# Molecular dynamics simulations of antiproton transmission through energy degrading foils $\bar{p}$

#### Kai Nordlund and Flyura Djurabekova

Department of Physics and Helsinki Institute of Physics University of Helsinki, Finland

Dage Sundholm and Pekka Pyykkö

Department of Chemistry University of Helsinki, Finland

**Daniel Martinez Zambrano** 

CERN, Switzerland

HELSINGIN YLIOPISTO HELSINGFORS UNIVERSITET UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI

#### **Group presentation**





Dr Andrea Sand Fusion reactor mat/ls



Prof. Kai Nordlund

Principal investigator

Dr Andrey Ilinov Ion beam processing



Doc. Antti Kuronen Principal investigator

Dr Fredric Granberg

Dislocations



Dr. Laura Bukonte Fusion reactor mat'ls



Dr Ville Jansson Particle physics mat'ls Particle physics mat'ls



Doc. Flyura Djurabekova Principal investigator



Dr Andreas Kyritsakis Dr Junlei Zhao Nanoclusters



M Sc Anders Korsbäck Particle physics mat'ls



Dr. Pekko Kuopanportti FeCr interfaces



M Sc Morten Nagel Nuclear materials



M Sc Elnaz Safi Fusion reactor mat/ls



M Sc Alvaro Lopez Surface ripples



M Sc Ekaterina Baibuz Particle physics mat'ls



M Sc Mihkel Veske Particle physics mat'ls



M.Sc Jyri Lahtinen Machine learning



M Sc Simon Vigonski M Sc Henrique Muinoz Particle physics mat'ls



Swift heavy ions

M.Sc. Anton Saressalo Arcing experiments

B.Sc. Jonna Romppainen Particle Physics Matl'Is



M.Sc Jesper Byggmästar Fusion reactor mat'ls





B.Sc Emil Levo Fusion reactor mat/ls



**B.Sc Ville Jantunen** Nanoclusters















- $\oslash$  Key question for improving antiproton yields for **H** production: how to maximize fraction of 0 5 keV antiprotons coming through foil?
  - Ø Foil thickness, material?
- O To be able to calculate this, we need to be able to calculate the slowing down of antiprotons in materials

### Basics of ion/anti-ion slowing down

O The slowing down of energetic ions in materials is determined by the stopping power

 $\ensuremath{\varnothing}$  Sometimes also called the "linear energy transfer" LET

Stopping power S is divided into nuclear and electronic components and nuclear reaction parts



HELSINGIN YLIOPISTO HELSINGFORS UNIVERSITET UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI

### Nuclear stopping power of antiprotons?

O The electronic stopping power S<sub>e</sub> of antiprotons is known from experiments and theory in several materials

 $\oslash$  Since we are now interested in energies < 5 keV, also the nuclear stopping power  $S_n$  may be of interest

However, turned out nobody ever determined the nuclear stopping power of antiprotons!

Ø Good enough motivation for doing it now

*O* Hence we basically repeated last 60 years of nuclear stopping theory for antiprotons

HELSINGIN YLIOPISTO HELSINGFORS UNIVERSITET UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI

### Determining nuclear stopping power of antiprotons, 1.

- Step 1: determine interatomic interaction between antiprotons and atoms
  - Ø Quantum chemistry (Hartree-Fock/MP2) with Turbomole code to get total energy of antiproton – atom systems as function of distance

Ø DFT also tested, but gave suspicious results



### Determining nuclear stopping power of antiprotons, 2.

Step 2: To enable practical calculations at any interatomic distance, fit screened Coulomb potential to the data

O Done for 9 elements: H, Be, C, N, O, Ne, Al, Si, Ti



### Determining nuclear stopping power of antiprotons, 3.

Step 3: Use classical scattering theory of binary collisions to calculate energy loss in binary collisions and by integrating this, get nuclear stopping power

$$S_{n}(E_{0}) = N \int_{0}^{\infty} T(E_{0}, b) d\sigma = 2\pi \int_{0}^{\infty} T(E_{0}, b) b db$$

(*b* is the impact parameter of the binary collision)

Note that since the potentials are attractive, the trajectories are not necessarily hyperbolic: binary collision approximation cannot be used, needed to use molecular dynamics!

#### Nuclear stopping power of antiprotons: results

Ø Results show that:

 $\oslash$  1. The nuclear stopping power S<sub>n</sub> of antiprotons can be stronger than their electronic stopping power (contrary to protons)

 $\oslash$  2. It is much stronger than that of protons



9

HELSINGIN YLIOPISTO UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI

### Nuclear stopping power of antiprotons: results

Ø Summary comparison of antiprotons and protons



HELSINGIN YLIOPISTO HELSINGFORS UNIVERSITET UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI

### Comparison of proton and antiprotons range profiles

Or Range profile simulations for protons give very different results, as expected



HELSINGIN YLIOPISTO HELSINGFORS UNIVERSITET UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI

#### **Reason to stronger stopping**

To understand the origin of the stronger stopping, we analyzed the scattering angle and energy transfer as a function of the impact parameter for 1 keV

- $\oslash$  Clearly larger scattering and energy transfer for antiprotons  $\bar{p}$  than protons p
  - Note that a hypothetical "negative proton -p" has similar behavior as the antiproton  $\bar{p}$

HELSINGIN YLIOPISTO HELSINGFORS UNIVERSITET UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI



### **Reason to stronger stopping**

O Comparison of antiprotons, protons and hypothetical "negative proton" shows that both attraction and longer screening length leads to increased nuclear stopping power



UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI

13.3.2018 13

### Molecular dynamics of antiproton transmission through foils

- Ø However, to calculate the transmission through foils, one needs actual trajectory simulations, as the antiprotons do not move in straight paths
- Ø Hence we did MDRANGE (MD in recoil interaction approximation) simulations of antiproton movement in the foils
  - In some cases, the antiprotons were captured in the purely attractive potential: observed spiral path inwards, or Kepler-like bound orbit
  - Hence cutoff criterion introduced: if antiproton came within 3 nm of nucleus, a nuclear reaction was assumed to occur and the simulation was stopped

### Molecular dynamics of antiproton transmission through foils

 $\oslash$  Animation: transmission of 300 eV  $\bar{p}$  through 2 nm Si foil



HELSINGIN YLIOPISTO HELSINGFORS UNIVERSITET UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI

#### **Antiproton in Kepler orbit: animation**

Antiproton caught in bound orbit with Si atom		
time	0 fs	
	Ekin(eV) 400- 600- 800- 1000- 1200- 1400- 1600- 1600- 2200- 2400- 2400- 2600- 2800- 3000- 3200- 3400-	
x (77.4 - 77.8) y (-21.120.8) z (26.5 - 26.9)	Kai Nordlund (2016)	

HELSINGIN YLIOPISTO HELSINGFORS UNIVERSITET UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI

#### **Simulations of practical importance:** optimization of foil thickness, 1.

O The aim for the ATRAP experiment is to find foil materials and thicknesses that optimize **0 – 5 keV**  $\bar{v}$ for incoming 100 keV  $\bar{p}$  the transmitted fraction of 0 – 5 keV ones

HELSINGIN YLIOPISTO

**UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI** 

Prof. Kai Nordlund



- 10 kV

### Simulations of practical importance: optimization of foil thickness, 2.

Ø By integrating the fraction of antiprotons transmitted through the foil with energy between 0 and 5 keV for various thicknesses, we could find the optimal thickness

Ø Predicted optimal thickness for a-Si and c-Si foils: 1500

nm



HELSINGIN YLIOPISTO HELSINGFORS UNIVERSITET UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI Faculty of Science Department of Physics Prof. Kai Nordlund

[**K. Nordlund**, D. Sundholm, P. Pyykkö, D. Martinez Zambrano, 3.2018 18 and F. Djurabekova, Phys. Rev. A **96**, 042717 (2017)]

#### Simulations of practical importance: does the nuclear stopping actually matter?

Ø We also tested whether doing all the trouble of including the nuclear stopping actually matters

Ø Answer: yes it does!



### **Optimization of Al**

Ø We also did a similar optimization run for AI, as AI foils are likely easier to use than Si.

Ø Result: optimal thickness 900 nm (5 keV max)



HELSINGIN YLIOPISTO HELSINGFORS UNIVERSITET UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI



#### Conclusions

- O Using modern quantum chemistry, we determined interatomic potentials between antiprotons and 9 different elements
- Ø These can be used for a) determining the nuclear stopping power and b) molecular dynamics simulations of antiproton movement in materials
- Ø Results show that the nuclear stopping power of antiprotons is stronger than their electronic stopping at low energies, and also always stronger than that of protons
- Ø Enables optimization of energy degrading foil thicknesses w.r.t. the transmitted fraction of antiprotons

[K. Nordlund, D. Sundholm, P. Pyykkö, D. Martinez Zambrano, and F. Djurabekova, Phys. Rev. A **96**, 042717 (2017)]

HELSINGIN YLIOPISTO HELSINGFORS UNIVERSITET UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI



HELSINGIN YLIOPISTO HELSINGFORS UNIVERSITET UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI

### **Atomic polarizability**

The TurboMole calculations allowed calculating atomic polarizabilities comparable to experiments

TABLE I. Comparison of the atomic polarizabilities,  $\alpha$ , (in a.u.) from the present Eq. (1) using MP2 data to literature values. 'PW' = present work.

Atom	Pe	Polarizability, $\alpha$	
	$\mathrm{PW}$	Lit.	
Be	40.90	$37.76^{a}$	
Ν	5.34	$7.63^{b}$	
Ne	2.44	$2.66110(1)^c$	
	<sup>a</sup> Ref. [41], <sup>b</sup> Ref. [42] (exp), <sup>c</sup> Ref. [43] (exp).		

HELSINGIN YLIOPISTO HELSINGFORS UNIVERSITET UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI





FIG. 4. The calculated MP2 antiproton-neon and antiprotonaluminum potentials (symbols) at large separations and a fit to  $V(r) = -C/2r^4$  with C = 1.222 hartree for Ne and  $V(r) = -C_3/r^3 - C_4/r^4$ with  $C_3 = 3.611$  and  $C_4 = 15.675$  hartree for Al.

HELSINGIN YLIOPISTO F HELSINGFORS UNIVERSITET [ UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI F



#### **Different screenings**



FIG. 5. Comparison of screening function fits obtained with the two different functional forms  $\phi_{\text{fit}}^{\text{exp}}$  and  $\phi_{\text{fit}}^{\text{ep}}$ .

HELSINGIN YLIOPISTO HELSINGFORS UNIVERSITET UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI

#### All nuclear stopping powers

Nuclear stopping power (keV/nm)



**HELSINGIN YLIOPISTO** HELSINGFORS UNIVERSITET UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI

## Electronic stopping of antiprotons in Si



HELSINGIN YLIOPISTO HELSINGFORS UNIVERSITET UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI

### **Comparison with p-Si and H-Si**

Ocomparison with p-Si and H-Si



HELSINGIN YLIOPISTO HELSINGFORS UNIVERSITET UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI





HELSINGIN YLIOPISTOFaculty of ScienceHELSINGFORS UNIVERSITETDepartment of PhysicsUNIVERSITY OF HELSINKIProf. Kai Nordlund

### With 10 keV upper limit



HELSINGIN YLIOPISTOFaculty ofHELSINGFORS UNIVERSITETDepartmUNIVERSITY OF HELSINKIProf. Kai

