# LHC Higgs WG2: EFT and benchmarks

Chris Hays, Oxford University



HDays Santander September 20, 2017

### Overview

LHC Higgs WG2

WG2 document plans

Fitting EFT parameters using STXS

# LHC Higgs WG2: Higgs properties

Working group tasked with general characterization of the Higgs boson Serves as an intermediary between SM predictions and BSM models

Yellow report 4 defined several directions for further study

Measurement-based:

- Simplified template cross sections (STXS)
- differential cross sections (diffXS)

Joined into a subgroup headed by Frank Tackmann, Nicolas Berger, and Predrag Milenovic

Interpretation-based:

- pseudo-observables (PO)
- effective field theory (EFT)

https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/LHCPhysics/LHCHXSWG2

Conveners: Mingshui Chen, Chris Hays, David Marzocca, Francesco Riva

# WG2 documents

Three documents proposed in July LHC Higgs general meeting:

(1) Relating STXS to BSM parameters (EFT / PO) Collection of documents on STXS updates and STXS $\rightarrow$ EFT equations Status: STXS/diffXS subconveners have clear plans for updating documentation Note on STXS $\rightarrow$ EFT equations to be posted soon (details in this talk)

### (2) Benchmark models and interpretations

Discuss a handful of simplified models and mappings to EFT parameters Status: not started, kick-start with a WG2 meeting

### (3) Combining Higgs & EW measurements

*Collection of documents joint with LHC EW WG to standardize diboson bins and define equations for EFT parameters* Status:

Initiated discussion with LHC EW WG in July, will follow up

# **STXS overview**

YR4 defined standard binning for cross section measurements using unfolding (diffXS) or SM template distributions (STXS)

The standards allow for public 'tools' mapping the measurements to EFT parameters

Aim for experiments to use the tools to perform combined EFT fits

### STXS vs diff-XS for fitting EFT parameters:

- STXS implemented in workspace as an intermediate translation of the data: effectively a direct fit to data
- STXS can better fit low-statistics regions (no unfolding)
- STXS relies on SM distributions for extrapolations within bins and migrations across bins
  - Leads to theory uncertainties and potential model-dependence

# **Mapping STXS to EFT**

### Use "Stage 1" STXS: $\sigma_i \times \mathcal{B}_{4\ell}$



Can update to Stage 1.5 or Stage 2 when available / appropriate

# **Mapping STXS to EFT**

Take cross sections and decay widths to be quadratic functions of EFT

Have validated approximation to substantially higher accuracy than data

$$\sigma_{EFT} = \sigma_{SM} + \sigma_{int} + \sigma_{BSM}$$

$$\frac{\sigma_{int}}{\sigma_{SM}} = \sum_{i} A_{i}c_{i}, \qquad \mathcal{B}_{4\ell} = \frac{\Gamma_{4\ell}}{\Sigma_{f}\Gamma_{f}} \approx \frac{\Gamma_{4\ell}}{\Sigma_{f}\Gamma_{f}^{SM}} \left[ 1 + \sum_{i} A_{i}^{4\ell}c_{i} + \sum_{ij} B_{ij}^{4\ell}c_{i}c_{j} - \sum_{f} \left( \sum_{i} A_{i}^{f}c_{i} + \sum_{ij} B_{ij}^{f}c_{i}c_{j} \right) \right],$$
  
$$\frac{\sigma_{BSM}}{\sigma_{SM}} = \sum_{ij} B_{ij}c_{i}c_{j}, \qquad \frac{\Gamma_{f}}{\Gamma_{4\ell}} \approx \frac{\Gamma_{f}^{SM}}{\Gamma_{4\ell}^{SM}} \left[ 1 + \sum_{i} A_{i}^{f}c_{i} + \sum_{ij} B_{ij}^{f}c_{i}c_{j} - \left( \sum_{i} A_{i}^{4\ell}c_{i} + \sum_{ij} B_{ij}^{4\ell}c_{i}c_{j} \right) \right]. \qquad (3)$$

Madgraph options available to directly evaluate  $A_i$  and  $B_{ij}$  for i = j

Need to subtract two calculations to get  $B_{ij}$  for  $i \neq j$ 

# **EFT tools**

Until recently only one Madgraph EFT implementation available to fit both Higgs & EW data

Higgs effective Lagrangian (HEL) model includes 39 flavor-symmetric operators in the SILH basis (all except 4-fermion, with some redundancy)

HEL limitations:

- Leading order, no running EFT couplings
- ggF loop not resolved
- only a subset of operators

Impending updates to address these issues:

- *ĤEL to add top-Higgs couplings in ggF loop*
- Warsaw basis implementation (Trott et al) includes operators without flavour-symmetry assumptions (2499 parameters) http://feynrules.irmp.ucl.ac.be/wiki/SMEFT
- Another Warsaw basis implementation (Maltoni et al) to include NLO QCD corrections and running couplings

## **EFT tools**

Case	CP even	CP odd	WHZ Pole parameters		Class	Parameters	
General SMEFT $(n_f = 1)$	53 [10]	23 [10]	$\sim 23$		1	$C_W \in \mathbb{R}$	1
General SMEFT $(n_f = 3)$	1350 [10]	1149 [10]	$\sim 46$		3	$\{C_{HD}, C_{H\Box}\} \in \mathbb{R}$	2
$U(3)^5$ SMEFT	$\sim 52$	$\sim 17$	$\sim 24$		4	$\{C_{HG}, C_{HW}, C_{HB}, C_{HWB}\} \in \mathbb{R}$	4
MEV SMEET	$\sim 108$	_	$\sim 30$		5	$\{C_{uH}, C_{dH}\} \in \mathbb{R}$	$\sim 2$
	100		, • 50		6	$\{C_{uW}, C_{uB}, C_{uG}, C_{dW}, C_{dB}, C_{dG}\} \in \mathbb{R}$	$\sim 6$
Standard Model Effective Field Theory The SMEFTsim package			8/ <u>17 16:41:</u>	7	$\{C_{\mathcal{U}\ell}^{(1)}, C_{\mathcal{U}\ell}^{(3)}, C_{\mathcal{U}\sigma}^{(1)}, C_{\mathcal{H}\sigma}^{(3)}, C_{\mathcal{H}e}, C_{\mathcal{H}e}, C_{\mathcal{H}d}\} \in \mathbb{R}.$	$\sim 7$	
			U	8 (1	$(\bar{L}L)(\bar{L}L)$	$\frac{C_{H\ell}}{E} = \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{$	2
Authors						Total Count	$\sim 24$
Ilaria Brivio, Yun Jiang and Micheal Trott					_		
ilaria.brivio@nbi.ku.dk, yunjiang@nbi.ku.d	k, michael.trott@ce	ern.ch					
NBIA and Discovery Center, Niels Bohr Institute, Univ	ersity of Copenhagen						
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, _,, _							
The model description         The Standard Model Effective Field Theory (SMEFT) is constructed out of a series of SU(3) <sub>C</sub> × SU(2) <sub>L</sub> × U(1) <sub>Y</sub> invariant higher dimensional opera built out of the SM fields.         The SMEFTsim package provides a complete implementation of the lepton and baryon number conserving dimension-6 Lagrangian adopting the stass arXiv:1008.4884.         The SMEFTsim package provides implementations for 3 different flavor assumptions and 2 input scheme choices, for a total of 6 different models the flavor assumptions adopted are (see arXiv:1709.xxxxx for a detailed description)         • The flavour general case         • The U(3) <sup>5</sup> flavor symmetric case, with possible non-SM CP-violating phases         • A linear Minimal flavor violation (MFV) ansatz arXiv:0207036, in which non-SM CP-violating effects are neglected, but linear flavor-violatin insertions are allowed in quark currents         For each model it is possible to choose between two input parameters sets for the electroweak sector, namely:         • 0 scheme: {0 <sub>em</sub> , mz, G <sub>f</sub> }         • my scheme: {0 <sub>m</sub> , mz, G <sub>f</sub> }         Two independent models sets (A and B) are supplied. Each set contains a main file, a number of subroutines and restriction files. The two sets d structure and in the technical implementation of L <sub>6</sub> , but they produce consistent results. The use of both sets is recommended for debugging an of the numerical results.         Pre-exported UFO files to be interfaced with MadGraph5_aMC@NLO can also be downloaded from this page (see Table below).			SMEFT.fr	77 e Dm	SMdefs.fr Scheme=1 SMfields_alphascheme.fr SMfields_mWscheme.fr SMYukawas.fr Flavor=1 Flavor=2 Flavor=3 SMEFTparms_fr SMEFTparms_MFV.fr Scheme=1 parms_alphascheme.fr Lag.fr	] U.fr ]	
Usage recommendations							
The CMEETains people as is designed to exclude survey		Charles of the CMEE					

The SMEFTsim package is designed to enable numerical studies of the LO interference of the SMEFT with the SM, while neglecting NLO corrections. In this spirit, it has not been optimized for loop calculations in the SM or in the SMEFT. In particular:

- the Lagrangian assumes unitary gauge. Using it in R<sub>xi</sub> or Feynman gauge may lead to inconsistent results, as the ghost Lagrangian have not been modified to account for L<sub>6 corrections</sub>.
- the UFO files are not suitable for NLO evaluation in MadGraph5\_aMC@NLO

-----,

# STXS fit strategy

- Start with HEL implementation of SILH basis in Madgraph
- Reduce parameter set using external constraints
  - Take tightly constrained parameters to be zero
  - Can relax to Gaussian constraints to check impact
- Use equations relating STXS to EFT parameters to fit the data

Aims for first fit:

- Compare fits from workspace to those from STXS measurements
- Compare fits with and without quadratic terms
- Study variety of operator fit combinations and constraints

V. Sanz contributing constraints and G. Zemaityte determining equations Documenting in WG2 note, will expand to include EFT updates

### **External constraints**

### HEL EW scheme: $m_W$ , $\alpha_{EM}$ and $G_F$ inputs

 $m_Z = \frac{gv}{2\cos\theta_W} \left[ 1 - \mathsf{cT} + \frac{g'^2}{2m_W^2 \sin^2\theta_W} \left( \mathsf{cWW}\cos\theta_W^2 + \mathsf{cB}\sin\theta_W^2 + 4\mathsf{cA}\sin^4\theta_W \right) \right]^{1/2}$ 

Constrain  $m_Z$  to  $91.19 \pm 0.02$ 

HEL operator	Coefficient	Constraint $(TeV^{-2})$	
$\mathcal{O}_g = \frac{g_s^2 \mathbf{cG}}{m_W^2}  H ^2 G^A_{\mu\nu} G^{A\mu\nu}$	$rac{c_g}{\Lambda^2}=rac{g_s^2}{m_W^2}$ cG	(-0.074, 0.025)	
$ ilde{\mathcal{O}}_g = rac{g_s^2 \ddot{ t t} \mathbf{c} \mathbf{G}}{m_W^2}  H ^2 G^A_{\mu u}  ilde{G}^{A\mu u}$	$rac{ ilde{c}_g}{\Lambda^2}=rac{g_s^{2^{\prime\prime}}}{m_W^2}{ t t}{ t c}{ t G}$	$\left(-0.028, 0.028 ight)$	
$\mathcal{O}_{\gamma} = rac{g'^2 \overset{\circ}{\mathbf{c}} \mathbf{A}}{m_W^2}  H ^2 B_{\mu u} B^{\mu u}$	$rac{c_\gamma}{\Lambda^2} = rac{g^{\prime 2}}{m_W^2}$ cA	$\left(-0.0022, 0.00043 ight)$	
$ ilde{\mathcal{O}}_{\gamma} = rac{g'^2  extsf{tcA}}{m_W^2}  H ^2 B_{\mu u}  ilde{B}^{\mu u}$	$rac{ ilde{c}_{\gamma}}{\Lambda^2}=rac{{g'}^2}{m_W^2} { t t} { t c} { t A}$	(-0.0024, 0.0024)	
$\mathcal{O}_u = \frac{y_u \mathbf{c} \mathbf{u}}{v^2}  H ^2 u_L H u_R + \text{h.c.}$	$\frac{c_u}{\Lambda^2} = \frac{cu}{v^2}$	(-1.4, 2.6)	
$\mathcal{O}_d = \frac{y_d \operatorname{cd}}{v^2}  H ^2 d_L H d_R + \operatorname{h.c.}$	$rac{c_d}{\Lambda^2}=rac{ extsf{cd}}{v^2}$	(-3.3, 1.5)	Operators constrained by
$\mathcal{O}_{\ell} = \frac{y_{\ell} \mathrm{cl}}{v^2}  H ^2 \ell_L H \ell_R + \mathrm{h.c.}$	$rac{c_\ell}{\Lambda^2} = rac{\mathtt{cl}}{v^2}$	(-170, 170)	Higgs & EW data
$\mathcal{O}_{H}=rac{cH}{2v^{2}}\left(\partial^{\mu} H ^{2} ight)^{2}$	$rac{c_H}{\Lambda^2} = rac{cH}{v^2}$	(-2.3, 3.2)	
$\mathcal{O}_6 = \frac{\lambda c6}{v^2} \left( H^{\dagger} H \right)^3$	$rac{c_6}{\Lambda^2}=rac{\lambda}{v^2}$ c6	(-170, 170)	Most CP-even constraints taken
$\mathcal{O}_{HW} = \frac{ig cHW}{m_W^2} \left( D^{\mu} H \right)^{\dagger} \sigma^a (D^{\nu} H) W^a_{\mu\nu}$	$rac{c_{HW}}{\Lambda^2} = rac{g}{m_W^2}$ cHW	(-3.5, 1.5)	from global Run 1 fit by Ellis,
$\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{HW} = \frac{ig \texttt{tcHW}}{m_W^2} \left( D^{\mu} H \right)^{\dagger} \sigma^a (D^{\nu} H) \tilde{W}^a_{\mu\nu}$	$rac{ ilde{c}_{HW}}{\Lambda^2} = rac{g}{m_W^2}  extsf{tcHW}$	(-6.0, 6.0)	Sanz, and You
$\mathcal{O}_{HB} = \frac{ig' c_{HB}^{HB}}{m_W^2} \left( D^{\mu} H \right)^{\dagger} \left( D^{\nu} H \right) B_{\mu\nu}$	$rac{c_{HB}}{\Lambda^2}=rac{g'}{m_W^2}$ cHB	(-2.5, 4.1)	CP-odd operators constrained
$\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{HB} = \frac{ig' \text{tcHB}}{m_W^2} \left( D^{\mu} H \right)^{\dagger} \left( D^{\nu} H \right) \tilde{B}_{\mu\nu}$	$rac{ ilde{c}_{HB}}{\Lambda^2} = rac{g^{\prime\prime\prime}}{m_W^2}  extsf{tcHB}$	(-13, 13)	from individual fits
$\mathcal{O}_W = \frac{igcWW}{2m_W^2} \left( H^{\dagger} \sigma^a D^{\mu} H \right) D^{\nu} W^a_{\mu\nu} $	$rac{c_W}{\Lambda^2} = rac{g}{m_W^2}$ cWW	$\frac{c_W/g - c_B/g'}{\Lambda^2} = (-5.4, 0.77)$	
$\mathcal{O}_B = \frac{ig^{T} \mathbf{c} \mathbf{B}}{2m_W^2} \left( H^{\dagger} D^{\mu} H \right) \partial^{\nu} B_{\mu\nu}$	$rac{c_B}{\Lambda^2} = rac{g'}{m_W^2}$ cB	$\frac{c_W/g + c_B/g'}{\Lambda^2} = (-0.51, 0.28)$	) 11

# **External constraints**

HEL operator	Coefficient	Constraint $(TeV^{-2})$	2)
$\mathcal{O}_{3W} = \frac{g^3 c 3W}{m_{\mu\nu}^2} \epsilon_{ijk} W^i_{\mu\nu} W^{\nu j}_{\rho} W^{\rho\mu k}$	$rac{c_{3W}}{\Lambda^2}=rac{g^3}{m_W^2}$ c3W	(-3.6, 1.9)	
$\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{3W} = \frac{g^3 \overset{W}{\text{tc3W}}}{m_W^2} \epsilon_{ijk} W^i_{\mu\nu} W^{\nu j}_{\rho} \tilde{W}^{\rho\mu k}$	$rac{ ilde{c}_{3W}}{\Lambda^2}=rac{g^{3^W}}{m_W^2} t c 3  extbf{W}$	(-7.8, 7.8)	Operators constrained by EW & QCD data
$\mathcal{O}_T = \frac{cT}{2v^2} \left( H^\dagger D^\mu H \right)^2$	$rac{c_T}{\Lambda^2} = rac{ extsf{cT}}{v^2}$	(-0.071, 0.055)	
$\mathcal{O}_{2W}=rac{g^2 extsf{c2W}}{m_{_{I\!W}}^2}D^\mu W^k_{\mu u}D_ ho W^{ ho u}_k$	$rac{c_{2W}}{\Lambda^2}=rac{g^2}{m_W^2}$ c2W	(-170, 170)	EW constraints taken from
$\mathcal{O}_{2B} = \frac{g^{\prime 2} \overset{\scriptscriptstyle W}{\mathbf{c2B}}}{m_W^2} \partial^{\mu} B_{\mu\nu} \partial_{\rho} B^{\rho\nu}$	$rac{c_{2B}}{\Lambda^2}=rac{g^2}{m_W^2}$ c2B	(-170, 170)	global fit by Ellis, Sanz, and You
$\mathcal{O}_R^u = rac{{ m cHu}}{v^2} \left( i H^\dagger D_\mu H  ight) \left( ar u_R \gamma^\mu u_R  ight)$	$rac{c_R^u}{\Lambda^2} = rac{ extsf{cHu}}{v^2}$	(-0.18, 0.18)	
$\mathcal{O}_R^d = rac{cHd}{v^2} \left( i H^\dagger D_\mu H  ight) \left( ar{d}_R \gamma^\mu d_R  ight)$	$rac{c_R^d}{\Lambda^2} = rac{ extsf{cHd}}{v^2}$	$\left(-0.69, 0.073 ight)$	QCD constraint taken from
$\mathcal{O}_R^e = rac{\mathrm{cHe}}{v^2} \left( i H^\dagger D_\mu H  ight) \left( \bar{e}_R \gamma^\mu e_R  ight)$	$rac{c_R^e}{\Lambda^2} = rac{ extsf{cHe}}{v^2}$	(-0.030, 0.0041)	Individual fit by Krauss, Kuttimalai and Plehn
$\mathcal{O}_L^q = rac{\mathrm{cHQ}}{v^2} \left( i H^\dagger D_\mu H  ight) \left( ar{Q}_L \gamma^\mu Q_L  ight)$	$rac{c_L^q}{\Lambda^2} = rac{ extsf{chQ}}{v^2}$	(-0.031, 0.11)	(assume similar constraints
$\mathcal{O}_L^{(3)q} = \frac{\operatorname{cpHQ}}{v^2} \left( i H^{\dagger} \sigma^a D_{\mu} H \right) \left( \bar{Q}_L \sigma^a \gamma^{\mu} Q_L \right)$	$\frac{c_L^{(3)q}}{\Lambda^2_{(2)l}} = \frac{\mathtt{cpHQ}}{v^2}$	$\left(-0.073, 0.073 ight)$	for all operators)
$\mathcal{O}_{LL}^{(3)L} = \frac{1}{v^2} \left( \bar{L}_L \sigma^a \gamma^\mu L_L \right) \left( \bar{L}_L \sigma^a \gamma^\mu L_L \right)$	$\frac{c_{LL}^{(3)t}}{\Lambda^2} = \frac{1}{v^2}$	(-0.021, 0.012)	12 additional HEL
$\mathcal{O}_{3G}=rac{g_s^3 extsf{c3G}}{m_W^2}f_{abc}G^a_{\mu u}G^{ u b}_ ho G^{ ho\mu c}$	$rac{c_{3G}}{\Lambda^2}=rac{g_s^3}{m_W^2}$ c3G	(-0.045, 0.045)	operators not constrained
$\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{3G} = \frac{g_s^3 \text{tc3G}}{m_W^2} f_{abc} G^a_{\mu\nu} G^{\nu b}_{\rho} \tilde{G}^{\rho\mu c}$	$rac{ ilde{c}_{3G}}{\Lambda^2}=rac{g_s^{3''}}{m_W^2}$ tc3G	(-0.045, 0.045)	(e.g. chromomagnetic)
$\mathcal{O}_{2G} = \frac{g_s^2 \mathbf{c} \mathbf{2G}}{m_W^2} D^\mu G^a_{\mu\nu} D_\rho G^{\rho\nu}_a$	$rac{c_{2G}}{\Lambda^2}=rac{g_s^2}{m_W^2}$ c2G	(-0.045, 0.045)	

Show leading terms in note (coefficients must span <3 orders) Put complete equations on LHCHxsWG twiki

Cross-section region	$\sum_{i} A_i c_i$	
$gg \to H \ (0\text{-jet})$		(-, 2 - 1)
$gg \to H \ (1\text{-jet}, \ p_T^H < 60 \ \text{GeV})$	$56c'_g$	$(c_g = 16\pi^2 CG)$
$gg \rightarrow H \ (1\text{-jet}, \ 60 \le p_T^H < 120 \ \text{GeV})$		
$gg \to H \ (1\text{-jet}, \ 120 \le p_T^H < 200 \ \text{GeV})$	$56c'_g + 18$ c3G + 11c2G	In this implementation agH binning
$gg \to H \ (1\text{-jet}, \ p_T^H \ge 200 \ \text{GeV})$	$56c'_g + 52$ c3G $+ 34$ c2G	iust constrains c 2G and c 3G
$gg \to H \ (\geq 2\text{-jet}, \ p_T^H < 60 \ \text{GeV})$	$56c'_g$	
$gg \rightarrow H~(\geq 2\text{-jet},~60 \leq p_T^H < 120~\mathrm{GeV})$	$56c'_g + 8$ c3G $+ 7$ c2G	Fit to current measurements can focus
$gg \rightarrow H \ (\geq 2\text{-jet}, \ 120 \leq p_T^H < 200 \ \text{GeV})$	$56c'_g + 23$ c3G $+ 18$ c2G	on $c_g$ ', cWW-cB, cHW, cHB, cu
$gg \to H \ (\geq 2\text{-jet}, \ p_T^H \geq 200 \ \text{GeV})$	$56c'_{g} + 90$ c3G + $68$ c2G	
$gg \to H \ (\geq 2\text{-jet VBF-like}, \ p_T^{j_3} < 25 \ \text{GeV})$	$56c'_q$	Substantial enhancement in sensitivity
$gg \to H \ (\geq 2\text{-jet VBF-like}, \ p_T^{j_3} \geq 25 \ \text{GeV})$	$56c'_g + 9$ c3G $+ 8$ c2G	in VBF $p_T^{J} > 200$ GeV bin
$qq \rightarrow Hqq \text{ (VBF-like, } p_T^{j_3} < 25 \text{ GeV})$	1.4cWW $- 4.3$ cHW $- 0.29$ cHI	B
$qq \rightarrow Hqq \text{ (VBF-like, } p_T^{j_3} \ge 25 \text{ GeV})$	1.6cWW $- 5.5$ cHW $- 0.39$ cHI	В
$qq \to Hqq \ (p_T^j \ge 200 \text{ GeV})$	30cWW + $1.6$ cB - $7.0$ cHW -	0.62cHB
$qq \to Hqq \ (60 \le m_{jj} < 120 \text{ GeV})$	31cWW + 2.5cB + 13cHW +	1.0сНВ
$qq \to Hqq \text{ (rest)}$	8.7cWW + $0.52$ cB - $0.40$ cHV	N
	-0.98cH $+2.9$ cu $+0.93$ cG	3 + 310cuG
$gg/qq \rightarrow ttH$	+27c3G $-13$ c2G	13

Cross-section region	$\sum_i A_i c_i$
$q\bar{q} \to H l \nu \ (p_T^V < 150 \text{ GeV})$	-1.0 cH + 34 cWW + 11 cHW + 24 cpHQ + 2.0 cpHL
$q\bar{q} \rightarrow H l \nu \ (150 \le p_T^V < 250 \text{ GeV}, 0 \text{ jets})$	-1.0 cH + 76 cWW + 51 cHW + 67 cpHQ + 2.0 cpHL
$q\bar{q} \rightarrow H l \nu \ (150 \le p_T^V < 250 \text{ GeV}, \ge 1 \text{ jet})$	-1.0 cH + 71 cWW + 46 cHW + 61 cpHQ + 2.0 cpHL
$q\bar{q} \to H l \nu \ (p_T^V \ge 250 \text{ GeV})$	-1.0 cH + 200 cWW + 170 cHW + 190 cpHQ + 2.0 cpHL
	$-1.0 { m cH} - 4.0 { m cT} + 30 { m cWW} + 8.4 { m cB} + 8.5 { m cHW}$
$q\bar{q} \rightarrow Hll \ (p_T^V < 150 \text{ GeV})$	+2.5 cHB+0.032 cA-1.9 cHQ+23 cpHQ+5.2 cHu
	-2.0cHd $-0.96$ cHL $+2.0$ cpHL $-0.23$ cHe
	$-1.0 { m cH} - 4.0 { m cT} + 62 { m cWW} + 18 { m cB} + 38 { m cHW}$
$q\bar{q} \rightarrow Hll \ (150 \le p_T^V < 250 \text{ GeV}, 0 \text{ jets})$	+11 cHB-5.0cHQ+61cpHQ+14cHu-5.2cHd
	$-0.98 \mathrm{cHL} + 2.1 \mathrm{cpHL} - 0.23 \mathrm{cHe}$
	$-1.0 { m cH} - 4.0 { m cT} + 58 { m cWW} + 17 { m cB} + 33 { m cHW}$
$q\bar{q} \rightarrow Hll \ (150 \le p_T^V < 250 \text{ GeV}, \ge 1 \text{ jet})$	+9.9 cHB-4.6 cHQ+56 cpHQ+14 cHu-4.6 cHd
	$-0.99 \mathrm{cHL}+2.1 \mathrm{cpHL}-0.24 \mathrm{cHe}$
	$-1.0 { m cH} - 4.0 { m cT} + 150 { m cWW} + 46 { m cB} + 130 { m cHW}$
$q\bar{q} \to Hll \ (p_T^V \ge 250 \text{ GeV})$	+38 cHB-14 cHQ+170 cpHQ+42 cHu-14 cHd
	-0.98cHL $+ 2.1$ cpHL $- 0.24$ cHe

### VH includes many parameters constrained by LEP (Vff)

Partial width	$\sum_i A_i c_i$	
$H \rightarrow b\bar{b}$		
$H \to WW^*$		
$H \to ZZ^*$	$10 {\tt cWW} + 2.8 {\tt cB} + 2.9 {\tt cHW} + 0.018 {\tt cA} + 2.0 {\tt cHL} + 2.0 {\tt cpHL} + 0.027 {\tt cHe}$	$(a)^{2} - 16 - 2 c \Lambda$
$H \to \gamma \gamma$	$-5.8c'_{\gamma}$	$(C\gamma - 10\pi^2 CF)$
$H \to \tau \tau$		
$H \to gg$		
$H \to cc$		
$H \rightarrow all$	0.0029 cT + 0.17 cu + 2.3 cd + 0.11 cl + 1.0 cWW + 0.023 cB + 0.37 cHW	
	+0.0079 cHB + 1.6 cG + 0.0078 cHQ + 0.17 cpHQ + 0.0027 cHu + 0.057 cpHL	

Partial width	$\sum_{ij} B_{ij} c_i c_j$	Widths annear in all measurements
$H \rightarrow b \bar{b}$		which appear in an measurements
$H \to WW^*$		
	+ $0.25$ cH <sup>2</sup> + $4.0$ cT <sup>2</sup> + $28$ cWW <sup>2</sup> + $3.5$ cB <sup>2</sup> + $2.2$ cHW <sup>2</sup> + $0.20$ cHB <sup>2</sup> + $1.8$ cHL <sup>2</sup> + $1.8$ cpHL <sup>2</sup> + $0.43$ cHe <sup>2</sup> + $0.14$ tcHW <sup>2</sup> + cH( $2.0$ cT - $5.1$ cWW - $1.3$ cB - $1.4$ cHW - $0.43$ cHB - $1.0$ cHI - $1.0$ cpHI + $0.43$ cHe) + cT(- $20$ cHW - $5.3$ cB - $5.7$ cHW	
$H \to Z Z^*$	-1.7 cHB - 4.1 cHL - 4.1 cpHL + 1.7 cHe) + cW(10 cB + 15 cHW + 4.4 cHB) $+ 12 crW + 12 crW + 25 cW) + cP(2.8 crW + 1.1 crW) + 0.052 c + 1.1 crW$	
	+12cHL + 12cpHL - $3.3$ cHe) + cB( $3.3$ cHw + $1.1$ cHB + $0.032c_{\gamma}$ + $1.1$ cHL + $1.1$ cpHL - $2.1$ cHe) + cHW( $1.3$ cHB + $3.0$ cHL + $3.0$ cpHL - $1.3$ cHe) +cHB( $0.91$ cHL + $0.91$ cpHL - $0.39$ cHe) + cHL( $3.5$ cpHL - $0.13$ cHe)	Quadratic terms messy
	+cpHL(-0.13cHe) + 0.081tcHW(tcHB)	
$H\to\gamma\gamma$	$8.4(c_{\gamma}^{\prime 2}+c_{\tilde{\gamma}}^{\prime 2})$	Many nous anaratara annoar
$H\to\tau\tau$		Many new operators appear
$H \to gg$		e g first appearance of CP-odd operators
$H \to cc$		c.g. mst appearance of CI -oud operators
$H \rightarrow all$	$\begin{split} 0.24 \text{cH}^2 + 0.037 \text{cT}^2 + 0.13 \text{cu}^2 + 1.7 \text{cd}^2 + 0.084 \text{cl}^2 + 2.6 \text{cWW}^2 + 4.7 \text{cHW}^2 \\ + 4.3 \text{cHB}^2 + 23 \text{cG}^2 + 0.09 \text{cpHQ}^2 + 0.066 \text{cHud}^2 + 0.027 \text{cpHL}^2 + 4.3 \text{tcHW}^2 \\ + 4.3 \text{tcHB}^2 + 23 \text{tcG}^2 + \text{cH}(-0.086 \text{cu} - 1.2 \text{cd} - 0.056 \text{cl} - 0.51 \text{cWW} \\ - 0.18 \text{cHW} - 0.083 \text{cpHQ} - 0.029 \text{cpHL}) + \text{cT}(-0.19 \text{cWW} - 0.046 \text{cB} \end{split}$	Cross-terms have larger stat uncertainty
	$\begin{aligned} -0.051 \text{cHW} &- 0.027 \text{cpHQ} + \text{cWW}(0.11 \text{cB} + 1.9 \text{cHW} + 0.04 \text{cHB} + 0.86 \text{cpHQ} \\ + 0.29 \text{cpHL}) + \text{cHW}(0.03 \text{cB} - 8.6 \text{cHB} + 0.1 c_{\gamma} + 0.31 \text{cpHQ} + 0.11 \text{cpHL}) \\ + \text{cHB}(-0.1 c_{\gamma}) + \text{tcHW}(-8.6 \text{tcHB} + 0.1 \tilde{c}_{\gamma}') + \text{tcHB}(-0.10 \tilde{c}_{\gamma}') \end{aligned}$	15

$gg \to H$ region	$\sum_{ij} B_{ij} c_i c_j$
0-jet	$780(c_g'^2 + c_{\tilde{q}}'^2) + c_g'(300 \text{cH} + 1200 \text{cd} + 700 \text{cuG} - 200 \text{cdG} + 200 \text{c3G})$
1-jet,	$780(c_g'^2 + c_{\tilde{q}}'^2) + c_g'(-1000 \text{cH} - 1000 \text{cd} - 2000 \text{cdG} - 2000 \text{c2G})$
$p_T^H < 60 { m ~GeV}$	$+\tilde{c}'_{g}(-2000$ tc3G)
1-jet,	$780(c_g'^2 + c_{\tilde{q}}'^2) + 70(\texttt{tc3G}^2 + \texttt{c3G}^2) + 80\texttt{c2G}^2 + c_g'(1000\texttt{cH} + 1000\texttt{cd})$
$60 \le p_T^H < 120 \text{ GeV}$	$+1000 \text{cuG} + 3000 \text{cdG} + 1000 \text{c3G} - 1000 \text{c2G}) + \tilde{c}'_g(2000 \text{tc3G})$
1-jet,	$780(c_g'^2 + c_{\tilde{q}}'^2) + 940(\texttt{c3G}^2 + \texttt{tc3G}^2) + 560\texttt{c2G}^2 + 5.6\texttt{cuG}^2 + c_g'(2000\texttt{cH}) + 560\texttt{c2G}^2 + $
$120 \le p_T^H < 200 \text{ GeV}$	$+4000 \text{cd} + 4000 \text{cuG} - 1000 \text{cdG} + 1000 \text{c3G} + 2000 \text{c2G}) + \tilde{c}'_{g}(1000 \text{tc3G})$
1-jet,	$780(c_g'^2 + c_{\tilde{q}}'^2) + 32 \texttt{cuG}^2 + 13100(\texttt{c3G}^2 + \texttt{tc3G}^2) + 12200\texttt{c2G}^2$
$p_T^H \ge 200 \text{ GeV}$	$+c'_{g}(8000 \text{cH} - 14000 \text{cd} - 4000 \text{cuG} - 7000 \text{cdG} - 6000 \text{c3G} + 11000 \text{c2G})$
	+c2G(800cH + 1200cu + 1300cuG + 1800cdG + 900cd + 2900c3G)
	$+c3G(400cu + 100cd + 400cuG) + 10000\tilde{c}'_{g}tc3G$
$\geq$ 2-jet,	$780(c_g'^2 + c_{\tilde{q}}'^2) + 170(\texttt{c3G}^2 + \texttt{tc3G}^2) + 140\texttt{c2G}^2 + c_g'(-1000\texttt{cH} - 1000\texttt{cd}) = 1000\texttt{cd}$
$p_T^H < 60 { m ~GeV}$	$-1000 \texttt{cuG} - 1000 \texttt{cdG} - 1000 \texttt{c3G} + 2000 \texttt{c2G}) + 2000 \tilde{c}'_g \texttt{tc3G} + \texttt{c3G}(50\texttt{c2G})$
$\geq$ 2-jet,	$780(c_g'^2 + c_{\tilde{g}}'^2) + 360(\texttt{c3G}^2 + \texttt{tc3G}^2) + 410\texttt{c2G}^2 + c_g'(-2000\texttt{cd} - 1000\texttt{cuG}) + 600\texttt{cd} + 1000\texttt{cuG} + 1000\texttt{cuG}) + 1000\texttt{cuG} + 100\texttt{cuG} + 100$
$60 \le p_T^H < 120 \text{ GeV}$	-1000 cdG - 2000 c3G + 2000 c2G) + c2G(-20 cH - 20 cu + 70 c3G)
$\geq$ 2-jet,	$780(c_g'^2 + c_{\tilde{g}}'^2) + 1800(\texttt{c3G}^2 + \texttt{tc3G}^2) + 1900\texttt{c2G}^2$
$120 \le p_T^H < 200 \text{ GeV}$	$+c'_{g}(-1000  ext{cH} - 2000  ext{cd} - 1000  ext{cuG} + 2000  ext{cdG} - 2000  ext{c3G} - 3000  ext{c2G})$
	+c2G(-20cH - 20cu - 100cd + 40cuG - 110cdG + 340c3G)
	$+c3G(10cH + 10cu + 30cd - 10cuG) + \tilde{c}'_g(3000tc3G)$
$\geq$ 2-jet,	$780(c_g'^2+c_{\tilde{g}}'^2)+63000 \texttt{c2G}^2+35000 (\texttt{c3G}^2+\texttt{tc3G})$
$p_T^H \ge 200 \text{ GeV}$	$+c'_{g}(-1000 \text{cH} - 3000 \text{cd} - 4000 \text{cuG} + 5000 \text{cdG} - 9000 \text{c3G} + 6000 \text{c2G})$
	$+ \texttt{c2G}(-100\texttt{cuG} + 100\texttt{cdG} + 4500\texttt{c3G}) + \tilde{c}'_g(3000\texttt{tc3G})$
$\geq$ 2-jet, VBF-like,	$780(c_g'^24+c_{\tilde{g}}'^2)+240(\texttt{c3G}^2+\texttt{tc3G}^2)+360\texttt{c2G}^2+c_g'(2000\texttt{cH}-4000\texttt{cd})$
$p_T^{j_3} < 25 \mathrm{GeV}$	+2000 cuG + 2000 cdG + 5000 c3G + c2G(-20cH - 30cu + 10cuG + 30c3G)
	$+c3G(-10cH+20cu+30cuG) + \tilde{c}'_g(4000tc3G)$
$\geq$ 2-jet VBF-like,	$780(c_g'^2+c_{\tilde{g}}'^2)+540(\texttt{c3G}^2+\texttt{tc3G}^2)+950\texttt{c2G}^2$
$p_T^{j_3} \ge 25 \mathrm{GeV}$	$+ c_g'(1000 \texttt{cH} - 1000 \texttt{cd} + 2000 \texttt{cuG} - 1000 \texttt{cdG} + 5000 \texttt{c3G} + 3000 \texttt{c2G})$
	+c2G(-70cH-50cd-80cu-140cuG-50cdG-10c3G)
	$+c3G(30cH + 20cu + 20cd + 30cuG + 20cdG) - \tilde{c}'_{a}(3000tc3G)$

Cross-section region	$\sum_{ij} B_{ij} c_i c_j$	
$\tilde{j}_{3} \sim H_{aa} (\text{VDE like } \sigma^{j_{3}} < 25 \text{ CeV})$	$8.4 {\rm cWW}^2 + 0.20 {\rm cB}^2 + 12 {\rm cHW}^2 + 0.22 {\rm cHB}^2$	
$qq \rightarrow H qq (V \text{ BF-like}, p_T < 25 \text{ GeV})$	+cWW(-0.48cB+1.7cHW-1.3cHB)+cHW(	1.1cHB)
	$14 {\tt cWW}^2 + 0.34 {\tt cB}^2 + 23 {\tt cHW}^2 + 0.42 {\tt cHB}^2$	
$qq \rightarrow Hqq \text{ (VBF-like, } p_T^{j_3} \ge 25 \text{ GeV})$	+cWW(-3.4cB+14cHW-4.3cHB)	
	+cB(-0.77cHW + 0.35cHB) + cHW(0.95cHB)	
	$2400 {\rm cWW}^2 + 44 {\rm cB}^2 + 2200 {\rm cHW}^2$	
$qq \to Hqq \ (p_T^j \ge 200 \text{ GeV})$	+ cWW (-1200 cB + 4300 cHW - 1200 cHB)	
	+cB(-1100cHW + 79cHB) + cHW(-1100cHE)	3)
	$440 \mathrm{cWW}^2 + 8.7 \mathrm{cB}^2 + 200 \mathrm{cHW}^2$	
$qq \to Hqq \ (60 \le m_{jj} < 120 \text{ GeV})$	+cWW(-270cB+520cHW-290cHB)	
	+cB(-120cHW + 9.9cHB) + cHW(-130cHB)	
	$81 \mathrm{cWW}^2 + 1.5 \mathrm{cB}^2 + 25 \mathrm{cHW}^2$	
$qq \to Hqq \text{ (rest)}$	+cWW(-45cB+56cHW-52cHB)	
	+cB(-9.0cHW+1.0cHB)+cHW(-9.5cHB)	
	$120000 \texttt{cu}\texttt{G}^2 + 140000(\texttt{c3}\texttt{G}^2 + \texttt{tc3}\texttt{G}^2) + 33000(\texttt{c3}^2 + \texttt{tc3}^2) + 3000(\texttt{c3}^2 + \texttt{tc3}$	$000$ c2G $^2$
$gg/q\bar{q} \rightarrow ttH$	+cuG(110000c3G+50000c2G+400cu-2)	00cH)
	+c3G(-140000c2G)	Cross-section region

Cross-section region	$\sum_{ij} B_{ij} c_i c_j$
$q\bar{q} \rightarrow H l \nu,$	$310 \texttt{cWW}^2 + 61 \texttt{cHW}^2 + 36 \texttt{tcHW} + 170 \texttt{cpHQ}^2 + 170 \texttt{cHud}^2 + 1.1 \texttt{cpHL}^2$
$p_T^V < 150 { m ~GeV}$	+cH(-18cWW-6.1cHW-12cpHQ-1.0cpHL)
	+cWW(230cHW+460cpHQ-2.0cHud+34cpHL)
	+cHW(170cpHQ+11cpHL)+cpHQ(24cpHL)
$q\bar{q} \rightarrow H l \nu,$	$1600 {\tt cWW}^2 + 870 {\tt cHW}^2 + 1200 {\tt cpHQ}^2 + 1300 {\tt cHud} + 160 {\tt tcHW}^2$
$150 \le p_T^V < 250 \text{ GeV},$	+cH(-33cWW-26cHW-26cpHQ+2200cHW)
0 jets	+cWW(2800cpHQ+3.0cHud+88cpHL+7.0cuW+12clW)
	+cHW(1900cpHQ+3.0cHud+49cpHL)-cHud(-4.0cpHL)
	+cpHQ(-5.0cHud+68cpHL+4.0cuW-3.0cdW+6.0clW)
$q\bar{q} \rightarrow H l \nu,$	$1500 {\tt cWW}^2 + 800 {\tt cHW}^2 + 1100 {\tt cpHQ}^2 + 1200 {\tt cHud}^2 + 150 {\tt tcHW}^2$
$150 \le p_T^V < 250 \text{ GeV},$	+cH(-29cWW-23cHW-35cpHQ)+cHud(5.0cdW)
$\geq 1$ jet	+cWW(2000cHW+2600cpHQ+70cpHL-3.0cdW)
	+cHW(1800cpHQ+41cpHL)+cpHQ(65cpHL-4.0cuW-7.0cdW)
$q\bar{q} \rightarrow H l \nu,$	$16000 \texttt{cWW}^2 + 14000 \texttt{cHW}^2 + 15000 \texttt{cpHQ}^2 + 16000 \texttt{cHud}^2 + 520 \texttt{tcHW}^2$
$p_T^V \ge 250 \text{ GeV}$	+ch(-80cWW - 70cHW - 100cpHQ) + chW(29000cpHQ + 190cpHL) 17
	+cWW(30000cHW+32000cpHQ+70cHud+210cpHL)+cpHQ(180cpHL)

Cross-section region	$\sum_{ij} B_{ij} c_i c_j$
$q\bar{q} \rightarrow Hll,$	$4.0 {\tt cT}^2 + 240 {\tt cWW}^2 + 20 {\tt cB}^2 + 34 {\tt cHW}^2 + 3.0 {\tt cHB}^2 + 170 {\tt cHQ}^2 + 170 {\tt cpHQ}^2$
$p_T^V < 150 { m ~GeV}$	$+ 100 \texttt{cHu}^2 + 75 \texttt{cHd}^2 + 1.3 \texttt{cHL}^2 + 1.3 \texttt{cpHL}^2 + 26 \texttt{tcHW}^2 + 2.3 \texttt{tcHB}^2$
	+ cH(2.0cT - 15cWW - 4.3cB - 4.4cHW - 1.3cHB + 1.0cHQ - 12cpHQ
	-2.3 cHu + 0.8 cHd + 0.48 cHL - 1.0 cpHL) + cT(-59 cWW - 17 cB
	-17cHW $-5.1$ cHB $+2.5$ cHQ $-46$ cpHQ $-11$ cHu $+3.7$ cHd $+1.9$ cHL
	-4.1 cpHL + 0.46 cHe) + cWW(140 cB + 150 cHW + 44 cHB + 0.5 cA - 17 cHQ)
	+390cpHQ $+82$ cHu $-31$ cHd $-14$ cHL $+33$ cpHL $-3.3$ cHe $+0.6$ cdB
	+0.9 cdW + 0.8 clB - 1.0 clW) + cB(42cHW + 12cHB - 2.8cHQ + 110cpHQ
	+ 31 cHu - 12 cHd - 4.6 cHL + 8.3 cpHL - 1.2 cHe) + cHW(20 cHB - 5.3 cHQ)
	+120 cpHQ + 29 cHu - 11 cHd - 4.1 cHL + 8.8 cpHL - 0.8 cHe) + cHB(-1.2 cHQ)
	+ 37 cpHQ + 8.8 cHu - 3.5 cHd - 1.2 cHL + 2.6 cpHL) + cHQ(-50 cpHQ - 1.0 cHd)
	+0.8 cHL-0.4 cuB) + cpHQ(-1.0cHu-0.6cHd-12cHL+24cpHL-2.0cHe)
	+1.0 cuB+1.0 cdW+1.0 clB+1.0 clW)+cHu(-2.3 cHL+4.9 cpHL+0.6 cuB)
	+1.5cuW) + cHd(1.2cHL - 2.2cpHL) + cHL(-0.53cpHL) + tcHW(15tcHB)
$q\bar{q} \rightarrow Hll,$	$4.0 \texttt{cT}^2 + 1000 \texttt{cWW}^2 + 90 \texttt{cB}^2 + 480 \texttt{cHW}^2 + 43 \texttt{cHB}^2 + 1200 \texttt{cHQ}^2 + 12000 \texttt{cPHQ}^2$
$150 \le p_T^V < 250 \text{ GeV},$	$+680 \texttt{cHu}^2 + 490 \texttt{cHd}^2 + 120 \texttt{tcHW}^2 + 10 \texttt{tcHB}^2 + \texttt{cH}(-24 \texttt{cWW} - 9.0 \texttt{cB} - 20 \texttt{cHW})$
0 jets	-5.1 cHB + 11 cHQ - 25 cpHQ - 11 cHu + 2.0 cHd + cT(-120 cWW - 36 cB)
	-75 cHW - 22 cHB + 13 cHQ - 120 cpHQ - 29 cHu + 9.0 cHd - 4.2 cpHL)
	+ cWW(610 cB + 1300 cHW + 400 cHB - 150 cHQ + 2100 cpHQ + 470 cHu
	-170 cHd - 24 cHL + 55 cpHL + 7.0 cuB + 10 cuW + 14 cdW + 30 clW)
	+cB(380cHW+110cHB-39cHQ+600cpHQ+160cHu-59cHd-9.4cHL
	$+19 {\tt cpHL} - 2.2 {\tt cHe}) + {\tt cHW}(290 {\tt cHB} - 78 {\tt cHQ} + 1300 {\tt cpHQ} + 320 {\tt cHu} - 110 {\tt cHd})$
	-18 cHL + 41 cpHL - 3.0 cHe - 2.0 cdB) + cHB(-21 cHQ + 400 cpHQ + 96 cHu)
	-34 cHd - 5.1 cHL + 12 cpHL) + cHQ(-380 cpHQ + 30 cHu + 2.0 cHd + 14 cHL
	-6.0 cHe + 25 cuB + 24 cdB + 10 cdW - 3.0 clB + 4.0 clW) + cpHQ(10 cHu)
	-40 cHL + 80 cpHL - 7.0 cHe + 3.0 cdW + 30 clB - 3.0 clW) + cHu(14 cpHL)
	$+3.0 tm{CHe} - 8.0 tm{CuB} + 8.0 tm{CdB} + 8.0 tm{CdW} + 13 tm{ClB} + 7.0 tm{ClW}$
	+cHd(9.0cHL + 3.0cpHL - 4.0cuB - 3.0clB - 6.0clW) + 69tcHWtcHB

Cross-section region	$\sum_{ij} B_{ij} c_i c_j$
$q\bar{q} \rightarrow Hll,$	$4.0 \texttt{cT}^2 + 960 \texttt{cWW}^2 + 82 \texttt{cB}^2 + 440 \texttt{cHW}^2 + 39 \texttt{cHB}^2 + 1100 \texttt{cHQ}^2 + 1100 \texttt{cHQ}^2$
$150 \le p_T^V < 250 \text{ GeV},$	+640 cHu <sup>2</sup> + 430 cHd <sup>2</sup> + 110 tcHW <sup>2</sup> + 9.7 tcHB <sup>2</sup> + cH(2.1 cT - 23 cWW - 8.1 cB)
$\geq 1$ jet	-18 cHW - 4.9 cHB - 36 cpHQ - 2.0 cHu) + cT(-110 cWW - 34 cB - 71 cHW
	-20 cHB + 4.0 cHQ - 120 cpHQ - 24 cHu + 10 cHd + 2.0 cHL - 4.2 cpHL)
	+ cWW(560 cB + 1200 cHW + 340 cHB - 200 cHQ + 1900 cpHQ + 440 cHu
	-150 cHd - 24 cHL + 68 cpHL + 3.0 cHe + 5.0 cuB + 3.0 cuW - 3.0 cdB
	-10 cdW - 10 clW) + cB(330 cHW + 100 cHB - 30 cHQ + 550 cpHQ + 140 cHu
	-52 cHd - 9.6 cHL + 17 cpHL - 2.3 cHe) + cHW(260 cHB - 120 cHQ)
	$+1200 {\tt cpHQ} + 290 {\tt cHu} - 100 {\tt cHd} - 20 {\tt cHL} + 29 {\tt cpHL} - 10 {\tt cHe} - 5.0 {\tt cuB}$
	+2.0 cuW+2.0 cdB-3.0 cdW)+cHB(-30 cHQ+360 cpHQ+85 cHu-30 cHd)
	-4.8 cHL + 11 cpHL) + cHQ(-420 cpHQ - 20 cHd + 17 cHL + 23 cHe + 3.0 cuB
	+17cuW+6.0cdW+30clB+10clW)+cpHQ(-6.0cHd-40cHL+20cpHL
	-20 cHe + 10 cuB - 10 cuW - 3.0 cdB + 10 clW) + cHu(-6.0 cHL + 13 cpHL)
	-3.0 cHe + 10 cuB + 4.0 cdB + 10 cdW + 4.0 clB) + cHd(-8.0 cHL - 7.0 cHe)
	-5.0 cdB - 2.0 clB + 3.0 clW) + tcHW(65 tcHB)
$q\bar{q} \rightarrow Hll,$	$9600 \texttt{cWW}^2 + 850 \texttt{cB}^2 + 8000 \texttt{cHW}^2 + 720 \texttt{cHB}^2 + 14000 \texttt{cHQ}^2 + 14000 \texttt{cpHQ}^2$
$p_T^V \ge 250 \text{ GeV}$	$+8600 \text{cHu}^2 + 5200 \text{cHd}^2 + 380 \text{tcHW}^2 + 35 \text{tcHB}^2 + \text{cH}(-80 \text{cWW} - 22 \text{cB})$
	-50cHW $- 90$ cpHQ $- 30$ cHu) + cT $(-310$ cWW $- 90$ cB $- 250$ cHW $- 78$ cHB
	$-310 {\tt cpHQ} - 100 {\tt cHu} + 30 {\tt cHd}) + {\tt cWW} (5700 {\tt cB} + 17000 {\tt cHW} + 5100 {\tt cHB}$
	$-3200 {\tt cHQ} + 22000 {\tt cpHQ} + 5400 {\tt cHu} - 1700 {\tt cHd} - 70 {\tt cHL} + 160 {\tt cpHL}$
	-30cHe $-30$ cdW $+20$ clB $-30$ clW $) + cB(5100$ cHW $+1500$ cHB $-880$ cHQ
	$+ 6400 {\tt cpHQ} + 1700 {\tt cHu} - 500 {\tt cHd} + 48 {\tt cpHL}) + {\tt cHW} (4800 {\tt cHB} - 2900 {\tt cHQ})$
	$+19000 {\tt cpHQ} + 4900 {\tt cHu} - 1500 {\tt cHd} - 50 {\tt cHL} + 130 {\tt cpHL}) + {\tt cHB}(-870 {\tt cHQ})$
	$+5700 {\tt cpHQ} + 1500 {\tt cHu} - 430 {\tt cHd} + 37 {\tt cpHL}) + {\tt cHQ}(-6700 {\tt cpHQ} - 70 {\tt cHu})$
	+50 cHd - 30 cHL - 50 cpHL - 40 cuB - 30 cdB - 30 cdW - 30 clW)
	+ cpHQ(50cHd + 220cHL - 30cHe - 50cuB - 50cdW - 110clB - 70clW)
	$+ \mathtt{cHu}(-30 \mathtt{cHL} + 70 \mathtt{cpHL} - 20 \mathtt{cuW} - 30 \mathtt{cdW}) + \mathtt{cHd}(30 \mathtt{cHL} + 40 \mathtt{cHe})$
	+tcHW(230tcHB)

# **Future plans**

### End of this year

Post draft notes on STXS and STXS $\rightarrow$ EFT equations with follow-up meetings Implement first EFT fit in combined channels Initiate discussion of benchmark results for individual analyses (including PO)

**Early next year** Expand EFT equations to Warsaw basis & add HH production Define binning for Higgs+EW fit Post draft note on benchmarks

#### Sometime next year

Follow-up EFT fit with more complete set of Higgs & EW measurements (ideally in two bases to test the translations) Update EFT equations to include NLO QCD, running couplings & uncertainties Discuss expanding EFT fits to top (and QCD) measurements

### **Eventually**

Full combination of Run 2 ATLAS+CMS STXS measurements and EFT fits

# Next WG2 meeting?

Countdown to Online Physics Brawl Nov 29, 2017 at 5:00 pm