



# **Higgs Physics at ATLAS**

### Diane Cinca

#### on behalf of the ATLAS collaboration



#### 7th International Conference on New Frontiers in Physics (ICNFP 2018)





# The Higgs at the LHC



- ggF has the highest cross-section but can have large backgrounds
- VH, ttH and VBF topologies rely strongly on b-tagging algorithms
- ttH production is directly sensitive to t-Yukawa coupling





# Higgs decays

**Diane** Cinca





- Higgs branching ratios depend strongly on m<sub>H</sub> in the SM.
- For mH = 125 GeV:
  - bb largest BR with but very large backgrounds from multijets.
  - WW: large BR, poor mass resolution in the leptonic channels
  - au au missing energy from neutrinos, m<sub>ττ</sub> reconstruction, background from jets faking τ
  - ZZ(→ 4I) discovery channel small BR but good mass resolution
  - γγ discovery channel small BR but good mass resolution
  - cc small BR, dependent on c-tagging
  - μμ rare process, analysis progressing towards observation





# Legacy of Run1

#### PRL 114 (2015) 191803



- Run1:
  - Discovery of the Higgs boson
  - First measurement of its properties
- Run 2:
  - Establish discovery in remaining decay channels /production modes (e.g. H → bb, ttH, ...)
  - Higher precision in Higgs boson properties measurements

JHEP	08	(2016)	045

Production process	Measured significance ( $\sigma$ )	Expected significance $(\sigma)$
VBF	5.4	4.6
WH	2.4	2.7
ZH	2.3	2.9
VH	3.5	4.2
ttH	4.4	2.0
Decay channel		
$H \to \tau \tau$	5.5	5.0
$H \rightarrow bb$	2.6	3.7



# Run1 Higgs boson properties

**Diane** Cinca

- Width Eur. Phys. J. C (2015) 75:335
  - − SM predicts  $\Gamma_{\rm H}$  ~ 4 MeV → too low to be measured before HL-LHC (resolution ~1-2 GeV)
  - Indirect constraint on Γ<sub>H</sub> by studying off-shell Higgs boson production in diboson final states:
    - when  $m_{_{VV}}$  >>  $m_{_{H}}$  the cross-section doesn't depend on  $\Gamma_{_{H}}$
    - by assuming same on-shell and off-shell couplings:



Run-II: CERN-EP-2017-288, JHEP03 (2018) 095



Spin and Parity of the Higgs boson measured in γγ/WW\*/ZZ\* final states using Run1 data (~25 fb<sup>-1</sup>). SM Higgs boson hypothesis, JP = 0+, tested against alternative spin scenarios, which were excluded at 99.9% C.L.

- In Run2 Higgs boson spin-CP tested, e.g. in γγ decays, with angle distributions of photons and jets sensitive to these properties
- All measurements compatible with a SM Higgs boson







6



## Higgs boson mass



mH = 124.97 +/- 0.24 GeV (Run1+Run2)

- Precise measurement with excellent detector performance :  $\sigma(m_H)/m_H \simeq 0.17\%$ .
- While 4I analysis is still statistics dominated, γγ analysis is becoming systematics dominated. Improving the γγ measurement will require detailed understanding of the photon calibration.
- ATLAS current measurement has now a precision comparable to ATLAS+CMS Run-1 combination.





# γγ+4l signal strength measurement

**Diane Cinca** 



 Global signal strength ATLAS+CMS Run1 (all channels included):

 $\mu = 1.09^{+0.11}_{-0.10} = 1.09^{+0.07}_{-0.07} \text{ (stat)} \stackrel{+0.04}{_{-0.04}} \text{ (expt)} \stackrel{+0.03}{_{-0.03}} \text{ (thbgd)} \stackrel{+0.07}{_{-0.06}} \text{ (thsig)}$ 

 ATLAS Run2 global signal strength (γγ+4I) has been measured to:

$$\mu = 1.09 \pm 0.12 = 1.09 \pm 0.09$$
 (stat.)  $^{+0.06}_{-0.05}$  (exp.)  $^{+0.06}_{-0.05}$  (th.).

- Single experiment measurement is getting as good as Run1 combination.
- Systematic uncertainties are greater than statistical one for ggF and VBF.



# WW\* signal strength measurement



#### ATLAS-CONF-2018-004 36.1 fb<sup>-1</sup>

 Analysis performed in the evµv channel with single and dilepton triggers

technische universität

dortmund

- 3 categories:  $N_{jets} = 0, 1 \text{ (ggF)}, N_{jets} = 2 \text{ (VBF)}$
- mT variable as final discriminant for ggF, BDT for VBF (to enhance discrimination power btw ggF and VBF)
- Signal strength has been measured as:

 $\sigma_{\rm ggF} \cdot \mathcal{B}_{H \to WW^*} = 12.6^{+1.3}_{-1.2} (\text{stat.})^{+1.9}_{-1.8} (\text{sys.}) \text{ pb} = 12.6^{+2.3}_{-2.1} \text{ pb}$  $\sigma_{\rm VBF} \cdot \mathcal{B}_{H \to WW^*} = 0.50^{+0.24}_{-0.23} (\text{stat.}) \pm 0.18 (\text{sys.}) \text{ pb} = 0.50^{+0.30}_{-0.29} \text{ pb}$ 

- Dominant systematics are JES, JER and b-tagging eff.
- Both measurements are compatible with Standard Model.
- WW\* is observed with 6.3σ (ggF+VBF)





### $H \rightarrow \tau \tau$ observation

**Diane** Cinca

technische universität dortmund

36.1 fb<sup>-1</sup>



ATLAS-CONF-2018-021



- Use all combinations of hadronic and leptonic τ decays in 2 categories: VBF and boosted (mostly ggF)
- Cut-based analysis using fit to mττ distribution in 13 signal regions
- Estimate of  $Z \rightarrow \tau \tau$  using Sherpa NLO
- Largest backgrounds from Z+jets and from jets faking  $\tau$  (W+jets and multi-jet) (in  $t_{had} \tau_{had}$  category)
- Largest uncertainties: data and MC statistics, signal modelling and jets
- Obs. (exp.) significance of  $4.4\sigma$  ( $4.1\sigma$ )
- Combination with Run 1: obs. (exp.) sign. of  $6.4\sigma$  (5.4 $\sigma$ )
- Combined measurement of cross sections for VBF and ggF productions:
  - $\sigma_{ggF}$  = 3.0 ± 1.0 (stat.) -1.2+1.6 (syst.) pb
  - $\sigma_{VBF} = 0.28 \pm 0.09$  (stat.)  $\pm 0.10$  (syst.) pb
- Agreement with SM prediction within 1σ





- 3 channels:
  - 2 central b-tagged jets + >= 1 fw VBF jet (qqH)
  - 2 central b-tagged jets + 2 central VBF jets (qqH)
  - 1 γ + 2 central b-tagged jets + 2 VBF jets (new channel qqHγ, suppresses large non-resonant background, not sensitive to ggF)
- Use dedicated VBF triggers to record events (separate trigger for central and forward jets)
- Dedicated BDT (based on jet kinematics) in each channel to define SRs, m<sub>bb</sub> as final discriminant
- Fit analytical background function to data in sidebands
- Z(→bb)+jets has large contribution in low m<sub>bb</sub> and affects bkg estimation. Left floating in the different BDT regions.
- Largest uncertainties: jet energy scale and resolution, Z estimate, signal modelling and flavour tagging
- Obs. (exp.) significance of  $1.9\sigma$  ( $0.9\sigma$ ) for inclusive Higgs
- Obs. (exp.) limits: μ<sub>Hbb</sub> < 4.8 (2.5)

 $\mu_{VBF}$  < 5.9 (3.0) at 95% C.L.

Diane Cinca



technische universität

dortmund





### Evidence for H-> bb (VH)

**Diane Cinca** 

#### JHEP12 (2017) 024

#### 36.1 fb<sup>-1</sup>

- Require 2 b-tagged jets + 0 (Z→vv), 1 (W→lv) or 2 (Z→II) leptons
- Dedicated b-jet calibration to improve m<sub>bb</sub> resolution
- Use BDT to classify events in all signal regions
- Largest background from Z+HF (0- and 2-lepton) and ttbar (1-lepton)
- Irreducible background from VZ with  $Z \rightarrow bb$
- Diboson analysis targeting VZ(→bb) as validation for VH result. VZ signal strength measured as:

 $\mu_{VZ} = 1.11^{+0.12}_{-0.11} (\text{stat.})^{+0.22}_{-0.19} (\text{syst.})$ 

- Obs. (exp.) sign. of 3.5σ (3.0σ)
- Combination with Run 1: obs. (exp.) significance of 3.6σ (4.0σ)









### Search for ttH, H->bb

Diane Cinca

#### Phys. Rev. D 97 (2018) 072016

36.1 fb<sup>-1</sup>

- Target topologies with 1-2 leptons + 4 b-jets
- Largest background from ttbar + HF jets
- Categorise events by N<sub>lep</sub>, N<sub>jets</sub> and b-tag score into multiple signal and control regions
- Use BDT to associate jets to top quark and Higgs candidates + dedicated BDTs for each signal region to classify signal and background events (using MEM)
- Extract signal from combined likelihood fit to MVA distribution in all signal and control regions
- Largest uncertainties: ttbar+HF modelling (46%), data and MC statistics, and flavour tagging
- Observed significance:  $1.4 \sigma$  (expected  $1.6 \sigma$ )
- The analysis requires both experimental and theoretical improvements on the ttbar+HF modelling









### Search for ttH, H->ML

Diane Cinca

Phys. Rev. D 97 (2018) 072003

**36.1 fb**<sup>-1</sup>

- Target ttH + all Higgs decays with leptons in final state: H-> $\tau\tau$ , H $\rightarrow$ WW\* and H $\rightarrow$ ZZ\*
- Categorise events based on number of hadronic  $\boldsymbol{\tau}$  and light leptons
- Large backgrounds from ttV, non-prompt leptons and jets faking  $\tau$  depending on region
- Dedicated BDTs to reject non-prompt leptons and charge mis-identification of electrons.
- Dedicated control regions for ttV backgrounds.
- Largest uncertainties: signal modelling, jet energy scale and non-prompt lepton estimate.
- Obs. (exp.) significance of  $4.1\sigma$  (2.8 $\sigma$ ) for mH = 125 GeV









- Update with 79.8fb<sup>-1</sup>
- Improved sensitivity by analysis improvements like separation of leptonic and hadronic categories with BDT (in hadronic categories)
- Simultaneous fit of all categories
- No event was observed for an expected of  $1.2\sigma$
- Observed limit < 900 (68% C.L.)





# Search for ttH, $H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$

**Diane** Cinca

- Update with 79.8fb<sup>-1</sup>
- Analysis strategy:
  - Categorisation based on ttbar decay leptonic (≥1l) and hadronic (0l) categories
  - Further categorisation based on XGBoost BDT discriminant value 4 hadronic and 3 leptonic categories (events w/ low BDT scores rejected).
- Input variables to XGBoost BDT (mass independent variables):
  - 4-vector information of photons (pT/mγγ), jets, MET (both cat.), lepton(s) (lep cat), and b-tag (had cat)
  - Training ttH (from simulation) vs. main background γγ, ttγγ (from data CRs), other H (from simulation).
- ightarrow Improvement of 50% in sensitivity
- Main systematics:
  - ttH parton shower model (8%)
  - photon isolation, energy resolution & scale (8%)
  - Jet energy scale & resolution (6%)
- Observed significance: 4.1 σ (expected 3.7 σ)



15

Sum of Weights / 2.5 GeV

technische universität







### ttH observation



- Combine measurements of all final states sensitive to ttH:  $H \rightarrow bb$ ,  $H \rightarrow \tau\tau$ ,  $H \rightarrow WW^*$ ,  $H \rightarrow ZZ^*$  and  $H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$  in Run1 and Run2.
- Observation of ttH production with:
  - Run-2 alone: 5.8  $\sigma$  significance (4.9  $\sigma$  expected)
  - Run-1 and Run-2 combined: 6.3  $\sigma$  significance (5.1  $\sigma$  expected)





Diane Cinc

σ(pp→ tỉH) [pb] **ATLAS** 0.9⊢ Theory (NLO QCD + NLO EW) 0.8 Tota Combined data 0.7 Stat. only 0.6 0.5 0.4 0.3 0.2  $\sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV}, 36.1 - 79.8 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ 0.1  $\sqrt{s} = 8 \text{ TeV}, 20.3 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ 0 8 6 10 12 14 16 √s [TeV]

CERN-EP-2018-138

Run1 + 36.1 - 80 fb<sup>-1</sup>

17

technische universität

dortmund

**Dominant systematics** 

- ttbar+HF modelling (9.9%)
- ttH modelling (6%)
- Non-prompt leptons (5.2%)

ttH (13TeV ) = 670  $\pm$  90(stat) + 110 - 100(sys) fb ttH,SM (13TeV ) = 507 + 35 - 50 fb

Compatible with the SM prediction



# Search for $H \rightarrow \mu \mu$

**Diane** Cinca



#### PRL 119(2017) 051802

**36.1 fb**<sup>-1</sup>

- Loose event selection requiring two isolated OS muons and veto b-jets
- Use BDT to select events in 2 VBF categories ( $m_{jj}$ ,  $p_T^{\mu\mu}$ ,  $|\Delta\eta jj|$ ,  $\Delta Rjj$ , etc.)
- All other events are categorised in 6 ggF categories based on cuts on  $p_{T}^{\mu\mu}$  and  $|\Delta\eta~\mu|$
- Large background from Drell-Yan and smaller background from top quarks
- Signal and background described by analytical functions, fit to di-muon mass distribution in all signal regions
- Obs. (exp.) upper limit on  $\mu$  < 3.0 (3.1) at 95% C.L.
- Combined with Run 1 data: μ < 2.8 (2.9) at 95%</li>
   C.L.







### Search for H->cc

**Diane Cinca** 

CERN-EP-2017-334

**36.1 fb**⁻¹

- Hcc coupling was previously searched with J/ $\psi$   $\gamma$  channel in Run 1.
- Search for the coupling with c-tagging is new.
- Similar approach as search for VH,  $H \rightarrow bb$
- 1 or 2 c-tagged jets and 2 leptons with 81 <  $m_{\parallel}$  < 101GeV
- Largest background from Z + HF jets (used as calibrating tool), other backgrounds from ttbar and diboson
- Maximum likelihood fit to m<sub>cc</sub> distribution in 4 signal regions based on Nc-jets and pT V
- Largest uncertainties: flavour tagging and data statistics
- Measurement of irreducible background from ZV with a significance of 1.4 $\sigma$  (2.2 $\sigma$ ):  $\mu_{ZV}$  = 0.6-0.4 +0.5
- Obs. (exp.) upper limit on μ<sub>Hcc</sub> < 110 (150) at 95%</li>
   C.L.



technische universität

dortmund



U technische universität dortmund

# Higgs couplings







# Kappa framework

#### ATLAS-CONF-2017-047

36.1 fb<sup>-1</sup>

Model with vector boson and fermion coupling separation

- Assumptions:
  - Single state, spin 0 and CP-even.
  - Narrow-width approximation:  $(\sigma \cdot BR) (ii \rightarrow H \rightarrow ff) = \frac{\sigma_{ii} \cdot \Gamma_{ff}}{\Gamma_{H}}$
- Methodology: parameterize deviations with coupling scale factors {κ<sub>x</sub>}
- Two fundamental options:
  - Allow undetected/invisible decays or only SM decays
  - Allow BSM particles in the loops or resolve the loop assuming SM field only
- Many models present in the combination
- Good agreement with the SM predictions







### **Differential cross section**



- Kinematic distributions (Higgs pT, y, number of jets & jet pT) are important probes:
  - To check the validity of the perturbative QCD
  - To understand/improve the Monte Carlo generators.
- Higgs pT and jets pT of are also sensitive to physics beyond the Standard Model
- All measurements are in agreement with SM predictions.





### Simplified Template Cross Section (STXS)

**Diane** Cinca



- STXS targets maximum sensitivity while keeping theoretical dependence as small as possible. New approach compared to Run1.
- Cross sections can be split by very simple fiducial regions for each production mode & common between ATLAS, CMS, and theory.
- Inclusive in Higgs decays, designed for combination
- Latest result from H→ZZ\*→4l channel: stage 0 inclusive (σ\*B)<sub>SM</sub> = 1330 ± 90 fb



technische universität

dortmund





ATLAS-CONF-2018-018



80 fb<sup>-1</sup>





**Diane** Cinca

W( $\rightarrow$ ev)H( $\rightarrow$ 4µ) candidate, S/B ~7, m<sub>41</sub> = 124.6 GeV



# **Di-Higgs search**

**Diane** Cinca



Destructive Interference

- SM cross section @ 13 TeV not accessible with the Run2 data but we need to estimate challenges and future sensitivities.
- BSM effects lead to:
  - the presence of resonant HH process.
  - the enhancement of the non-resonant HH production cross section and the modification of the kinematics of the decays.
- Very different theoretical motivation, but similar experimental signature



technische universität

25

dortmund



# Di-Higgs search

**Diane** Cinca

- U technische universität dortmund
  - (13 TeV)

- bbbb : high BR but large multijet background (dominant at high mass)
- bbVV: high BR, large irreducible ttbar background
- bbγγ and bbττ: similar sensitivity at low mass
- WWγγ: new result

Channel	$\sigma/\sigma_{sm}$		
bbbb	< 13 CERN-EP-2018-02	29 <b>36.1 fb</b> <sup>-1</sup>	
bbγγ	< 22 HIGG_2016_15	<b>36.1 fb</b> <sup>-1</sup>	
WWγγ	< 230 HIGG_2016_20	<b>36.1 fb</b> <sup>-1</sup>	

bbγγ study: -8.2 < κ<sub>λ</sub> < 13.2 @ 95% CL</li>







# Conclusion

- The Higgs discovery at Run1 opened a new field both for precise measurements and new physics search.
- Already many important results in Run2:
  - More details & improved precision in cross section & coupling measurements
  - − Evidence for  $H \rightarrow bb$
  - Observation of  $H \rightarrow \tau \tau$
  - Observation of ttH production
  - Uncertainty on the signal strength of  $H \rightarrow \mu\mu$  is below SM strength
- More to come with the full Run 2 data to be taken until the end of this year.
- Run3 is coming next with new potential of discoveries and precision measurements. Stay tuned !





28

### BACKUP

tu





**Diane** Cinca

ATLAS\_CONF\_2017\_047

dortmund

technische universität

σ <sub>fid</sub> [fb]/13TeV	Н→үү	H→ZZ
ATLAS	55±9(stat)±4(syst)±0.1(th)	3.62±0.5(stat) <sup>+0.25</sup> -0.20(sys)
SM	64±2	2.91±0.13

All measurements (in different fiducial volumes) agree well with SM predictions.







30

# WW\* signal strength measurement

Table 2: Event selection criteria used to define the signal regions in the  $H \rightarrow WW^* \rightarrow e\nu\mu\nu$  analysis.

Category	$N_{\rm jet} = 0 \qquad \qquad N_{\rm jet} = 1$	$N_{\text{jet}} \ge 2, \text{ VBF}$	
Preselection	Two isolated, different-flavour, leptons ( $\ell = e, \mu$ ) with opposite charge $p_T^{\text{lead}} > 22 \text{ GeV}$ , $p_T^{\text{sublead}} > 15 \text{ GeV}$ $m_{\ell\ell} > 10 \text{ GeV}$ $E_T^{\text{miss, track}} > 20 \text{ GeV}$		
Background rejection	$N_{b-\text{jet,}(p_{\text{T}}>20 \text{ GeV})} = 0$ $\Delta \phi(\ell \ell, E_{\text{T}}^{\text{miss}}) > \pi/2 \mid \max(m_{\text{T}}^{\ell}) > 50 \text{ GeV} \mid$ $p_{\text{T}}^{\ell \ell} > 30 \text{ GeV} \mid m_{\tau\tau} < m_Z - 25 \text{ GeV}$		
$H \rightarrow WW^* \rightarrow e \nu \mu \nu$	$m_{\ell\ell} < 55 \text{ GeV}$	Central Jet Veto	
topology	$\Delta \phi_{\ell\ell} < 1.8$	Outside Lepton Veto	
Discriminant Variable	m <sub>T</sub>	BDT	
BDT input variables		$  m_{jj}, \Delta y_{jj}, m_{\ell\ell}, \Delta \phi_{\ell\ell}, m_{\mathrm{T}}, \sum C_{\ell}, \sum_{\ell,j} m_{\ell j}, p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{tot}}$	