



Review of Injection Schemes

Peter Kuske, Helmholtz-Zentrum Berlin and Humboldt-Innovation GmbH

15 – 17 January 2018, Low Emittance Rings Workshop, CERN

I. Injection – Septum Magnets

- II. 4-Kicker Bump Comment on Optimum Injection Twiss Parameters
- III. 3-Kicker Bumps 2 Different Schemes and with Anti-Septum – Comment on Bump Closure
- **IV. Single Kicker Injection**
- V. Single Kicker Swap-Out Injection
- **VI. Non-Linear Single Kicker Injection**
- **VII.** Injection into the Longitudinal Phase Space
- VIII. Shifting Excessive Momentum to Other Degrees of Freedom
- IX. Summary

Content

Injection Process



In principle beam can be injected into any coordinates of the 6D-phase space.

Bring beam to be injected as close as possible to the stable phase space of the already stored beam

The closer the better and the easier the final step to merge both beams:

- thinner septa
- smaller emittance of injected beam

P. Lebasque, et al., "Eddy Current Septum Magnets for Booster Injection and Extraction, and Storage Ring Injection at Synchrotron SOLEIL", Proceedings of EPAC'06

DC-Lambertson Septum, MAXIV, The MAX IV Detailed Design Report, http://www.maxlab.lu.se/maxlab/max4/DDR public/index.html.

J. Borburgh, et al., "Final Results on the CERN PS Electrostatic Septa", Proceedings of

"Massless septum" = non-linear kicker, T. Atkinson, et al., "Development of a Non-Linear Kicker System to Facilitate a new Injection Scheme for the BESSY Storage Ring", Proceedings of IPAC2011, San Sebastián, Spain

Peter Kuske, Review of Injection Schemes, 7th Low Emittance Rings Workshop, 15-17 January 2018, CERN

4 Kicker Bump

BESSY II parameters

















horizontal phase space



optimized injection parameter – aperture ~ 10.6 mm



matched – $\beta_{inj} = \beta_{sto}$, $\alpha_{inj} = \alpha_{sto} = 0$ – aperture ~11.3 mm

Peter Kuske, Review of Injection Schemes, 7th Low Emittance Rings Workshop, 15-17 January 2018, CERN

Emittance exchange: flat beam in synchrotron – either emittance sharing (on-coupling resonance) or full exchange – can significantly reduce horizontal emittance



Translates directly to much smaller needed dynamic aperture ~ 7 mm

P. Kuske, F. Kramer, Transverse Emittance Exchange for Improved Injection Efficiency, proceedings of IPAC'16, WEOAA01

Peter Kuske, Review of Injection Schemes, 7th Low Emittance Rings Workshop, 15-17 January 2018, CERN

Matched – $\beta_{inj} = \beta_{sto}$, $\alpha_{inj} = \alpha_{sto} = 0$



optimized – $\beta_{inj} < \beta_{sto}$, $\alpha_{inj} = \alpha_{sto} = 0$



- optimization works for most of the transverse injection schemes except the non-linear kicker
- with smaller and smaller emittance of stored and injected beam most of the valuable dynamic aperture eaten up be septum

II.1

Smaller ß-values at the end of the transfer lines reduce required dynamic aperture by up to 20% - a gain of typically 2 mm



Andreas Streun, "SLS booster-to-ring transferline optics for optimum injection efficiency", SLS-TME-TA-2002-0193, May, 2005

Peter Kuske, Review of Injection Schemes, 7th Low Emittance Rings Workshop, 15-17 January 2018, CERN

Ш.



III.



Ш.



III.1



P. Collier, "Synchrotron Phase Space Injection Into LEP", Proceedings of PAC'95

3 Kicker Bump – Off-Axis Injection with Anti Septum

III.2



C. Gough, et al., Injection into Small Aperture Rings using an "Antiseptum", contribution to the Topical Workshop on Injection and Injection Systems, 28-30 August 2017, Berlin, *https://indico.cern.ch/event/635514/*

A.V. Bondarenko, et al., "A New Beam Extraction Scheme from a Synchrotron Using a Magnetic Shield", proceedings of RuPAC'08, Zvenigorod, Russia Peter Kuske, Review of Injection Schemes, 7th Low Emittance Rings Workshop, 15-17 January 2018, CERN Perfect closure of the injection bump is hard to achieve. In small rings the half-wave sinusoidal kicker pulse with a width at the base (number of turns: base>=4) will not create any long lasting orbit oscillations for special tunes: dQ=(1.5+i)/base with *i*=0...base-3



If tune and the length of your kicker pulses (and the shape) can be chosen according to this equation the bump would be closed after the kicker pulse.

C. Gough, et al., Injection into Small Aperture Rings using an "Antiseptum", contribution to the Topical Workshop on Injection and Injection Systems, 28-30 August 2017, Berlin, *https://indico.cern.ch/event/635514/*

Peter Kuske, Review of Injection Schemes, 7th Low Emittance Rings Workshop, 15-17 January 2018, CERN

IV.

used initially in MAXIV and in nearly all our booster synchrotrons

after phase advance of $(n+0.25)\cdot 2\pi$



IV.

after phase advance of $(n+0.25)\cdot 2\pi$



at the injection septum



at the kicker

injection kicker reduces momentum of the injected and creates momentum of the stored beam





at the injection septum

at the kicker

both beams survive oscillating inside the acceptance of the ring – with fast stripline kicker only the topped-up bunch would be excited

approach favoured by upgrade projects for APS and ALS

after phase advance of $(n+0.25)\cdot 2\pi$



approach favoured by upgrade projects for APS and ALS

twice the kick strength required to bring injected beam on-axis



approach favoured by upgrade projects for APS and ALS

injected beam replaces old beam



approach favoured by upgrade projects for APS and ALS

V.

old beam circulates with large amplitude and is either dumped (APS, requiring a high accelerated charge) or recaptured in an accumulator ring (ALS)



At BESSY II the diagnostics kicker is located at an appropriate phase advance to perform on-axis, swap-out injections and old beam is lost at the septum after 3 turns



VI.

Proposed scheme for BESSY II, similar approach taken at MAXIV, SOLEIL, and SIRIUS



K. Harada, et al, New Injection Scheme Using a Pulsed Quadrupole Magnet in Electron Storage Rings, Phys. Rev. ST-AB 10, 123501, 2007

Peter Kuske, Review of Injection Schemes, 7th Low Emittance Rings Workshop, 15-17 January 2018, CERN



Appropriate phase advance between injection point and NLK or adjustment of injection angle

Peter Kuske, Review of Injection Schemes, 7th Low Emittance Rings Workshop, 15-17 January 2018, CERN



Kick strength of NLK takes out transverse momentum of injected beam







 $\beta_{NLK} = \beta_{septum}/2$ – the current installation of the NLK in BESSY II

Optimization

6 mm distance between wires

Non-linearity of the kicker requires numerical optimization

Results:

VI.1

- larger
 ß-values lead to smaller needed apertures
- non-zero α-values help
- with emittance exchange limit by vertical particle loss
- septum does not play a role

Not really surprizing as the NLK itself is septum-like with no field on-axis.



Optimization

7 mm distance between wires

Non-linearity of the kicker requires numerical optimization

Results:

VI.1

- larger
 ß-values lead to smaller needed apertures
- non-zero α-values help
- with emittance exchange limit by vertical particle loss
- septum does not play a role

Not really surprizing as the NLK itself is septum-like with no field on axis.

Larger distance between the wires = larger vertical gap leads to higher currents and slightly increased needed apertures



VI.2 Impact of Imperfections of a NLK

In the symmetric NLK there is always a location of the stored beam where the net kick for the bunch is zero. Perturbations in terms of still existing gradient, sextupole or octupole components will degrade the performance of the device. They scale with the value of β_0 at the kicker.

These results are derived with the help of the Σ -matrix:

ar

$$K = \frac{\frac{\partial By}{\partial x}L}{B\rho} \qquad x_1' = x_0' + K \cdot x_0 \qquad \qquad \frac{\sigma_x}{\sigma_{x_0}} \approx 1 \pm \frac{K \cdot \beta_0}{2}$$

$$S = \frac{\frac{\partial^2 B_y}{\partial x^2}L}{B\rho} \qquad x_1' = x_1' + \frac{S}{2} \cdot \qquad \qquad \frac{\varepsilon_1}{\varepsilon_0} \approx 1 + \frac{S^2}{4} \beta_0^3 \varepsilon_0$$

$$O = \frac{\frac{\partial^3 B_y}{\partial x^3}L}{B\rho} \qquad x_1' = x_1' + \frac{O}{6} \cdot x_0^3 \qquad \qquad \frac{\sigma_x}{\sigma_0} \approx 1 + \frac{O}{4} \beta_0 \sigma_0^2 = 1 + \frac{O}{4} \beta_0^2 \varepsilon_0$$

$$\frac{\varepsilon_1}{\varepsilon_0} \approx 1 + \frac{O^2}{12} \beta_0^4 \varepsilon_0^2$$

Peter Kuske, Review of Injection Schemes, 7th Low Emittance Rings Workshop, 15-17 January 2018, CERN

standard RF-settings + injected beam parameters as delivered by the BESSY II synchrotron



Contributions to the Topical Workshop on Injection and Injection Systems, 28-30 August 2017, Berlin, *https://indico.cern.ch/event/635514:*

- M. Aiba, et al., Longitudinal Top-Up Injection for Small Aperture Storage Rings
- Zhe Duan, Injection Schemes For HEPS

VII.

- Gang Xu, Longitudinal accumulation in triple RF systems
- M.-A Tordeux, Longitudinal injection into low-emittance ring: A novel scheme for SOLEIL upgrade

Peter Kuske, Review of Injection Schemes, 7th Low Emittance Rings Workshop, 15-17 January 2018, CERN

VII.

BESSY II – nominal settings – on-axis injection with diagnostics kicker – injection energy varied by extracting earlier or later (synchrotron works with White-circuits) – phase variation with trombone – swap-out injection



VII.

Theory: $\sigma \sim 60 \text{ ps}$, $\sigma_{\epsilon} \sim 5.7 \cdot 10^{-4}$ - measurement: 55±4ps from phase scans, $\sigma_{\epsilon} \sim 6 \cdot 10^{-4}$ from quadrupole scans in the transferline



Phase acceptance scan with on-momentum injection

VII.

Width of "acceptance lines" and edges of acceptance are dominated by the large bunchlength



injection efficiency vs. RF-phase offsets between synchrotron and storage ring

Longitudinal injection schemes require an adequate longitudinal emittance of the injected beam.

Using a pulsed solenoid to transfer horizontal momentum to the vertical plane.



VIII.

Using a pulsed solenoid to transfer horizontal momentum to the vertical plane.



VIII.

Using a pulsed solenoid to transfer horizontal momentum to the vertical plane.



VIII.

	-		-			
injection scheme	septum	kicker	on- axis	stored beam excitation	transpar ent	example
transverse:						
position	yes	1-4 or NLK	no	not with NLK	with NLK	II, III, IV,VI
angle	yes	1-4 or NLK	no	not with NLK	with NLK	III,VI
both	yes	NLK	no	no	yes	VI
longitudinal:						
phase	yes	stripline	yes	no	yes	VII
momentum	yes	stripline or NLK	yes	no	yes	VII
both	yes	stripline or NLK	yes	no	yes	VII
Swap-out	yes	stripline	yes	yes/pre-kick	yes	V

All schemes require at least 1 septum magnet – the thinner the septum blade the better. All schemes profit from small or at least adequate 6d-emittance of injected beam – injection efficiency and ease of realization.

Summary

IX.

injection scheme	septum	kicker	on- axis	stored beam excitation	transpar ent	example
transverse:						
position	yes	1-4 or NLK	no	not with NLK	with NLK	II, III, IV,VI
angle	yes	1-4 or NLK	no	not with NLK	with NLK	III,VI
both	yes	NLK	no	no	yes	VI
longitudinal:						
phase	yes	stripline	yes	no	yes	VII
momentum	yes	stripline or NLK	yes	no	yes	VII
both	yes	stripline or NLK	yes	no	yes	VII
Swap-out	yes	stripline	yes	yes/pre-kick	yes	V

All schemes require at least 1 septum magnet – the thinner the septum blade the better. All schemes profit from small or at least adequate 6D-emittance of injected beam – injection efficiency and ease of realization.

I thank Terry Atkinson, Holger Glass, Dirk Schüler and Markus Ries for their support – and you for your attention

Peter Kuske, Review of Injection Schemes, 7th Low Emittance Rings Workshop, 15-17 January 2018, CERN

IX.

Summary

with reduced RF cavity voltage and smaller momentum acceptance

П.

