Compilation of the results on characterization of ADVACAM edgeless pixel sensors
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**Introduction**

Motivation for ATLAS Inner Detector upgrade

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of the upgrade</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Luminosity</th>
<th>Energy VS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LHC startup</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>$6 \times 10^{33}$ cm$^{-2}$s$^{-1}$</td>
<td>7-8 TeV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase-0</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>$1 \times 10^{34}$ cm$^{-2}$s$^{-1}$</td>
<td>13 TeV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase-1</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>$2 \times 10^{34}$ cm$^{-2}$s$^{-1}$</td>
<td>13-14 TeV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase-2</td>
<td>2023</td>
<td>$7,5 \times 10^{34}$ cm$^{-2}$s$^{-1}$</td>
<td>14 TeV</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Very severe pile-up conditions expected:

Corresponding num. of inelastic pp collisions per beam-crossing (25 ns) will increase: $25 \rightarrow 200$

New Tracking detectors must fulfill the conditions:

- Fast (40MHz), high granularity & good pattern recognition capabilities ($10^3$ tracks/25 ns).
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Introduction
Motivation for ATLAS Inner Detector upgrade

• Increased luminosity also leads to increasing of radiation load.

Radiation Effects:
• Creation of lattice defects (loss in the charge collection due to charge capture).
• Change of depletion voltage (due to type inversion).
• Rise of leakage current (additional energy levels are being formed in the band gap region)

Aim is 3-4k fb^{-1} integrated luminosity

ID (Inner Detector) has limited lifetime:

- Expected: \(1.6 \times 10^{16} \text{n}_{\text{eq}} \text{cm}^{-2}\)
- Designed: \(10^{14} \text{n}_{\text{eq}} \text{cm}^{-2}\)

estimated to correspond to
400 fb^{-1}

1.7 GRad
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Planar pixel sensors to test

**Samples to study:** FE-I4 compatible thin **n-in-p** planar pixel sensors with the active and slim edge design produced by ADVACAM.

- Radiation hard (no type inversion, thin);
- Single side processing;
- Thickness: 50 um, 100um, 150um;
- Maximized active area (Deep Reactive Ion Etching (DRIE));

**Goal:**
- Study the overall and edge hit efficiency with normal and inclined tracks before and after irradiation;

It is particularly interesting for the innermost layers where it is important to reduce geometrical inefficiencies.

**Active edge**

- Guard Ring
- ADV-50-3-1D, ADV-NP50-3-1A, ADV-NP150-6-1A

**Slim edge**

- Bias Ring
- Punch-through structure
- ADV-50-3-3A, ADV-NP50-3-3B, ADV-NP100-7-2A
Overview of the setup

Test Beam telescope setup:

- Mimosa26 sensors (1152 x 576) with a pixel pitch of 18.4 μm,
- 50μm thick;
- Spatial resolution up to 2 μm;
- Pixel read-out systems fully integrated into EUDAQ software framework;
- Multiple DAQ systems for lab tunings and measurements: USBPix, RCE, etc.
Goals

- Efficiency for **50μm-thick ADVACAM samples** with **active edge** and **slim edge** design
  - with and without irradiation $1 \times 10^{15} \ \text{n}_{\text{eq}}/\text{cm}^2$;
  - on different side edges;
  - with **normal** and **inclined** incident (DUT tilted at 30°, 45° (around y-axis));

- Efficiency for **100μm-thick ADVACAM sample** with **slim edge** design before and after irradiation $2 \times 10^{15} \ \text{n}_{\text{eq}}/\text{cm}^2$;

- Efficiency for **150μm-thick ADVACAM sample** with **active edge** design before and after irradiation $2 \times 10^{15} \ \text{n}_{\text{eq}}/\text{cm}^2$;

**Beam:** CERN SPS 120 GeV pions, DESY 4 GeV electrons;

**Telescopes:** DURANTA (TB22), ACONITE (H6A) and AIDA (H6B);

**Cooling:** Cooling box (-40°C to -44°C), Dry ice cooling (approx. -40 to -50 °C);

**Bias voltage points:**
- from 50 V to 120 V (for 50μm);
- from 50V to 200V (100μm,150μm)
• Efficiency study for the 50μm-thick ADVACAM edgeless sensors

  ➢ **ACTIVE EDGE** design
    - with and without irradiation on different side edges;
    - Normal and Inclined tracks;

  *(CERN-SPS during July and October TB period.)*
Results

Active Edge, non-irradiated and irradiated 1e15 \(n_{eq}/cm^2\)

Before Irradiation:

- **Left Edge (Col 0)**: 94.86\(\pm\)0.04%
- **Right Edge (Col 80)**: 97.21\(\pm\)0.05%

Global efficiency vs bias voltage

- **Left Edge (Col 0)**:
  - Before Irradiation: 81.90\(\pm\)0.07% at 50V
  - After Irradiation: 86.90\(\pm\)0.12% at 120V

- **Right Edge (Col 80)**:
  - Before Irradiation: 84.78\(\pm\)0.11% at 50V
  - After Irradiation: 89.27\(\pm\)0.09% at 120V
Results

Edge efficiency:
ADV-50-3-1D (non-irrad.) and ADV-50-3-1A ($1 \times 10^{15} \text{n}_{\text{eq}}/\text{cm}^2$)
Results

- Efficiency vs bias voltage: irrad. $1 \times 10^{15} \text{n}_{\text{eq}}/\text{cm}^2$, and tilted (45° and 27°)

At a tilted angle of 45°, the efficiency performance improved, reaching 95% at 80V bias voltage.
• Efficiency study for the 50μm-thick ADVACAM edgeless sensors

  ➢ **SLIM EDGE** design
  - with and without irradiation on different side edges;

  *(CERN-SPS during July and October TB period.)*
Results

Slim Edge, non-irradiated and irradiated $1e15\ n_{eq}/cm^2$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Before Irradiation:</th>
<th></th>
<th>Right Edge (Col 80)</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Left Edge (Col 0)</strong></td>
<td>92,71+0,05%</td>
<td>94,73+0,24%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Efficiency</td>
<td>76,93+0,09% 50V</td>
<td>82,56+0,3% 50V</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Efficiency</td>
<td>79,27+0,1% 120V</td>
<td>84,33+0,09% 120V</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Global efficiency vs bias voltage

ADV-50-3-3B (Slim edge)
Results

Edge efficiency: ADV-50-3-3A (non-irrad.) and ADV-50-3-3B (1e15 $n_{eq}/cm^2$)
• Efficiency study for the **150μm-thick** active edge and **100μm-thick** slim edge ADVACAM sensors.

- Non-irradiated (tested at CERN – Nov 2016 and DESY – March 2017)
- Irradiated to **$2 \times 10^{15} n_{eq}/cm^2** (tested at DESY – Dec 2017)
Results

Edge efficiency performance for the non-irradiated *active edge* samples of **150 um** thickness and comparison with **50um-thick** sensor of the same design (*ADV-50-3-1D, ADV-NP150-6-1A*).

- *Efficiency vs track impact position*

  Global Efficiency: **97.8±0.2%**
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Results

Edge efficiency performance for the non-irradiated slim edge samples of 100 um thickness and comparison with 50um-thick sensor of the same design (ADV-50-3-3A, ADV-NP100-7-2A)

- Efficiency vs track impact position

Global Efficiency: 96.9±0.2% 50V
Comparison of the CERN and DESY test beam results for the non-irradiated (ADV-NP150-6-1A and ADV-NP100-7-2A) samples.
Results

Efficiency performance for the **active edge (150 um)** and **slim edge (100 um)** (ADV-NP150-6-1A, ADV-NP100-7-2A) after irradiation $2 \times 10^{15} \text{n}_{eq}/\text{cm}^2$ at different bias voltages.

- In-pixel efficiency maps

**Active edge**

- 50V: 38,12 ± 0,18%
- 180V: 92,46 ± 0,05%

**Slim edge**

- 50V: 67,06 ± 0,15%
- 180V: 89,55 ± 0,09%

Comparison of the **Global efficiency vs bias voltage** for active and slim edge designs.
Results

Efficiency performance for the **active edge (150 um)** and **slim edge (100 um)** before and after irradiation.
Results

Comparison of the performance of active and slim edge design sensors:

- **50 um – thick sensors:**
  - Before irradiation **active edge** shows efficiency of 97.2% while **slim edge** has 94.7% (50V);
  - At $1e15 \text{n}_{eq}/\text{cm}^2$, the efficiency of **active edge** is 86-89%, while **slim edge** is 79-84% at 120V.

- **100 um slim edge** sensor:
  - Before irradiation provides 96.8% of hit efficiency (50V);
  - After irradiation at $2e15 \text{n}_{eq}/\text{cm}^2$ the efficiency can recover 89.5% at 180V;

- **150 um active edge** sensor:
  - Before irradiation provides 97.8% of hit efficiency (50V);
  - After irradiation at $2e15 \text{n}_{eq}/\text{cm}^2$ the efficiency can recover 93.6% at 200V;

Both designs had an issue during TB with the achievement of high voltage (breakdown starts and sensor becomes noisy)
Clean room characterization

Infrared laser test bench setup
Laser test bench setup

**Purpose:** To have a compact set-up to characterize silicon pixel modules and test their functionality in clean room before beam tests.

- charge collection efficiency (before and after irradiation, for the edges, scanning along an individual pixel);
- charge sharing between adjacent pixels;
- performance with inclined tracks;

**Our goals for this development:**

- to have a setup based on infrared laser to mimic a MIP like charge generation;
- to have a possibility for micrometric positioning of the DUT in X-Y direction (so the interaction point determination without reconstruction);
- to be able to rotate a DUT to perform studies with inclined tracks;
- to adapt to new quad sensors and RD53-A readout electronics;
- have high rates (kHz), for high statistics in measurements;
Laser test bench setup

Schematics:

- Laser to DAQ
- Optical fiber
- Trigger signal from Module to DAQ
- O/E converter
- 25%
- 1064 nm

DAQ system

Scope

Module Front-End

power suppliers

Function generator

Bias HV for the module
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Laser test bench setup

LabVIEW software for the laser test bench controlling and parameters readout

DUT support with rotating stage
We wish to request the new n-in-p sensors production with openings on the Al backside for laser tests performing.
Summary and Conclusions

• 50 μm-thick samples (two are non-irradiated and two are at $1 \times 10^{15} \text{n}_{\text{eq}}/\text{cm}^2$ irradiation level) and 150 and 100 μm-thick samples (before and after irradiation $2 \times 10^{15} \text{n}_{\text{eq}}/\text{cm}^2$) of active and slim edge design have been measured at CERN (Oct-2017) and at DESY facilities (March, Dec-2017).

• The efficiencies (global, in-pixel, edge) were calculated for various sensor conditionals including: before and after irradiation case, the horizontal position of the sensor being illuminated, and tilted angle to the beam.

• The efficiency performance is generally better in sample with active edge design compared to the one with slim edge design. Thicker sensors show better performance.

• The laser test bench setup for SPD is being developed in the clean room at LAL.
  • We will be very happy to have any assistance in developing (comments, ideas, experience)

Thank you for your attention!
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