

Sterile Neutrinos at the FCC

Searches for physics beyond the Standard Model



Oliver Fischer

oliver.fischer@kit.edu

Karlsruhe Institute of Technology

Abstract

Sterile neutrinos are among the most attractive extensions of the SM to generate the light neutrino masses observed in neutrino oscillation experiments. When the sterile neutrinos are subject to a protective symmetry, they can have masses around the electroweak scale and potentially large neutrino Yukawa couplings, which makes them testable at planned future particle colliders. We systematically discuss the production and decay channels at electron-positron, proton-proton and electron-proton colliders and provide a complete list of the leading order signatures for sterile neutrino searches. Among other things, we discuss several novel search channels, and present a first look at the possible sensitivities for the active-sterile mixings and the heavy neutrino masses. We compare the performance of the different collider types and discuss their complementarity.

Introduction: The Origin Of Neutrino Masses

<h3>Observation of neutrino oscillations</h3> <p>Nobelprize 2015 for T. Kajita and A. McDonald Light neutrinos are flavor mixed mass eigenstates Requires a neutrino mass matrix</p>	<h3>Neutrino Masses in the SM</h3> <p>No $\nu_R \Rightarrow$ no mass matrix in the SM Extension with ν_R is physics beyond the SM.</p>
<h3>The (naïve) Seesaw Mechanism</h3> <p>Simplified version with one ν_L and one ν_R. Mass matrix with Dirac and Majorana masses:</p> $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & m_D \\ m_D & M_M \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow m_\nu = \frac{m_D^2}{2M_M}$ <p>More realistic version with two ν_L and 2 ν_R: m_D and $M_M \rightarrow$ matrices.</p>	<h3>Lowscale Seesaw</h3> <p>Special structure of m_D and M_M:</p> $m_D = \begin{pmatrix} m & 0 \\ m & 0 \end{pmatrix}, M_M = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & M \\ M & \epsilon \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow m_{\nu_i} = \epsilon \frac{m^2}{M^2}$ <p>Large m_D compatible with neutrino oscillations</p>

The Symmetry Protected Seesaw Scenario

<h3>The Model</h3> <p>Sterile neutrinos N_1 and N_2, opposite symmetry charge, additional sterile neutrinos decoupled:</p> $\mathcal{L}_N = -\frac{1}{2} \bar{N}_R^i M (N_R^i)^c - y_{\nu\alpha} \bar{N}_R^i \tilde{\phi}^\dagger L^\alpha + \text{H.c.}$ <p>Mass matrix:</p> $\mathcal{M}_\nu = -\frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{y_{\nu\alpha} v_{EW}}{\sqrt{s}} & 0 \\ \frac{y_{\nu\alpha} v_{EW}}{\sqrt{s}} & 0 & M \\ 0 & M & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \text{H.c.}$ <p>Neutrino mixing: $\theta_\alpha = \frac{m_D}{M}$, $\theta^2 = \sum \theta_\alpha ^2$</p>	<h3>The leptonic mixing matrix \mathcal{U}</h3> $\begin{pmatrix} N_{e1} & N_{e2} & N_{e3} & -\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}\theta_e & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\theta_e \\ N_{\mu 1} & N_{\mu 2} & N_{\mu 3} & -\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}\theta_\mu & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\theta_\mu \\ N_{\tau 1} & N_{\tau 2} & N_{\tau 3} & -\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}\theta_\tau & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\theta_\tau \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ -\theta_e^* & -\theta_\mu^* & -\theta_\tau^* & -\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}(1 - \frac{\theta^2}{2}) & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1 - \frac{\theta^2}{2}) \end{pmatrix}$ <p>$\mathcal{N} \sim$ PMNS as submatrix not unitary. $J^{\mu,\pm} = \ell_\alpha \gamma^\mu \nu_i N_{\alpha i}$, $J^{\mu,0} = \nu_i \gamma^\mu \nu_j (\mathcal{N}^\dagger \mathcal{N})_{ij}$</p>						
<h3>Constraints from precision measurements</h3> <p>Analysis of non-unitarity of the PMNS matrix: EWPO, lepton universality, cLFV, CKM unitarity Results from [1] at 90% Bayesian C.L.:</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>$-0.0021 \leq \epsilon_{ee} \leq -0.0002$</td> <td>$\epsilon_{e\mu} < 1.0 \times 10^{-5}$</td> </tr> <tr> <td>$-0.0004 \leq \epsilon_{\mu\mu} \leq 0$</td> <td>$\epsilon_{e\tau} < 2.1 \times 10^{-3}$</td> </tr> <tr> <td>$-0.0053 \leq \epsilon_{\tau\tau} \leq 0$</td> <td>$\epsilon_{\mu\tau} < 8.0 \times 10^{-4}$</td> </tr> </table> <p>★ Non-unitarity parameters: $\epsilon_{\alpha\beta} = -\theta_\alpha^* \theta_\beta$. ★ Weak statistical preference for non-zero mixing for ϵ_{ee}.</p>	$-0.0021 \leq \epsilon_{ee} \leq -0.0002$	$ \epsilon_{e\mu} < 1.0 \times 10^{-5}$	$-0.0004 \leq \epsilon_{\mu\mu} \leq 0$	$ \epsilon_{e\tau} < 2.1 \times 10^{-3}$	$-0.0053 \leq \epsilon_{\tau\tau} \leq 0$	$ \epsilon_{\mu\tau} < 8.0 \times 10^{-4}$	<h3>Direct searches for heavy neutral leptons</h3> <p>Figure from [2]</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> DELPHI (Z pole search) @2σ: $\theta_i^2 = \theta_i ^2$ LHC (Higgs decays) @1σ: $\theta_i^2 = \theta_i ^2$ ALEPH ($e^+e^- \rightarrow 4$ leptons) @1σ: $\theta_i^2 = \theta_i ^2$ Precision constraints @2σ: $\theta_i^2 = \theta_i ^2$ Precision constraints @2σ: $\theta_i^2 = \theta_i ^2$ Precision constraints @2σ: $\theta_i^2 = \theta_i ^2$ <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Z pole search: limits from Z branching ratios. Higgs decays: Best constraints from $h \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$. Direct Searches from the LEP collaborations.
$-0.0021 \leq \epsilon_{ee} \leq -0.0002$	$ \epsilon_{e\mu} < 1.0 \times 10^{-5}$						
$-0.0004 \leq \epsilon_{\mu\mu} \leq 0$	$ \epsilon_{e\tau} < 2.1 \times 10^{-3}$						
$-0.0053 \leq \epsilon_{\tau\tau} \leq 0$	$ \epsilon_{\mu\tau} < 8.0 \times 10^{-4}$						

Searches at the FCC-eh

<h3>Direct searches</h3> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Production from the electron beam via θ_e Final states: $jjj\ell_\alpha^-, j\ell_\alpha^-\ell_\beta^+\nu, jjj\nu, j\nu\nu\nu$, + final states from $W\gamma$ fusion. LFV unambiguous: $\mu^- + \text{jets}, \tau^- + \text{jets}, \mu\tau + \text{jets}$ 	<h3>Exotic signatures</h3> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Displaced vertex searches possible [6] LNV and heavy neutrino oscillations: $\ell^- \leftrightarrow \ell^+$ [5] Oscillation from Δm_{ν}^2, can be \sim mm.
--	--

Searches at the FCC-ee

<h3>Direct searches</h3> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Production: θ @ Z pole and θ_e for $\sqrt{s} > m_Z$ Final states: $\ell_\alpha jj, \ell_\alpha \ell_\beta, jj$ Mono-Higgs production cross section [3] LNV only via kinematic analysis Displaced vertices very powerful for $M < m_Z$ [4] 	<h3>Electroweak precision measurements</h3> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Weak mixing angle from $\mathcal{A}_{FB}(\mu)$ Z decay rates: $R_\ell, R_b, R_c, R_{inv}$ Invisible Z and Higgs decays W boson mass Leptonic W branching ratios
---	---

Searches at the FCC-hh

<h3>Direct searches</h3> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Production via Drell Yan or $W\gamma$ fusion Final states: $\ell_\alpha \ell_\beta jj, \ell_\alpha \ell_\beta \gamma \nu, \ell_\alpha \nu jj, \ell_\alpha \ell_\beta \nu \nu$, + final states from $W\gamma$ fusion LFV promising via $\ell_\alpha^\pm \ell_\beta^\mp jj, \alpha \neq \beta$ 	<h3>Exotic signatures</h3> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Displaced vertex search difficult (pileup) LNV same-sign dileptons, e.g. $\mu^\pm \mu^\pm jj$ Heavy neutrino-antineutrino oscillations for $M < m_W$
---	--

Complementarity, Synergy, and Prospects

<p>Figure from [6]</p>	<h3>Parameter space for Leptogenesis from [7]</h3>
------------------------	--

Combination of ee , pp , and ep provides complementary tests for symmetry protected sterile neutrinos:

- Direct searches: Great prospects at pp and ep via Lepton Flavor Violating signatures.
- Indirect searches: FCC-ee powerful via precision measurements at Z pole.
- Exotic signatures: Displaced vertices promising at all colliders, best sensitivity at FCC-ee
- Precision measurements allow tests of Leptogenesis in minimal models.

References

- [1] S. Antusch and O. Fischer, JHEP **1410** (2014) 094 [arXiv:1407.6607 [hep-ph]].
- [2] S. Antusch and O. Fischer, JHEP **1505** (2015) 053 [arXiv:1502.05915 [hep-ph]].
- [3] S. Antusch, E. Cazzato and O. Fischer, JHEP **1604** (2016) 189 [arXiv:1512.06035 [hep-ph]].
- [4] S. Antusch, E. Cazzato and O. Fischer, JHEP **1612** (2016) 007 doi:10.1007/JHEP12(2016)007 [arXiv:1604.02420 [hep-ph]].
- [5] S. Antusch, E. Cazzato and O. Fischer, arXiv:1709.03797 [hep-ph].
- [6] S. Antusch, E. Cazzato and O. Fischer, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **32** (2017) no.14, 1750078 [arXiv:1612.02728 [hep-ph]].
- [7] S. Antusch, E. Cazzato, M. Drewes, O. Fischer, B. Garbrecht, D. Gueter and J. Klaric, arXiv:1710.03744 [hep-ph].

Acknowledgements

The presented work has been supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation, the ‘‘Fund for promoting young academic talent’’ from the University of Basel (internal reference number DPA2354), and it has received funding from the European Unions Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement No 674896 (Elusives).