

## Polarization and Energy Calibration points raised in discussions

- 1. Beam polarization
  - -- is the effect of Q\_s being so small generate excessive depolarization  $\xi_s \propto (\sigma_F a\gamma)/Q_s << 1$  (see S. Mane's paper)
- 2. running scheme
  - -- are the two hours rise time at beginning of fill affecting run efficiency too much?
  - -- should we desing to reduce this further with stronger or more wigglers? fix # wigglers
  - -- what length of fill should be assumed?
  - -- can we shorten this if polarization measurement is more precise?
- 3. pilot bunch Touschek live-time (TLT) is short
  - -- what intensity is needed to obtain TLT to about 10 hours?
  - -- can we measure polarization well enough with this bunch intensity?
- 4. what is the layout of the polarimeters in the accelerator ? (whom to ask for lab, location, infrastructure etc..)
- 5. what is the physics case for having all Z pole data with the precise energy calibration all the time?

10/20/2017



## Polarization and Energy Calibration points raised in discussions

- 6. how do we measure the energy spread?  $\rightarrow$  experiments !
- 7. compatibility physics conditions (high L) and energy calibration.
- 8. (need to layout an iterative machine corrections strategy (beta-beat, dispersion, coupling, high orders)
- 9. Estimate of vertical dispersion at the IP to estimate how critical CM shift could be (from diff dispersion of e+ and e-).
- 10. Bmad simulations? Longer term effort?