

The influence of laser energy density on microstructure and critical current of YGBCO and HGBCO thin film prepared by PLD Xiang Wu, Linfei Liu, Yanjie Yao, Saidan Lu, Wei Wang, Tong Zheng, Shunfan Liu and Yijie Li* School of Physics and Astronomy, Shanghai Jiao Tong University 800 Dong Chuan Road, Shanghai 200243, P.R.China

Abstract

 $Y_{0.5}Gd_{0.5}Ba_2Cu_3O_{7-\sigma}$ (YGBCO) and Ho_{0.5}Gd_{0.5}Ba_2Cu_3O_{7-\sigma} (HGBCO) targets of similar density are prepared by solid-state reaction method. These targets are used to prepare superconducting thin film respectively using pulsed laser deposition (PLD). During the experiment, we alter laser energy by changing optical lens. X-Ray diffraction (XRD) is adopted to analyze the structure and texture of $RE_{0.5}Gd_{0.5}Ba_2Cu_3O_{7-\sigma}$ (RGBCO, RE=Y, Ho) thin film. Also, atomic force microscopy (AFM) and scanning electron microscopy (SEM) are used to observe the surface morphology, and superconducting current is measured in 77 K by employing standard four-probe method. The laser energy density and different doping element can affect properties of RGBCO thin film, which may be related to target particle ejection process and size of rare earth atoms.

Motivation

Method

Results and discussion

X-ray diffraction analysis

• HGBCO film exhibited better structure, surface morphology and

(a)

- superconducting quality than YGBCO film.
- The relationship profile between laser energy density and current is "U" shaped.
- High quality HGBCO thin film with critical current density of 1.8 MA/cm^2 is successively prepared.
- Targets with doping element of similar atom size to substituted atom would be helpful to optimize the property of thin film.



YGBCO films were deposited on $CeO_2/MgO/Y_2O_3/Al_2O_3$ buffered C276 tape by PLD method.

Fig.1 Basic structure of YGBCO coated conductor.



The following factors are fixed: the laser repetition frequency ; pressure of oxygen; the distance between the target and







Fig.3(a)(b) θ -2 θ scans of samples deposited using different targets and energy density; (c) (d) $\Delta\omega$, $\Delta\varphi$ and intensity of YGBCO and HGBCO dependence of energy density.

Surface morphology of samples



the substrates; the substrate temperature.

Fig.2 Sketch of the pulse laser deposition system.

Table I

The relationship between focus lens length and laser energy density	
Focus Length of lens (m)	Laser Energy Density (mJ/cm ² /s)
1.5	114
2.0	254
3.0	439

10.0 µm^{''}0.0 10.0 µm[']0.0 1: Height

Fig.4 AFM images of sample prepared by different targets and energy density. (a)(b)(c) for YGBCO and (d)(e)(f) for HGBCO. From (a) to (c) and (d) to (f), the energy density increases from 114 mJ/cm², 254 mJ/cm² to 439 mJ/cm²

Critical current measurements



Fig.5 SEM images of typical YGBCO sample (a) and HGBCO sample (b) deposited under optimized condition.

of

The difference between samples is the laser energy density and doping element.

Conclusion

Both of YGBCO and HGBCO thin films have c-axis oriented structures and similar surface morphology, but HGBCO layer have lower FWHM values of ϕ and ω scan, smoother surface with less particles and higher critical current. • Under very low and very high energy density, thin film could possess nice structure and fine surface, but these properties would degrade under medium energy. The highest J_c value appeared on the RGBCO film with good inplane and out-of-plane texture that are strongly linked with the energy density

EUCAS 2017

13th European Conference on Applied

Superconductivity