

0000000



MEASUREMENT OF THE HYDROGEN HYPERFINE SPLITTING : RESULTS & PROSPECTS

Chloé Malbrunot 1,2

¹ CERN, Geneva, SWITZERLAND ² Stefan Meyer Institute for Subatomic Physics, Vienna, AUSTRIA

10 000 000 001 10 000 000 000 MATTER ANTIMATTER

10 000 000 001 10 000 000 000 MATTER ANTIMATTER



MARCH 13TH 2018

- LEAP 2018 - PARIS -





MEUTRALITY

PRECUSION

- LEAP 2018 - PARIS -

<u>CPT Theorem</u> Quantum Field Theory Lorentz invariance Locality Unitarity

<u>CPT Theorem</u> Quantum Field Theory Lorentz invariance Locality Unitarity

Implies : properties of matter & antimatter particles have to be exactly equal (mass) or opposite (charge, magnetic moment

<u>CPT Theorem</u> Quantum Field Theory Lorentz invariance Locality Unitarity

Implies : properties of matter & antimatter particles have to be exactly equal (mass) or opposite (charge, magnetic moment

Atomic structures identical

<u>CPT Theorem</u> Quantum Field Theory Lorentz invariance Locality Unitarity

Implies : properties of matter & antimatter particles have to be exactly equal (mass) or opposite (charge, magnetic moment

Atomic structures identical



MARCH 13TH 2018





Past measurements

MARCH 13TH 2018

- LEAP 2018 - PARIS -





Past measurements

MARCH 13TH 2018

- LEAP 2018 - PARIS -

 $\nu = 1.420405751768(1) \,\mathrm{GHz}$

S. G. Karshenboim, Precision Physics of Simple Atomic Systems, pages 142–162, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2003, hep-ph/0305205.



Finite electric and magnetic radius (Zemach corrections): ~-41ppm

access to the electric and magnetic form factors of the antiproton

$$\Delta\nu(\text{Zemach}) = \nu_{\text{F}} \frac{2Z\alpha m_{\text{e}}}{\pi^2} \int \frac{d^3p}{p^4} \begin{bmatrix} G_E(p^2)G_M(p^2) \\ 1+\kappa \end{bmatrix}$$
e.g Friar et al. Phys.Lett. B579 (2004)

Polarizability of p(bar) =1.88±0.64 ppm

Carlson, Nazaryan, and Griffioen PRA 78, 022517 (2008)

Remaining deviation theory-experiment: 0.86±0.78 ppm

- LEAP 2018 - PARIS -

Standard model extension (SME)

CPT Violation and the Standard Model, D. Colladay and A. Kostelecky, Phys. Rev. D 55, 6760 (1997) Lorentz and CPT Tests in Hydrogen, Antihydrogen, and Related Systems, A. Kostelecky and A. Vargas, Phys. Rev. D 92, 056002 (2015)

Dirac equation in mSME:
$$(i\gamma^{\mu}D_{\mu} - m_e - a^e_{\mu}\gamma^{\mu} - b^e_{\mu}\gamma_5\gamma^{\mu})$$

 $-\frac{1}{2}H^e_{\mu\nu}\sigma^{\mu\nu} + ic^e_{\mu\nu}\gamma^{\mu}D^{\nu} + id^e_{\mu\nu}\gamma_5\gamma^{\mu}D^{\nu})\psi = 0$

Effective field theory developed as a theoretical background for Lorentz violation search.

Different measurements (even of the same quantity) are sensitive (or not) to different SME coefficients

MARCH 13TH 2018

Measure v_{HF} : several options



Measure v_{HF} : several options

- \Rightarrow π_1 π_2 at a given B field
- $\rightarrow \pi_1$ and σ_1 at a given B field

Extrapolate either transition from several measurements at different fields



Measure v_{HF} : several options

- $\rightarrow \pi_1 \pi_2$ at a given B field
- $\rightarrow \pi_1$ and σ_1 at a given B field

Extrapolate either transition from several measurements at different fields All are CPT tests (comparison of the \overline{H} zero-field value with H)



0.

Measure v_{HF} : several options

- $\Rightarrow \pi_1 \pi_2$ at a given B field
- $\rightarrow \pi_1$ and σ_1 at a given B field

Extrapolate either transition from several measurements at different fields
 All are CPT tests (comparison of the H zero-field value with H)



MARCH 13TH 2018

() () ()

0

000

000

ASACUSA HYDROGEN & ANTIHYDROGEN EXPERIMENTS



MARCH 13TH 2018

0

0

BEAM VS. TRAP



MARCH 13TH 2018

- LEAP 2018 - PARIS -



Antihydrogen spectroscopy apparatus

MARCH 13TH 2018

- LEAP 2018 - PARIS -



MARCH 13TH 2018

"strip-line" cavity design





- LEAP 2018 - PARIS -

"strip-line" cavity design

· · · ·





- LEAP 2018 - PARIS -

σ MEASUREMENT



$\nu_{\rm HF} = 1\ 420\ 405\ 748.4(3.4)(1.6)\ {\rm Hz}$

$$\Delta \nu / \nu = 2.7 \ ppb$$

Table 2 Error budget.	
Contribution	1σ s.d. (Hz)
Systematic error	
Frequency standard	1.62
Common fit parameters	
\bar{V}_{H}	0.05
σ_V	0.03
Bosc	0.02
Systematic error total (σ_{sys})	1.62
Statistical error (σ_{stat})	3.43
Total error (σ_{tot})	3.79

Robust lineshape fit Extraction of amplitude of oscillatory field, velocity and velocity spread

Spectroscopy apparatus if fully commissioned and ready for H spectroscopy In-beam measurement of the hydrogen hyperfine

σ MEASUREMENT

ppm result with <u>antihydrogen</u> should be in reach if <u>enough statistics</u> can be gathered



For <u>ppm</u> measurement using 4 resonances we estimate ~ 8000 atoms should be recorded at the antihydrogen detector

> need to increase the H rate at the detector by > 1 order of magnitude

MARCH 13TH 2018

- LEAP 2018 - PARIS -

Other possibility :

 \odot

Measure $\pi_1 \& \sigma_1$ at the same field : 2 resonances needed, not sensitive to stray field (from the earth or from CUSP in the antihydrogen experiment)

Advantage : π_1 is sensitive to SME coefficients

BUT π_1 more sensitive to magnetic field inhomogeneities

Other possibility :

Measure $\pi_1 \& \sigma_1$ at the same field : 2 resonances needed, not sensitive to stray field (from the earth or from CUSP in the antihydrogen experiment)

Advantage : π_1 is sensitive to SME coefficients

BUT π_1 more sensitive to magnetic field inhomogeneities





Helmohlz coils with corrections coils

Cavity tilted at 45° to allow both transitions at the same time



Helmohlz coils with corrections coils

Cavity tilted at 45° to allow both transitions at the same time



3-layers cylindrical shielding

MARCH 13TH 2018



Helmohlz coils with corrections coils

Cavity tilted at 45° to allow both transitions at the same time



3-layers cylindrical shielding



MARCH 13TH 2018



3-layers cylindrical shielding







CHLOÉ MALBRUNOT

MARCH 13TH 2018

2ND HYDROGEN SETUP







MARCH 13TH 2018

- LEAP 2018 - PARIS -



This new apparatus will be used for further systematic studies for \bar{H} experiment CHLOÉ MALBRUNOT - LEAP 2018 - PARIS -MARCH 13TH 2018

SME MEASUREMENTS

Siderial variations constrained by Harvard-Smithsonian maser at mHz level

PHYSICAL REVIEW A 68, 063807 (2003)

Testing CPT and Lorentz symmetry with hydrogen masers

M. A. Humphrey, D. F. Phillips, E. M. Mattison, R. F. C. Vessot, R. E. Stoner, and R. L. Walsworth Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138, USA (Received 4 August 2003; published 9 December 2003)

coefficients in the lab-frame are associated with three independent coefficients in the Sun-centred frame :

$$\mathcal{K}_{w_{k10}}^{Lab} = \mathcal{K}_{w_{k10}}^{Sun} \cos(\theta) - \sqrt{2} \Re e(\mathcal{K}_{w_{k11}}^{Sun}) \sin(\theta) \cos(\omega_{\oplus} T_{\oplus}) + \sqrt{2} \Im m(\mathcal{K}_{w_{k11}}^{Sun}) \sin(\theta) \sin(\omega_{\oplus} T_{\oplus})$$
sidereal time

angle between B-field and Earth's rotational axis

72 SME coefficients involved. 48 constrained, 24 remaining and can be constrained by swapping the direction of the static B-field and measuring π_1 while using σ_1 as a proxy

$$2\pi\delta\nu(\Delta M_F) = \frac{\Delta M_F}{2\sqrt{3\pi}} \sum_{q=0}^{2} (\alpha m_r)^{2q} \left(1 + 4\delta_{q2}\right) \sum_{w} \left[-g_{w_{(2q)10}}^{0B} + H_{w_{(2q)10}}^{0B} - 2g_{w_{(2q)10}}^{1B} + 2H_{w_{(2q)10}}^{1B}\right]$$

ppb foreseen (Hz level precision) in a first stage : Improvement possible with slower beam, Ramsey method, higher count rate

MARCH 13TH 2018

- LEAP 2018 - PARIS -

TOWARDS HIGHER PRECISION

Ramsey's separated oscillatory field method



MARCH 13TH 2018

- LEAP 2018 - PARIS -

ANTIHYDROGEN SETUP



ANTIHYDROGEN SETUP



ANTIHYDROGEN SETUP



CONCLUSIONS

Two fronts:

() () () () () () () () () () () ()

000000

- Hydrogen beam: ppb measurement achieved on σ transition.
- Characterization of \overline{H} beam —> towards spectroscopy

New program with Hydrogen :

- Measurement of σ and π
- Further assessment of potential systematics for $\bar{\mathrm{H}}$ measurement
- Constraints on SME coefficients



ANTIHYDROGEN





MARCH 13TH 2018

- LEAP 2018 - PARIS -