathrm{Im}(\Delta_{q}) + i \mathrm{Im}(\Delta_{q}) = r_{q}^{2} e^{i 2 heta_{q}} = 1 + i \mathrm{Im}(\Delta_{q}) + i \mathrm{Im}(\Delta_{q}) = r_{q}^{2} e^{i 2 heta_{q}} = 1 + i \mathrm{Im}(\Delta_{q}) + i \mathrm{Im}(\Delta_{q}) = r_{q}^{2} e^{i 2 heta_{q}} = 1 + i \mathrm{Im}(\Delta_{q}) + i \mathrm{Im}(\Delta_{q}) = r_{q}^{2} e^{i 2 heta_{q}} = 1 + i \mathrm{Im}(\Delta_{q}) + i \mathrm{Im}(\Delta_{q}) = r_{q}^{2} e^{i 2 heta_{q}} = 1 + i \mathrm{Im}(\Delta_{q}) + i \mathrm{Im}(\Delta_{q}) = r_{q}^{2} e^{i 2 heta_{q}} = 1 + i \mathrm{Im}(\Delta_{q}) + i \mathrm{Im}(\Delta_{q}) = r_{q}^{2} e^{i 2 heta_{q}} = 1 + i \mathrm{Im}(\Delta_{q}) + i \mathrm{Im}(\Delta_{q}) = r_{q}^{2} e^{i 2 heta_{q}} = 1 + i \mathrm{Im}(\Delta_{q}) + i \mathrm{Im}(\Delta_{q}) = r_{q}^{2} e^{i 2 heta_{q}} = 1 + i \mathrm{Im}(\Delta_{q}) + i \mathrm{Im}(\Delta_{q}) = r_{q}^{2} e^{i 2 heta_{q}} = 1 + i \mathrm{Im}(\Delta_{q}) + i \mathrm{Im}(\Delta_{q}) = r_{q}^{2} e^{i 2 heta_{q}} = 1 + i \mathrm{Im}(\Delta_{q}) + i \mathrm{Im}(\Delta_{q}) = r_{q}^{2} e^{i 2 heta_{q}} = 1 + i \mathrm{Im}(\Delta_{q}) + i \mathrm{Im}(\Delta_{q}) = r_{q}^{2} e^{i 2 heta_{q}} = 1 + i \mathrm{Im}(\Delta_{q}) + i \mathrm{Im}(\Delta_{q}) = r_{q}^{2} e^{i 2 heta_{q}} = 1 + i \mathrm{Im}(\Delta_{q}) + i \mathrm{Im}(\Delta_{q}) = r_{q}^{2} e^{i 2 heta_{q}} = 1 + i \mathrm{Im}(\Delta_{q}) + i \mathrm{Im}(\Delta_{q}) = r_{q}^{2} e^{i 2 heta_{q}} = 1 + i \mathrm{Im}(\Delta_{q}) + i \mathrm{Im}(\Delta_{q}) = r_{q}^{2} e^{i 2 heta_{q}} = 1 + i \mathrm{Im}(\Delta_{q}) + i \mathrm{Im}(\Delta_{q}) = r_{q}^{2} e^{i 2 heta_{q}} = 1 + i \mathrm{Im}(\Delta_{q}) + i \mathrm{Im}(\Delta_{q}) = r_{q}^{2} e^{i 2 heta_{q}} = 1 + i \mathrm{Im}(\Delta_{q}) + i \mathrm{Im}(\Delta_{q}) = r_{q}^{2} e^{i 2 heta_{q}} = 1 + i \mathrm{Im}(\Delta_{q}) = r_{q}^{2} e^{i 2 heta_{q}} = 1 + i \mathrm{Im}(\Delta_{q}) = r_{q}^{2} e^{i 2 heta_{q}} = 1 + i \mathrm{Im}(\Delta_{q}) = r_{q}^{2} e^{i 2 heta_{q}} = 1 + i \mathrm{Im}(\Delta_{q}) = r_{q}^{2} e^{i 2 heta_{q}} = 1 + i \mathrm{Im}(\Delta_{q}) = r_{q}^{2} e^{i 2 heta_{q}} = 1 + i \mathrm{Im}(\Delta_{q}) = r_{q}^{2} e^{i 2 heta_{q}} = 1 + i \mathrm{Im}(\Delta_{q}) = r_{q}^{2} e^{i 2 heta_{q}} = 1 + i \mathrm{Im}(\Delta_{q}) = r_{q}^{2} e^{$$

### **Assumptions:**

 $\checkmark$  only the short distance part of the mixing processes might receive NP contributions.

 $\checkmark$  Unitary 3x3 CKM matrix (Flavour violation only from the Yukawas-MFV hypothesis).

quantities which do not receive NP contributions in that scenario are:

 $|V_{ud}|, |V_{us}|, |V_{ub}|, |V_{cb}|, B^+ \to \tau^+ \nu_\tau \text{ and } \gamma$ 

S.Monteil



### Model-independent approach to constrain BSM Physics in neutral meson mixing processes

 $_{=2}\left|\bar{B}_{q}\right\rangle$  $i \operatorname{Im}(\Delta_q)$  $-h_{a}e^{i\sigma_{q}}$ 

Soares & Wolfenstein, PRD 47, 1021 (1993) Deshpande, Dutta & Oh, PRL77, 4499 (1996) Silva & Wolfenstein, PRD 55, 5331 (1997) Cohen et al., PRL78, 2300 (1997) Grossman, Nir & Worah, PLB 407, 307 (1997)

✓ Tree-level processes are not affected by NP (so-called SM4FC: b→ $q_iq_iq_k$  (i≠j≠k)). As a consequence, the

Flavours @ FCC-ee



the art w/ the FCC-ee anticipated landscape (assuming SM).



# A - The universal unitarity triangle: fixing CKM parameters. Comparison of the state of



?





depending on the NP complex number (here parameterised as  $\Delta_q = |\Delta_q|e^{i2\Phi_q^{NP}}$ ).



Hyp: SM values of the flavour-specific asymmetries can be measured w/ 20% precision.

S.Monteil

B - Knowing the CKM parameters, one can introduce the constraints of the B mixing obs.







Aparté - CP violation in the neutral B-meson mixing at FCC-ee

- The CP breaking in the interference between the decay and the mixing of the B<sup>0</sup> was firmly established by the BaBar and Belle experiments in 2001. In contrast CP violation in Bmeson mixings is not observed to date. It can be searched for through measurements of asymmetries in the decay rates into flavour-specific states
- SM predictions are small

 $a_{\rm fs} = \frac{\Gamma(\overline{B}_q^0 \to B_q^0)}{\Gamma(\overline{B}_q^0 \to B_q^0)}$ 

- $a_{\rm fs}^d = -(4.7 \pm 0.6)$
- $a_{\rm fs}^s = +(2.2 \pm 0.3)$
- Current measurements consistent with the SM predictions but with large uncertainties. Some measurements in tension with the WA.

S.Monteil

$$3) \cdot 10^{-1}$$







 Generation w/ Pythia8+Evtgen of about 20 decay modes corresponding to

 $\bar{b} \to B^0 \to D^- \mu^+ \nu_\mu X, \ D^- \to K^+ \pi^- \pi^-$ 

- Dominant backgound from charged B-mesons
- At baseline luminosity, assuming a flavour tagging efficiency of O(10%), a selection efficiency of O(80%), the total number of useful tagged events at FCC-*ee* amounts to few 10<sup>8</sup>, which must improve the present accuracy by one order of magnitude and allows for first observation.
- Similar study to be performed for B<sub>s</sub>

S.Monteil







### C - Results for the Bs assuming that FCC-ee can measure CP violation in the Bs mixing



S.Monteil







## 3) Hunting for new CP-violating phases - BSM in $\Delta F = 2$ quark transitions

### D - In the other BSM parameterisation space





0.9

0.8

0.7

0.6

0.5

0.4

0.3

0.2

0.1

0.0

0.9

0.8

0.7

0.6

0.5

0.4

0.3

0.2

- 0.1



- The prospective paper arXiv:1309.2293 [hep-ph]] will be shortly updated (before FCC-ee reach.
- ee and would improve the BSM constraints.
- Anomalies about Lepton Flavour Universality: should they be confirmed, the most synergies with the direct searches.
- This Physics scope covers the developed Flavours @ FCC-ee CDR chapter.

S.Monteil

Flavours @ FCC-ee



Summer) in the light of the revised Physics reach of the Belle II experiment and the experimental programs envisaged with LHCb upgrades. A section will be dedicated to

The CP violation in the B mixings (SM ballpark), interesting per se, is at reach at FCC-

complicated final state experimentally  $B^0 \rightarrow K^{*0}\tau^+\tau^-$  (which can help as well to sort out models) can be studied in details at FCC-*ee*, up to angular distributions. There must be



### 4) Outlook and wrap-up

- Installation of a Flavour Physics case at FCC-ee
- The anticipated landscape after LHCb upgrade  $\mathbf{2}$ and Belle II experiments
- Rare decays and lepton universality violation 3
- 3.1  $b \rightarrow s\ell^+\ell^-$  phenomenology
- Experimental sensitivity for  $B^0 \to K^*(892)\tau^+\tau^-$ 3.2
- Search for  $B^0 \to \tau^+ \tau^-$ 3.3
- Lepton Flavour violation in Z decays 4
- *CP* violation in the quark sector  $\mathbf{5}$
- The  $\gamma$  angle measurement with  $B_s \to D_s^{\pm} K^{\mp}$ 5.1
- Search for *CP* violation in neutral *B* meson mixings 5.2
- Perspectives for the CKM global fit 5.3
- Perspectives for the search for BSM Physics in  $\Delta F = 2$  $\mathbf{5.4}$ transitions CKM global fit
- Additional studies: LFV in au decays , c-and b-6 hadron spectroscopy, exclusive decays of the Z boson
- Requirements for the detector design and perfor-7 mance

S.Monteil



27