

European Research Council





Double charge exchange reactions for neutrino physics

Domenico Torresi

INFN – Laboratori Nazionali del Sud

15th Varenna Conference on Nuclear Reaction Mechanism – Varenna – 14 May 2018

The double β-decay



The observation of the neutrinoless double beta decay will establish:

- Neutrino is a Majorana fermion
- Violation of the lepton number conservation
- A window into physics beyond the Standard Model



The Decay Rate Expression for 0vββ

Two kinds of theoretical issues related to the double beta decay experiments.

- 1) Issues related to the **particle** physics deal with fundamental parameters entering the decay rate expression: Neutrino masses Coupling constant
- 2) Issues related to the **nuclear** physics: The decay rate is expressed in terms of NME that have **to be evaluated.**



The Nuclear Matrix Elements

$$|M_{\epsilon}^{0 \nu \beta \beta}| = |\langle \Psi_{f}| O_{\epsilon}^{0 \nu \beta \beta} |\Psi_{i}\rangle|^{2}$$

The nuclear matrix elements evaluation up to date are based on:

Calculations: QRPA, Interacting Boson Model, Large scale shell model...

Measurements: early measurements not conclusive for $0\nu\beta\beta$

- π -induced DCE reaction
- Heavy-ion induced DCE reaction
- Single charge exchange



A new experimental approach to extract the NMEs for $0\nu\beta\beta$ decay is based on the study of the <u>heavy-ion double charge exchange reaction</u> using large-acceptance high-resolution spectrometer.

Heavy Ion DCE and 0vßß

Neutrinoless double beta decay

Differences

- **χ** DCE mediated by strong interaction, $0\nu\beta\beta$ by weak interaction
- x DCE includes sequential transfer mechanism
- X Dynamics of the process: decay vs reaction



Similarities

- Same initial and final states: Parent/daughter states of the $0\nu\beta\beta$ decay are the same as those of the target/residual nuclei in the DCE
- Similar operator: Fermi, Gamow-Teller and rank-2 tensor components are present in both the transition operators, with tunable weight in DCE
- ✓ Large linear momentum (~100 MeV/c) available in the virtual intermediate channel
- ✓ Same nuclear medium: Constraint on the theoretical determination of quenching phenomena on 0νββ
- ✓ Off-shell propagation through virtual intermediate channels

The NUMEN project





The aim of the project is to obtain "*data-driven*" information on **Nuclear Matrix Elements** for systems candidate for **0νββ**

Additional aims:

- Constraints to the existing theories of NMEs
- Model-independent comparative information on the sensitivity of half-life experiments
- Complete study of the reaction mechanism

Big efforts required for the **theory developments**, see talks of M. Colonna e H. Lenske

Superconducting Cyclotron and MAGNEX spectrometer @ LNS



• In operation since 1996.

. Accelerates from H to U ions

• Maximum energy 80 MeV/u.



F. Cappuzzello et al., Eur. Phys. J. A (2016) 52: 167



Optical characteristics

Current values

Maximum magnetic	1.8
rigidity (Tm)	
Solid angle (msr)	50
Momentum acceptance	-14%, +10%
Momentum dispersion (cm/%)	3.68

Good compensation of the aberrations: <u>Trajectory reconstruction</u>

resolutions:

- Energy ∆E/E ~ 1/1000
- Angle Δθ ~ 0.2°
- Mass ∆m/m ~ 1/160

The Phases of NUMEN project



✓Phase2: "hot" cases optimizing the experimental conditions, getting first results and developing reliable R&D for the upgrade (approved)

✓Phase3: The facility upgrade (Cyclotron, MAGNEX, beam lines,)

Phase4 : The systematic experimental campaign

year	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
Phase1		done	•							
Phase2				Approved						
Phase3										
Phase4										

The phase 1: pilot experiment: ⁴⁰Ca(¹⁸O,¹⁸Ne)⁴⁰Ar

- ✓ ¹⁸O⁷⁺ beam from Cyclotron at 270 MeV (10 pnA)
- ✓ Most favourable case: low mass, high Q-value, high cross-section



The results of Phase 1 indicate:

Experimental feasibility: zero-deg, resolution (500 keV), low cross-section (µb/sr)

it is possible to extract valuable information cross section.

Phase 2: Moving towards hot-cases

The results of **Phase 1** indicate that **it is possible to extract valuable information.** However, we the present set-up, it is difficult to **extend such studies to hot cases**. In fact:

- The reaction Q-values are normally more negative and the isotopes of interest are heavier than in the ⁴⁰Ca case
- The DCE cross section is expected to decrease at higher bombarding energies since both τ and $\sigma\tau$ components of the nucleon-nucleon effective potential show this trend
- The (18O,18Ne) reaction is particularly advantageous, but it is β + β +;
- None of the reactions of β - β looks as favorable as the (18O,18Ne).
 - (18Ne,18O) requires a radioactive beam
 - $(^{20}Ne,^{20}O)$ or $(^{12}C,^{12}Be)$ have smaller B(GT)
- In some cases gas or implanted target will be necessary, e.g. ¹³⁶Xe or ¹³⁰Xe
- In some cases the energy resolution is not enough to separate the g.s. from the excited states in the final nucleus. γ-rays detection is required

Much higher beam current is required!

Phase 3 upgrades: cyclotron

- The **CS** accelerator current (from 100 W to 5-10 kW);
- Extraction by stripping





Beam transport line transmission efficiency to nearly 100%

MAGNEX: the present Focal Plane Detector

The present focal plane detector is an hybrid detector:

- Gas section: proportional wires and drift chambers (ΔE + tracking)
- Stopping wall: silicon detectors (residual Energy)





The FPD provides for each particle: ✓ full reconstruction of the trajectory

- ✓ identification in Z and M
- energy measurement

MAGNEX: the new focal plane detector



collaboration with CNR, STM, FBK for SiC detectors development

Tracker

Confined avalanche within holes, lesser photon - mediated secondary effects



M. Cortesi Review of scientific instruments 88, 013303 (2017)

- Effective single-electron detection
- High gas gain ~10⁵ (>10⁶) @ single (double) THGEM
- Few-ns RMS time resolution
- Sub-mm position resolution
- MHz/mm² rate capability
- Gas: molecular and noble gases
- Operation pressure: <u>1mbar few bar</u>



Particle Identification wall

The Schottky diodes are fabricated by epitaxy onto high-purity 4H–SiC n-type substrate.



Test on radiation hardness performed at LNS this year

Requirement:

- Active area 1 cm²
- ΔE stage **thickness** 100 μm

E stage SiC thickness 3000 μm or Scintillator

- To tolerate fluence larger than 10¹² /cm² (an year of measurement)
- High energy resolution (2%)
- Timing resolution (few ns)

Other upgrades

• The MAGNEX maximum magnetic rigidity (from 1.8 Tm to 2.5 Tm)



 An array of scintillators for γrays (LaBr₃(Ce) or Lyso)

measurement in coincidence with MAGNEX



 The target technology for intense heavy-ion beams





Evaporation of target material on a **Pyrolitic Graphite** backing



Cooling system. Test next week at UNAM (Mexico)



Target uniformity studies

Conclusions and Outlooks

- NUMEN is a challenging project for the understanding of 0vββdecay physics.
- The project rely on the upgrade of MAGNEX spectrometer and Cyclotron toward high intensity
- Relevant results for 0vββ-decay physics already achieved in the initial campaigns
- A big challenge for the development of technology and nuclear theory

The NUMEN collaboration





Spokespersons: F. Cappuzzello and C. Agodi

Proponents: C. Agodi, J. Bellone, D. Bonanno, D. Bongiovanni, V. Branchina, S. Brasolin, O. Brunasso, S. Burrello, S. Calabrese, L. Calabretta, D. Calvo, V. Capirossi, F. Cappuzzello, D. Carbone, M. Cavallaro, M. Colonna, G. D'Agostino, N. Deshmukh, P. Finocchiaro, M. Fisichella, A. Foti, G. Gallo, U. Garcia-Tecocoatsi, F. Iazzi, R. Introzzi, G. Lanzalone, F. La Via, F. Longhitano, D. Lo Presti, P. Mereu, L. Pandola, F. Pinna, S. Reito, D. Rifuggiato, A.D. Russo, G. Russo, G. Santagati, E. Santopinto, O. Sgouros, V. Soukeras, A. Spatafora, D. Torresi, S. Tudisco, R.I.M. Vsevolodovna

Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Laboratori Nazionali del Sud, Catania, Italy Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Catania, Italy Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Torino, Italy Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Genova, Italy Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia, Università di Catania, Italy Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Genova, Italy DISAT, Politecnico di Torino, Italy Università degli Studi di Enna "Kore", Enna, Italy CNR-IMM, Sezione di Catania, Italy

T. Borello-Lewin, P.N. de Faria, J.L. Ferreira, R. Linares, J. Lubian, N. Medina, D.R. Mendes, M. Moralles, J. R. B. Oliveira, M.R.D. Rodrigues, R.B.B. Santos, M.A.G. da Silveira, V.A.B. Zagatto

Instituto de Fisica, Universidade de Sao Paulo, Brazil Instituto de Fisica, Universidade Federal Fluminense, Niteroi, Brazil Instituto de Pesquisas Energeticas e Nucleares IPEN/CNEN, Brazil Centro Universitario FEI Sao Bernardo do Brazil, Brazil

I. Boztosun, H. Djapo, A. Hacisalihoglu, S.O. Solakcı, A. Yildirim

Akdeniz University, Antalya, Turkey Institute of Natural Sciences, Karadeniz Teknik University, Turkey

L. Acosta, P. Amador, R. Bijker, E.R. Chávez Lomelí, R. Espejel, A. Huerta, H. Vargas, A. Flores, S. Martínez, C. Ordoñez, D. Marín, B. Góngora, G. Reza, J. Mas, G. Vega, D. Belmont, S. Sandoval

Instituto de Fisica, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, México Instituto de Ciencias Nucleares, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, México Instituto Nacional de Investigaciones Nucleares, México

A. Pakou, G. Souliotis

Department of Physics, University of Ioannina, Greece Department of Chemistry, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Greece H. Lenske

Department of Physics, University of Giessen, Germany

N. Auerbach

School of Physics and Astronomy Tel Aviv University, Israel

H. Petrascu,

IFIN-HH, Bucarest, Romania

J.A. Lay

Departamento de FAMN, University of Seville, Spain

F. Delaunay,

LPC Caen, Normandie Université, ENSICAEN, UNICAEN, CNRS/IN2P3, France

Z.J. Kotila,

University of Jyväskylä, Jyväskylä, Finland

G. De Geronimo

Stony Brook University, US

J. Barea

Universidad de Conception, Cile